COUNTY OF COLUMBUS

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 78-CRS-2415 & 16

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA, Plaintiff, VS. JOSEPH SLEDGE, Defendant.

> NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION HEARING

> > DAY ONE

At Raleigh, North Carolina December 3, 2014

> Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

APPEARANCES

Kendra Montgomery-Blinn, Esq.
Sharon Stellato
Lindsey Guice Smith, Esq.
NORTH CAROLINA INNOCENCE INQUIRY COMMISSION
Administrative Office of the Courts
P.O. Box 2448
Raleigh, NC 27602

Commission Chair: The Honorable Quentin T. Sumner Senior Resident Superior Court Judge 7th Judicial District P.O. Drawer 1215 Rocky Mount, North Carolina 27801

Commissioner: Wade M. Smith, Esq. THARRINGTON SMITH, LLP P.O. Box 1151 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-1151

Commissioner: The Honorable C. Branson Vickory, III District Attorney
8th Judicial District
P.O. Box 175
Goldsboro, North Carolina 27533

Commissioner: Barbara Pickens Retired Sheriff, Lincoln County 220 Margaret Hoffman Drive Mt. Holly, NC 28120

Commissioner: Isaac Heard, Jr.
Urban Planning & Community Economic Development
Consultant Analyst
6728 Constitution Lane
Charlotte, NC 28210-4216

Commissioner: T. Diane Surgeon, Esq. CEO of Eldercare Resources Center of North Carolina 2003 North Pine Street Lumberton, NC 28358

Commissioner: Aurelia Sands Belle, M.Ed. Executive Director Durham Crisis Response Center 206 North Dillard Street Durham, NC 27701

Commissioner: The Honorable J. Van Duncan Elected Sheriff - Buncombe County 60 Court Plaza, 4th Floor Asheville, NC 28801

\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}

WITNESS: Retired Detective Phillip Little			
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			. 10
Examination by Mr. Smith			
Examination by Mr. Heard			. 87
Examination by Sheriff Duncan			. 89
Examination by Ms. Surgeon			. 89
Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			
Examination by Mr. Vickory			
Further Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			
Further Examination by Mr. Vickory			
Further Examination by Sheriff Duncan			
Examination by Judge Sumner			
Further Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			102
Further Examination by Sheriff Duncan			104
Further Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			106
Examination by Ms. Sands Belle			109
Further Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			110
Further Examination by Judge Sumner			114
Further Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			114
Further Examination by Mr. Smith			115
Further Examination by Mr. Vickory			116
Further Examination by Ms. Surgeon			116
1			
WITNESS: Retired Special Agent Henry Poole			
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			118
Examination by Ms. Surgeon			145
Examination by Mr. Heard			148
Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens			149
Further Examination by Ms. Surgeon			151
Examination by Mr. Vickory			153
1			
WITNESS: Christine Mumma			
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			158
Examination by Mr. Heard			
Examination by Judge Sumner			180
Further Examination by Mr. Heard			181
Examination by Sheriff Duncan			182
Further Examination by Mr. Heard			182
Further by Judge Sumner			183
Further by Sheriff Duncan			1 8 /

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

WITNESS: Special Agent Chadrick Barefoot							
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn							185
Examination by Sheriff Duncan							205
Examination by Mr. Smith	•	•	•	•	•	•	207
Examination by Mr. Vickory			•	•	•	•	208
WITNESS: Sharon Stellato							
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn							214
Examination by Ms. Surgeon							247
Examination by Mr. Heard							248
Examination by Mr. Smith							250
Examination by Mr. Vickory							253
Further Examination by Ms. Surgeon							254
Examination by Retired Sheriff Pickens							254
Further Examination by Mr. Vickory							255
Examination by Ms. Sands Belle							256
Further Examination by Mr. Vickory	•	•	•	•	•	•	257
rateller Brammacion by his viewory	•	•	•	•	•	•	207
WITNESS: Herman Lee Baker							
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn							258
Examination by Mr. Vickory	•	•	•	•	•	•	271
Examination by Mr. Smith							273
Examination by Ms. Surgeon							275
Examination by Sheriff Duncan	•	•	•	•	•	•	275
Examination by Judge Cumper	•	•	•	•	•	•	276
Examination by Judge Sumner	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Examination by Mr. Vickory	•	•	•	•	•	•	278
WITHNESS. Charge Stellate							
WITNESS: Sharon Stellato							270
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn	•	•	•	•	•	•	219
WITTINECC. Lindour Crico Cmith							
WITNESS: Lindsey Guice Smith							200
Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn	•	•	•	•	•	•	288
Examination by Mr. Vickory						•	297
Further Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			•	•	•	•	297
Examination by Mr. Heard			•	•	•	•	300
Examination by Sheriff Duncan			•	•	•	•	301
Further Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn						•	301
Examination by Ms. Surgeon						•	305
Further Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn			•	•	•	•	307
Further Examination by Mr. Heard						•	312
Further Examination by Ms. Montgomery-Blinn	•		•		•	•	312
Further Examination by Ms. Surgeon							321
Further Examination by Mr. Vickory			_				322

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 5 <u>H</u> <u>A</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>O</u> <u>U</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u> No. 1 Affidavit of Herman Baker 174 No. 2 Transcription of 3/19/13 177 interview of Herman Baker Affidavit of Wallace R. Danner 234 No. 3 No. 4 SBI Report 278 No. 5 Affidavit of Reuben Moore 285 Donald Sutton's Criminal Record No. 6 289 No. 7 Herman Baker's Criminal Record 290 No. 8 Newspaper Articles Regarding Murders 322

1	JUDGE SUMNER: Good morning. This is the
2	North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission hearing. We are
3	assembled this morning to hear the matter arising out of
4	Bladen County, the case of State versus Joseph Sledge, File
5	Number 78-CRS-2415 and 2416. This case was moved for trial
6	purposes to Columbus County. The hearing this morning is
7	open to the public pursuant to North Carolina General
8	Statutes 15A-1468(a). At this time for purposes of the
9	record my name is Quentin T. Sumner. I am the senior
10	resident superior court judge from Nash County. I serve as
11	chairman of this commission. And for purposes of, the court
12	reporter's purposes, that's Quentin, Q-u-e-n-t-I-n, middle
13	initial T, last name Sumner, S-u-m-n-e-r. All commissioners
14	that are present, if you would, for the record, please state
15	your name and spell your name for the record, starting with
16	Mr. Smith to my left.
17	MR. SMITH: Wade Smith, I'm a commissioner,
18	and my name is spelled S-m-I-t-h.
19	MR. HEARD: Isaac Heard, that's H-e-a-r-d.
20	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Kendra Montgomery-
21	Blinn, I'm the executive director of the Innocence Inquiry
22	Commission.
23	MS. STELLATO: Sharon Stellato, associate
24	director of the Innocence Inquiry Commission.
25	MS. GUICE SMITH: Lindsey Guice Smith,

	NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 7
1	associate counsel of the North Carolina Innocence Inquiry
2	Commission.
3	MS. SANDS BELLE: Aurelia Sands Belle,
4	commissioner.
5	RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS: Barbara Pickens,
6	commissioner.
7	SHERIFF DUNCAN: Van Duncan, commissioner.
8	Do you want me to spell V-a-n D-u-n-c-a-n.
9	JUDGE SUMNER: That's fine. Sir, that's
10	fine.
11	MS. SURGEON: T. Diane Surgeon, Surgeon, S-u-
12	r-g-e-o-n.
13	MR. VICKORY: Branson Vickory, V-I-c-k-o-r-y,
14	commissioner.
15	JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you. We do have all
16	eight members of this commission present this morning. At
17	this time I'd like to make a formal inquiry as to each
18	commissioner present as to whether or not anyone needs to
19	recuse himself or herself pursuant to our rules, rule 6(B)1.
20	Our rules state a commissioner shall recuse himself or
21	herself if some event has caused him or her to become biased
22	about a case and unable to participate in the hearing in a
23	fair and impartial manner.
24	If any such commissioner falls in that

If any such commissioner falls in that category, please state so at this point.

(No response.)

JUDGE SUMNER: Having not heard from any commissioner, the Chair is of the opinion all commissioners are able to hear this matter at this point.

I also want to inquire as to whether or not any commissioner has made an independent investigation of this matter for any reason at this point?

(No response.)

JUDGE SUMNER: Also, for the record, no commissioner has been involved in any independent investigation. All commissioners are able to hear this matter.

We do not have any alternate commissioners present this morning, so at this point I'm going to turn this hearing over to Ms. Kendra Montgomery-Blinn, our executive director.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, commissioners. Thank you all for being here today, thank you all for your time for this hearing and your time for reading the documents prior to the hearing as well.

This case is a double homicide from Bladen County, North Carolina in 1976. You have all been provided with briefs that describe the law enforcement investigation and the trials. We will not review the material during this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearing that is in the brief, but we will present the Commission's and other post conviction investigation. The Commission staff investigators who were the lead investigators on this case are Associate Director Sharon Stellato and Associate Counsel Lindsey Guice Smith. Throughout the hearing I will be calling Ms. Stellato and Ms. Smith to testify about the Commission's investigation. We will also be calling other witnesses as well. This morning Detective Phillip Little and retired SBI Agent Henry Poole will testify. Herman Baker will also testify as well later today. Three forensics experts are testifying on Tuesday, and Joseph Sledge will testify on Thursday or Friday. We also have other people who, attorneys and other people who have been part of a post conviction investigation who may be testifying during the hearing, too.

At the end of the day today the commissioners will need to consider an administrative matter in a closed session, so we will ask all who are attending to leave for the closed session, it is unrelated to this case.

The victims, Josephine and Aileen Davis's family are here today. A family member will make a statement towards the end of the hearing. They are in a private room and they have asked that people not contact them, not come into the room. They're watching through closed circuit TV, and they have just asked their privacy be

respected during this hearing. During the statement made by the victims' family the hearing will be closed to the public. It will continue to be recorded by the court reporter, but only the victims' family, the commissioners, and the commission staff will be in the room at that time.

Commissioners, at the end of the hearing you will be asked to determine whether there is sufficient

will be asked to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. The case will only move forward to three-judge panel if at least five of the eight commissioners vote that there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial review. And I'll put that standard up again during your deliberation. If less than five of the commissioners vote for further review, the case will be closed with the Commission and no appeal is available.

All right. If there are no questions at the beginning, we'll start going with the presentation of witnesses. The Commission calls Phillip Little.

Phillip Little, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. Mr. Little, hi. Thank you for being here today.
- A. Morning.

- Q. Can you tell us your name for the record?
- 2 A. Phillip Little.
 - Q. And are you retired?
- 4 A. I am.

3

- 5 Q. Where are you retired from?
- 6 A. Bladen County Sheriff's Office.
- 7 Q. And what was your position with the Bladen County 8 Sheriff's Office?
- 9 A. When I retired I was chief deputy.
- Q. Okay. And how long were you with the sheriff's department?
- 12 A. About 37-38 years.
- 13 Q. All right. What was your position in 1976?
- 14 A. I was a deputy.
- Q. Okay. If I call you Detective Little, is that appropriate, or is that --
- 17 A. Yeah, I became a detective along about that time.
- Q. Okay. Were you assigned to investigate the homicide of Josephine and Aileen Davis?
- 20 A. I was.

22

23

2.4

- 21 Q. And tell us about how you became assigned to that case.
 - A. Pursuant to a call from the sheriff, who was, at the time, John B. Allen, had called Deputy Earl Storms and myself. We were down in the lower end of Bladen County and received a call about a possible homicide and to

- come to the location of the Davis residence, which we did, and began the investigation from there.
 - Q. Were you on duty that day?
 - A. I was.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

24

- Q. And had you investigated homicides before?
- 6 A. Some, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, I know that it's been a long time, and as you and I talked before, I just want to make sure that you know we don't want you to stretch your memory beyond clarity or try to put things together logically. I'm going to ask you a lot of questions about your investigation. If you do not recall, please just tell us that you don't recall or if you don't know.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. Thank you. All right. Now, who have you talked with about this case in the past sort of three years?
- 17 A. I've spoken with folks from the Innocence Commission.
 - Q. So the two ladies next to me?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. SBI Agent Barefoot; I have spoken with the DA's office;

 Ms. Christine Mumma.
- 23 \parallel Q. Do you remember speaking with the victims' family?
 - A. I have had conversation. They've had some questions about the process that was ongoing and, but I haven't

spoken with them specifically about the details of the case.

- Q. So you've talked with them about Commission process but not the facts of the case, is that what you're saying?
- A. Yeah, not about the facts of the case. But they had asked me what was going on when the Commission was involved, and I've told them that they pretty much knew as much as I did because the Commission process was new to me also.
- Q. Okay. And then retired Agent Poole, have you spoken with him?
- 12 A. I have.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Have you spoken with him pretty extensively recently?
 - A. Well, I wouldn't say extensively, but I have spoken with him on numerous occasions.
 - Q. Okay. And have you two tried to help each other remember parts of the investigation?
- 19 A. We have, yeah.
- 20 Q. And has that been helpful?
- 21 A. It, it has to a certain degree, yes.
 - Q. And did the Commission staff bring you your file once it was located and let you look at your original investigative file?
 - A. I have looked at some of that file, but not, not all

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

1 the file, no.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And did looking at it help you remember some things?
- A. It did, some things.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you knew Josephine and Aileen Davis, the victims, is that right?
 - A. I did.
- Q. How did you know them?
 - A. Well, they lived in a community in, at, at that time, like all rural counties, the law enforcement knew most of the people in the county. And they, they actually lived not too far from where I was residing.
- 12 Q. Okay. So had you known them your whole life?
 - A. Well, pretty much, yes, for several years.
 - Q. Can you go ahead and go back now to when you first arrived, after you just said you got that call to come down from the sheriff. Go ahead and to the best of your memory describe what you saw, what the scene was like when you arrived.
 - A. The best I recall, when Deputy Storms, who later became sheriff, arrived on the scene there was, Sheriff Allen was there, there was some family members in the yard, some EMS personnel, and some other officers. And we had a brief conversation with the sheriff and then went inside the residence and did a survey of the inside of the residence.

- Do you know if you were the first person to go inside Q. or had EMS already been in --
- 3 Α. I had not, I was not the first person to go inside, unfortunately.
 - Do you know who all had been inside before you? Q.
 - I do not. Α.

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 Okay. How do you know you weren't the first person? Q.
 - I was told that other folks had been in the house. And Α. I know Wanda Hales, a family member, had been in the house.
- 11 All right. So you didn't know then and you still don't Q. 12 know who all had been in before you?
- 13 Α. No.
- When you went in, who went in with you? 14 Q.
- I don't recall. 15 Α.
- 16 Did then Deputy Storms go in with you? Q.
- 17 He did. Matter of fact, he helped me do the initial Α. 18 processing of the scene.
 - Okay. When you went in at that time did you collect Q. any physical evidence that day?
 - Α. The best of my knowledge, we did, we did collect some fingerprints. And I know we returned at a later time after the SBI crime scene folks arrived, that we went back to the scene.
 - Do you know how many times you went back to the scene? Q.

- A. A bunch of times.
- Q. Okay. A bunch?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And why did you keep going back to the scene and collecting more and more evidence?
- A. The residence, the structure of the house was very primitive. If -- and I don't mean this disrespectful, but it was a home that one would relate to Appalachia, some primitive style of living, to say the least. Even though I think they lived the lifestyle that they wanted to, the house was unpainted, they had heat it with a wood stove, cooked with a wood stove, heated with a wood heater. The house was very primitive in nature so the initial investigation and the collection of evidence was somewhat challenging. We did return at one occasion and remove a section of the floor.
- Q. Why did you do that?
- A. There was a print in blood that we went back and removed that section of floor that contained that print.
- Q. What kind of print, a fingerprint? A hand print? A footprint?
- A. I think it was a footprint or a palm print.
- Q. Please go ahead.
- 25 A. We returned at a later time and did a Luminol, looked

2.4

for blood splatter pattern, and collect blood evidence.

And then once the initial processing of the crime
scene, once we got through with it, like that day I

placed a padlock on the door and locked the house,
sealed it. And the only time that anyone entered the
residence from then on, they had to be with me.

- Q. When you first arrived you collected, did you also collect hairs?
- A. I did.
- Q. And where were those hairs collected from?
- A. There was hairs collected off of the body of one of the victims, and I think it may have been Aileen.
- Q. Was it just one? I couldn't tell from the reports.

 Was it off of the bodies of both victims or just one victim?
- A. The one I recall was Aileen.
- Q. Okay. So you said you went back a bunch of times to collect more evidence. Was it that you would collect items, send them to the lab, test, get results, go back collect more, send them to the lab, get results, go back collect more, send them to the lab, and get results; is that the proper order that things happened?
- A. To a certain degree, yes. As the investigation evolved then, you know, we would go back and look for other evidence.

- Q. Okay. And that was over about a year-and-a-half time period?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. When did you first consider Joseph Sledge a suspect?
- A. Well, it was early on because we found out that he had escaped from the White Lake Prison Unit. And during the process of the prison officials tracking him, they had tracked his route of travel in the direction of the Davis residence.
- 10 Q. How had they tracked that?
- 11 A. By use of dogs and personnel.
- Q. And so you talked with somebody. Do you remember talking with somebody that they tracked the route of travel that way or are you just thinking --
- 15 A. I did; I did.
- 16 \parallel Q. Did you write that up in a report, do you remember?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember who you talked to that said that?
- A. Yeah, it was Lieutenant Ransom who, like a lot of witnesses, are now deceased.
- 22 Q. Okay.

23

24

- A. But he was, he was in charge of that recapture process.
 - Q. And you remember specifically asking him that, but you don't know if you wrote it down?

A. I don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Sledge wasn't the only person that was on escape from White Lake at the time. Were other people suspects or was there a reason that he was the only White Lake escapee suspect?
- A. Pretty much the reason we keyed in on him, I don't know of any other suspects that had escaped at that same time.
- Q. If Superintendent Sparkman had said that between June and September of that year there were 14 people that had escaped from White Lake and were on escape, would that sound accurate to you?
- A. I have no way of knowing, but --
- 14 Q. You just don't know?
 - A. -- they did have a lot of folks run back then.
- Q. Okay. So were all escapees suspects or Mr. Sledge in particular?
 - A. Well Mr. Sledge primarily because of the timing and the opportunity, his direction of travel. And at some point he had to pass within close proximity of there because he traveled to Elizabethtown, which is on further, it would be south on 701.
 - Q. And you learned that when you interviewed Mr. Sledge?

 He said where he'd gone then?
- 25 A. No.

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

Q. This is still from the --

- A. We knew a car had been stolen in Elizabethtown.
- Q. Okay. Now, tell me, how did you document your investigation? What was the way you documented investigations at that time period?
- A. Well, writing, writing it down, transferring the information to paper. And also, when the SBI got involved in the case, they did primarily the most of the paperwork and documentation on it. And we normally would do that just so there would not be repetitive reports. So if I was assigned to a particular agent or an agent was assigned to me, then there was times that I'd write stuff down, and then the agent would take my information and put it in his report.
- Q. And is that what Agent Poole did in this case?
 - A. Well, Agent Poole and Agent Evans and some of the other agents that were initially involved in the investigation.
 - Q. Now, before, when I look at the report I see some handwritten notes and not a lot of documentation, and then when Agent Poole becomes involved, standard SBI reports. Prior to that, when you wrote things up was it handwritten notes?
 - A. It was.
 - Q. And not a report format?

- A. (Witness does not respond.)
- Q. In general was there a reporting format or would a handwritten note be put in the file?
- 4 A. It was a standard UCR informal crime reporting format.
- 5 Q. Okay.

2

3

8

9

10

11

21

22

- 6 A. It was on that form.
- 7 \ Q. Did you write down everything that you did?
 - A. Probably not but, you know, most of the things we did.
 - Q. In the file that I have that we looked through that we located there are no reports of any of your interviews of Joseph Sledge, but you testified about interviews.
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. Do you recall if you documented those?
- 14 A. I did. There was some of the reports that were lost.
- I have no idea. Over the years those reports were lost.
- 17 Q. So you would have documented that and it must be lost?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. How many times do you think you interviewed Joseph 20 Sledge?
 - A. One time initially right after he was picked up, if I recall correctly, and then there was, there was another interview at some point.
- Q. When you testified, at the first trial you said you had interviewed him on September 10 after he came back from

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

- South Carolina, 1976.
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. At the second trial you said it was on September 12, and I haven't been able to find a report. So either the 10th or the 12th. Were there two interviews or are we talking about one interview?
- A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall roughly then how long -Mr. Sledge was arrested in South Carolina, is that your recollection?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. How long after he was brought back to North Carolina
 was it that you did a first interview or initial
 interviews of him?
- 15 A. I think it was the next day.
- Q. Okay. So if he was brought back on September 9, you think it would have been September 10?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- Q. And in that interview did you -- is this the same interview where you drove him around, or is that a different interview?
- 22 A. That was one of them.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. Yeah. And I'm sorry, it's been almost 40 years.
- 25 Q. And I don't want you to stretch your memory if you

don't know. I'm just trying to figure out because I've

got testimony about the 10th and the 12th, if it's two

different or the same, if you know. So before you

drove him around did you interview him, sit down with

him at the jail or the sheriff's department or

somewhere else and interview him before you got in the

car?

- A. I can't say for sure.
 - Q. Do you remember driving around in the car with him?
- 10 A. I do.

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Can you tell us what you remember about that?
- A. Well, the reason we were driving around with him, and that was, I guess you would say was an interview, but we had asked him to retrace his route of his escape, and that's, that's why we were driving him around.
 - Q. And was he cooperative with retracing the route? Did he agree to do that?
 - A. Well, pretty much, yes.
- 19 Q. What do you mean by pretty much?
- A. Well, I think he was being deceptive with us about certain things.
- Q. But he said, I am willing to get in the car, I am willing to show you where I went?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. Where did you go? Where did you drive him?

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

- A. Well, we drove back to the area of Turnbull Creek.
- Q. Turnbull Creek?
- A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And what is Turnbull Creek?
 - A. Turnbull Creek is a tributary off of the Cape Fear

 River and it dissects Bladen County between White Lake

 Prison Unit and where the victims live. The --
 - Q. Is there a housing development in Turnbull Creek, or was there?
- 10 A. At the time not much, no. There was some scattered housing, but nothing like it is now.
- Q. So it's between, you said it was between Elizabethtown and White Lake Prison?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And was this an area also known as Newtown?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. This is different than Newtown?
- 18 A. Yeah; yeah.
- 19 Q. Is Newtown in that same area?
 - A. If one leaves White Lake Prison Unit and travels toward Elizabethtown, about halfway or maybe not quite halfway between Elizabethtown and White Lake Prison Unit you cross Turnbull Creek. And that Turnbull Creek continues on into the Cape Fear River and also goes up into Cumberland County. But it pretty much dissects

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

that end there, that particular area of Bladen County.

Turnbull Creek was, is probably about two or three

miles from where the victims reside as the crow flies.

And what was interesting about the case was when they

were tracking Mr. Sledge after he escaped, they tracked

him to an area known as Hoover Bridge.

- Q. Go ahead.
- All right. If one leaves the prison, and it was a very Α. popular escape route when folks would run from the White Lake Prison, there is a dirt road that travels south through a wooded area and through a swamp area, and it crosses, it crosses one paved road and then it intersects with Turnbull Creek. All right. At Turnbull Creek, the area known as Hoover Bridge, there was an old wood bridge there that was used years ago, but it was dilapidated, it was -- one was not able to -- it was not open to vehicular traffic. It was later destroyed by the United States Special Forces on a training mission, they used it as a training scenario. But when, when -- it was my understanding that when the Department of Corrections was tracking Sledge, they tracked him to the area of Hoover Bridge, and that's pretty much where they lost the, his tracks. Now, if you continue on in a straight, pretty much into a straight line, there's, when you cross Hoover Bridge

- area, Turnbull Creek, you pick up Hoover Bridge Road.

 Hoover Bridge Road comes out about 300 yards from where
 the victims' residence is.
- Q. Detective Little, I'm going to hand you a map that you drew at the hearing, or the trial, and see if that might help you a little bit.
 - MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is on page 115 in your brief, so we're not going to put it up on the overhead.
- Q. But if that helps, Detective Little, do you recognize what I've just handed you?
- A. (Witness examines document.) Yeah. It appears to be a sketch of the Davis residence and the crime scene.
- Q. And then to the right of the sketch of the crime scene, is that a sketch of the area with Turnbull Creek drawn on it?
- A. (Witness continues to examine document.) Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall, maybe you don't recall, but do you recall doing this for the trial?
 - A. I don't recall, but --
- Q. Okay. But would it help you just as you're explaining to us the setup now and where Turnbull Creek is to use this sketch?
- 24 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Q. If it would be helpful, please use it; if it's not,

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

1 don't worry about it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. What the sketch is, it shows a, it shows a sketch of the actual residence. But then to the side there's a smaller to scale sketch -- well, not to scale, but a smaller sketch of the area that shows Turnbull, the prison unit, and the Davis residence.
- Q. Okay. Well, if that would be helpful as you're trying to explain the area, please reference it. If you don't -- if it isn't helpful and you don't need it, then please don't.
- A. I will.
- Q. So I had asked you about Newtown. Where is Newtown then?
- 14 A. Newtown is actually a part of Elizabethtown.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. It's on the, be on the southwest side of Elizabethtown.
- Q. Okay. So is that -- on this map would it be between Turnbull Creek and the victims' residence?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. Where would it be, if you can tell us roughly on this --
 - A. Well, it would be on, it would be on through the intersection of 87 and 701, and then back to the west from there.
 - Q. So down here on the, sort of on the bottom of the map?

- A. (Witness examines document.)
- Q. It would be down at the end of the -- on the bottom of the chalkboard picture?
- A. Yes, it would be down the -- on the sketch that shows
 701 and 87, it would be down on the lower left portion
 of that sketch.
- Q. Okay. So you said that when you drove around with Mr. Sledge that you started up in the Turnbull Creek area, is that right? Is that what you just said?
- 10 A. No, I didn't start there.
- 11 Q. Okay. Where did you start?
- 12 A. I started at the jail.
- Q. Okay. At the jail where he was?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

- Q. All right. And then tell us, tell us the route and where all you drove.
 - A. All right. I drove back -- the most significant thing that I remember of that travel was we were traveling, we stopped in front of the Davis residence, across the street from it, and at that time Mr. Sledge made a statement pertaining to the victims.
- 22 Q. You can go ahead.
 - A. All right. He -- we sat there for a moment and he was not prompted in any way or nothing was said. We just pulled over and was looking at some papers. And

Mr. Sledge was sitting in the back of the car

handcuffed and he turned around and pointed, held his

hands up like this and pointed towards the Davis

residence and made a statement that a black guy didn't

kill those two old women, it had to be a white guy

because a black guy wouldn't of cut them up like they

were.

- Q. And you felt that was an admission?
- A. Well, I felt that that was a significant statement at that time, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you said you had just stopped and were sitting in front of the victims' residence when that happened.
- A. Uh-huh (yes).

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And was the victims' residence -- I've seen a photograph of it where it's roped off with a sign that says, Keep Out, Sheriff. Was it in that state at that time?
- A. I can't say for sure, but I don't, I don't know, but there was crime scene barricade tape later put up, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you said after you left that day you padlocked it and secured the scene, so it was in some kind of secured, noted condition?
- A. It was, yes.
- Q. And how many cars, all three of the -- I'm sorry, I

- don't have a report about this, but you've testified that there were three cars driving around?
- A. There was.
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

24

- A. The car that I was in with Mr. Sledge, and I think

 Deputy Storms was in the car with me, and then we had

 two other cars that were basically providing security.

 There had been what we thought was possible threats and

 we wanted to make sure that we had, while we had him in

 our custody outside of the jail area that we had

 sufficient security.
- 12 Q. Did all three cars stop at the house?
- 13 A. I don't recall, but -- I don't, I don't recall.
- Q. Do you remember if anybody from the other cars got out and walked around?
- 16 A. No, they did not.
- 17 Q. Had you stopped at multiple places during the drive?
 - A. We stopped at different places along the line, yes.
- Q. And these were different places that Mr. Sledge had directed you to?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 \parallel Q. But he had not directed you to this place?
- 23 A. No.
 - Q. But you stopped and parked there and sat there. How long was the car sitting there before he made the

1 statement?

- A. Almost immediately.
- 3 Q. Okay.

2

4

5

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. We had, had not been there long when he said that.
 - Q. And if there's no documentation of any of this it's because you either didn't write it up or because it was lost or do you know?
- 8 A. I don't know.
 - Q. So maybe you didn't write it up?
- 10 A. Well, I, I know I testified to that but, I mean, I
 11 would assume that I had written it up at some point.
- Q. But you don't recall for sure? You don't remember writing it up or --
 - A. No, I can't say for absolutely sure, but --
 - Q. Okay. Now, where else did you drive?
 - A. We -- I don't recall every place because it was pretty insignificant. But we did go back to the prison and then go back to a couple places in Elizabethtown and back to the jail.
 - Q. So this was you went to the prison and then the places.

 Was this the places that Mr. Sledge was directing you
 to and saying here, here?
 - A. No, not, not -- he was not directing us to all of them, no. We drove to some of the areas and pretty much to see if it would refresh his memory on where he had

1 traveled.

- Q. Okay. So you drove him to places that you thought he had traveled to?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay.
- A. And, and when I say that, I'm talking about to the area where the DOT officials had tracked him.
 - Q. Okay. And what other things did he say then during that drive?
- 10 A. Not, not -- he didn't talk much.
- Q. Okay. But was he showing you places where he had hidden clothing during his escape?
 - A. At, at some, at some point he did, but that was one of the contentions that we had. He never showed us all the clothing that he supposedly had when he escaped.
 - Q. Okay. Did he take you to places and say clothing would be here and it wasn't there, or he just refused to say where items were?
 - A. Well, he, he told us that he had hidden some, or had put some clothing in some locations. And I'm sorry, I don't recall all he said without going back looking at the notes.
 - Q. Okay. And I don't want to push you. If you can't recall, you can't recall.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. Now, do you remember that you did find some items of clothing though?
- 3 A. We did.

2

4

7

- Q. Do you remember now what you found?
- 5 A. Not specifically, no, but I know we did find some clothing.
 - Q. Do you remember the locations for the clothing that you did find?
- 9 A. We found, we found some items close to the Cape Fear 10 River Bridge.
- 11 Q. And do you remember if those ultimately were connected to the crime scene or not?
- 13 A. I don't think that we ever established that.
- Q. Okay. What about two, do you remember finding two
 pairs of pants, the green prison pants and a grey pair
 of pants?
- 17 A. And a grey -- (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- 18 Q. Do you remember where you found those?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Do you remember if it was in Fayetteville where you found those items?
- 22 A. It may have been, I don't recall for sure.
- Q. Now, do you remember when the SBI became involved in the investigation?
- 25 A. I do.

2.4

- Q. And at that point in time when Agent Poole was assigned, who was in charge of the investigation, you or Agent Poole or somebody else?
- A. Well, I was the lead agent with Bladen County Sheriff's Office, but Agent Poole, I would say, was the, took the lead on it. He had more experience than I did and he was working with the special operations division that specifically were assigned unsolved homicides.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were doing this investigation over, and I understand it was over a long period of time and it was a long time ago, were there many people that were identified as suspects in this case?
- A. There were people that were named as suspects which, that kept us pretty busy running down leads and interviewing folks and polygraphing folks and trying to confirm whether they were either a viable suspect or whether it was just part of the conspiracy theory, if you will, about who, who had murdered the ladies.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about those people that were named as suspects. I'm just going to go through the ones that we found listed as suspects in your file and if you can remember them and tell us anything about them or why they were ultimately ruled out as a suspect, I'm going to ask you if you can, okay? I know you may not for everybody.

Do you remember Raymond Alves?

A. No.

2.4

- Q. Or Alves. I'm not sure if I said it right. This was a man, according to reports, told officers in New Jersey that he had raped and killed eight to ten women between New Jersey and Florida, and his fingerprints were compared. Does that sound --
- A. I do, I do know that we, that we looked at some, some homicide suspects in some other states. As a matter of fact, I traveled to Florida and did an interview or tried to do an interview with an individual there that had been, had been arrested in the Orlando area for similar crimes, but we pretty much established that he had not been in that area of Bladen County. Matter of fact, he became real hostile and wouldn't talk to us.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And I, yes, I do, I do know that we reached out to some other surrounding states to see if there were similar crimes.
- Q. Okay. But other than that, you don't remember anything in particular about that person?
- A. No.
 - Q. And the person that you interviewed in Florida, was that Larry Wayne White, if you remember?
- 25 A. If it's, if it's in my records, if that -- yeah.

- Q. All right. How about Mildred Tyndall Barnes, do you remember her prints were compared as well with no identifications affected according to reports; does that ring any bells to you?
- A. No.

2.4

- Q. How about Johnny Ray Benton?
- A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- Q. Does not ring any bells?
 - A. I recall the name, but I don't remember what the circumstances of him, you know, being a suspect was.
 - Q. And if the reports state that he thought he'd seen

 Elisha Robinson coming out of the victims' house, does
 that sound familiar?
 - A. We did -- as a matter of fact, a lot of folks would come to the office or call and say, hey, you know, you need to check out so-and-so, you need to check out this one, the son-in-law killed them, the grandson killed them, or different individuals in the community. We would just have folks to call up with no basis for that other than, you know, in their own mind that was possibly a suspect. But we, we checked all of those leads that we had out and we even polygraphed folks.

 We polygraphed people that we treated as suspects. We polygraphed folks that were witnesses to see if they were telling us the truth.

- Q. Okay. Do you remember who that you did?
- A. There's a list of them in the report somewhere.
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I don't recall all of them.
 - Q. Well, I'll just keep going through, if it's okay, and if you remember anything additional, please tell us; if you don't, you don't.

How about do you remember a David Bryant? Do you remember who that was?

- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. What about Mary Sue Gaither?
- 12 A. (Witness does not respond.)
- 13 Q. The report says that you collected a knife from her and it was tested for blood. Do you remember who she was?
- 15 A. Was that in Fayetteville?
- Q. Uh-huh (yes). Actually, I'm not sure. There's a
 handwritten note from you that you obtained a knife
 from her and then an SBI report that the knife was
 tested for blood. Does that sound familiar?
- 20 A. It does, but I don't recall. It was, I'm pretty sure it was in Fayetteville, but I'm not positive.
- 22 Q. Okay. How about Danny Haire, Jr.?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember him?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. And do you remember him being a suspect?
- A. I remember him being named and I remember us investigating and eliminating him.
- Q. And Danny Haire, III, as well.
 - A. Right.
- Q. I believe that's his son?
- 7 A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 8 Q. Do you remember anything additional about him?
- 9 A. I think we later confirmed that possibly they were out of, out of the county or out of the state when --
 - Q. And what about Larry Wayne Haire, do you remember anything in particular about him?
 - A. No, not, nothing in particular except that he was not retained as a viable suspect.
 - Q. Okay. And I'm just going through people that in the reports are listed as a suspect at some point in time and trying to ask if you remember why they were ruled out or anything extra.
 - A. Right. We, we checked every, everybody that was named or every lead that we got. I mean, even no matter how frivolous it was, we did make some effort to check it out.
 - Q. You ran it through to the end?
- 24 A. Exactly.
- 25 Q. All right. How about William Thomas Haire, do you

remember --

A. Yes.

- Q. Anything to add? Anything you might remember about him in particular, or just his name?
- A. No. And, and, you know, if I may, let me say this.

 These, most of these guys that you have named here were good ole boy rednecks in the community and, you know, they like to drink and have a good time but, you know, there was never any indication that they were involved in the homicide.
- Q. Well, I'm just asking because a lot of the reports, I can't tell from the reports why they were ruled out. Some of them I see you collected fingerprints and they didn't match any of the prints of the crime scene, but of course neither did Joseph Sledge. So I'm just trying to understand if I'm missing reports. And if you don't know, you don't know, so I understand. I'd just like to keep reading through them. If you remember anything or why they were ruled out, please tell me.
- A. Well, there, there was so many of them. I honestly do not remember all of them. I know that we checked out a lot of people.
- Q. Well, will you bear with me and let me just finish reading out the list?

- A. Sure; yeah. I will.
- Q. And if you've got anything to add, please do; if you don't, I'll move on quickly.

How about Billy Ray Hales?

- A. Right, that's the grandson.
- Q. Okay. And do you remember, his prints were compared and it was listed as a suspect. Do you remember anything additional?
- 9 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q. Marion Hales?
- 11 A. That's the son-in-law and brother-in-law.
- 12 Q. Anything that you remember in particular?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. David Hamilton?
- 15 A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- Q. His fingerprints are compared, listed as a suspect, but
 I can't learn anything else about him from the reports.
- Do you remember him?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Does his name --
- 21 A. I remember -- I know David Hamilton, but I don't 22 remember that --
- 23 Q. You don't remember why he was printed as a suspect?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. How about Robert James?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. The same thing, all I've got is a report that fingerprints are compared as a suspect, but I don't have any investigative reports about him.
 - A. No, I don't remember on that.
 - Q. Okay. Ernest Johnson, do you remember him?
 - A. Ernest Johnson, now, Ernest Johnson may have been one of the family members that was on the scene when I arrived, or that potentially had access to the house or the crime scene there.
 - Q. There is a report about him that states that he had scratches on his body, and you interviewed him and saw the scratches. Does that help remember anything?
- A. On Ernest Johnson?
- 15 Q. Uh-huh (yes).
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Okay. How about Carlos Mateo Padella?
- 18 A. Yeah, he lived in an area behind the victims.
- 19 Q. And he's on a handwritten list of suspects.
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Is there a reason that he was a suspect?
- A. Somebody mentioned his name and we, and we put him down.
- Q. Okay. And if there's no report about why he's ruled out, it's because why?

- A. Because he was eliminated for one reason or another.
 - Q. Okay. And so if there's no report about it, it's because you either didn't write it up or a missing report?
- A. Right.
- Q. How about Troy McCoy, Jr., do you remember him?
- 7 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. So I have for him a report that he's fingerprinted as a suspect, but nothing that tells me who he is or why he's in the investigation. You don't remember him at all?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. Willie Clarence McLaughlin, do you remember him?
 - A. No, I can't tell you about that one.
 - Q. And I have his prints being compared as well, and a couple people making statements that he drove Joseph Smith home the night of the murders, but he's listed as suspect and I can't tell why. You don't recall why?
 - A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- 20 Q. How about Leslie Raynor?
- 21 A. Don't recall.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Is that a male or a female?
- Q. I don't know. All I have is a report saying he was
 printed as a suspect with no identifications effected,

- but I have no investigative report as to who Leslie

 Raynor is. And when I said he, I'm not even sure I

 know if he --
 - A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
 - Q. Okay. How about Elisha Robinson, do you remember him?
- 6 A. Yeah, I know Elisha.
 - Q. Okay. And can you tell me why he was a suspect and why he was eliminated as a suspect?
 - A. Elisha lives in the area behind the victims, or did at the time, and it was a result of somebody dropping his name.
- 12 Q. Okay. And why was he ruled out?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- 14 Q. Okay. How about Jimmy Robinson, do you remember him?
- 15 A. No.

5

7

8

9

10

- 16 Q. Jimmy Smith, do you remember him?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. All right. And he's listed on that handwritten list of suspects in your file, and I don't have anything else to refresh you with. So you don't recall him?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Joseph Smith, he's a member of the Smith family.
- 23 A. Yeah, I know Joseph Smith.
- Q. And his prints were compared as a suspect. Do you know why he was a suspect and why he was ruled out?

- A. Somebody mentioned his name, and he was, you know,
 would, would, going and coming from his residence would
 come in close proximity to the Davis residence.
 - Q. Okay. And you don't recall any more about him or the investigation of him?
 - A. No.

5

6

7

8

- Q. And then Joshua Smith, a relative of his as well, do you know --
- A. No.
- 10 Q. I've got that prints were compared as a suspect, but no handwritten or no other reports.
- 12 A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- 13 Q. No? Okay. Roscoe Smith?
- 14 A. Yeah, I know Roscoe Smith.
- 15 Q. Can you tell us --
- A. Same thing with Joseph. He was in close proximity to
 the victims, would come and go. He lived in an area
 behind the victims, a little settlement back behind the
 victims.
- Q. And you don't recall why he was eliminated as a suspect?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Samuel Smith, another relative?
- 24 A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- 25 Q. Okay. How about Charles Tyndall?

- A. (Witness does not respond.)
- Q. There's a handwritten, he's handwritten on a suspect list early on in the investigation and his prints are compared as a suspect, but I have no reports indicating why. Do you know?
- A. No.

- Q. And his daughter, Margaret Marie Tyndall, also it shows that she was printed as a suspect. Do you recall why?
- A. No.
- Q. So all the people that I just named, you know that, you can say that they were all eliminated by the end of the investigation as a suspect?
- A. I would most likely say yes, that the majority of them were some way, shape, or form. I'm not sure without looking at, you know, some documentation or reports on it.
- 17 Q. And I would show you it if I had any.
 - A. Right, and I understand.
- 19 Q. And I will show you reports in a minute for some --
 - A. A lot of the names that we came up that people told us about, we pretty much knew from the get-go that they were not suspects but, you know, we made an effort to approach them and fingerprint them and --
 - Q. Let me ask you if there's a difference between this.

 Can you recall, were they ruled out or was Sledge ruled

Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in?

No, and, and let me explain something about Mr. Sledge. We, we received information early on about the hair being a possible match to Mr. Sledge, one of the hair samples. And later on when Mr. Poole got involved in -- the investigation had gone on for some length of time. And, you know, and during this time we were running down all these leads on all these folks. And Mr. Sledge had gone back to jail and been re-incarcerated for the escape and stealing a car. Agent Poole and myself, this was real early after he got involved in it, we had a conversation and discussed the case and what direction that we were going to go with it. And we, we pretty much came to the conclusion that we had to eliminate Mr. Sledge. So our whole approach to the investigation was to do everything that we could to eliminate Mr. Sledge, to get him off of the table as a suspect. And we had not been able to do that up to that point. And so that's pretty much the approach that we took with the investigation from there on out.

- Q. So it was always, the investigation was always Joseph Sledge?
- A. Joseph Sledge was still on the radar; you know, I would be remiss if I told you that he wasn't. But --

- Q. Okay. You had mentioned earlier what the house might have looked like when you stopped there with Mr. Sledge.
- A. Right.

Q. And I just wanted to show you a photograph. This was an exhibit from the hearing.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is on page 122 of your brief.

- Q. And if I may approach, I'm just going to hand this to you. This was an exhibit introduced at trial. If you'll take a look at that and tell me, does that refresh, is that what the house looked like after your investigation or after your initial, right around the time when you drove there with Mr. Sledge?
- A. Most likely, yeah.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. Do you recall this case having a lot of media attention at the time?
 - A. It did have quite a bit.
 - Q. And do you recall a reward being offered and then doubled during your investigation?
 - A. I remember -- I don't recall the award, reward being doubled, but I do remember the award, reward initially being set.
 - Q. Okay. And do you recall that the victims' family, the Davis family wrote letters to the SBI about the

- investigation and what was happening and encouraging it to move along; does that sound familiar?
 - A. I don't, I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall the SBI conducting an audit of this case as an open case?
- 6 A. Huh-uh (no). No.
 - Q. Now, do you remember Donald Sutton?
- 8 A. I do.

4

5

7

- 9 Q. Okay. How many times did you interview Mr. Sutton, if you recall?
- 11 A. He was probably interviewed two or three times, I know.
- Q. Okay. If the file shows four reports, do you think that would be accurate?
- 14 A. He was interviewed numerous times, yeah.
- Q. And if I have four reports in the file, do you think
 that would be all of the times? This is at the point
 when Agent Poole was involved.
 - A. Yeah. Most likely that would be all.
- Q. Do you recall what you said to Mr. Sutton during the times that you interviewed him? Not what he said, but what you said.
- 22 A. No. I can tell you what I didn't say.
- 23 Q. Okay. What didn't you say?
- 24 A. Anything was never mentioned about any reward.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Sutton had been reading the

- 1 newspaper articles about the case?
 - A. I -- (Witness shakes head negatively.)
 - Q. You don't know?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. You said you didn't say anything about the reward. Did he ever ask about the reward?
- 7 A. No.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Did he --
- A. The first time -- this is Mr. Sutton. I think the first time that he ever even mentioned the reward was during the trial. And the defense attorney asked him about the reward, and I think he made some comment, I do remember that, what reward.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And now whether he knew about it or not, I can't say absolutely sure. But the information that he gave to Agent Poole and that he testified in the court was that he did not know about it.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. So I don't know.
- Q. And he was in prison during the times you interviewed him?
- 23 A. I'm pretty sure he was, yes.
- Q. Did he ask for anything? Even if he didn't talk about a reward, did he ask for anything else, time, favor,

- 1 help?
- 2 A. No; no.
 - Q. No, he never asked?
- 4 A. No.

5

10

11

12

- Q. Did you ever offer?
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Now, do you recall that he did get \$2,000 in reward money after the conviction?
- 9 A. Probably, yes.
 - Q. Okay. What I'd like to do is hand you some of your reports so you can look at them, or Agent Poole's reports, and see if that helps you, and I'd like to ask you some questions about them.
- 14 A. All right.
- Q. If you'll just take a minute to look at that and tell me if it looks familiar to you.
- 17 A. (Witness examines document.)
- Q. And I'm only going to ask you about a part of it, so just looking at it, the front, do you recognize it to be an SBI report --
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 \parallel Q. -- in investigation of this case, the Sledge case?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. So on page 5 of this report --
- 25 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is

summarized on page 56 of your brief if you want to follow along.

- Q. Detective Little, if you're on page 5, just let me know when you're there.
- A. I am.

2.4

- Q. And I'm looking at, it says Donnie Lee Sutton was interviewed. Just tell me if you want to read through that, and then I'd like to ask you some questions about it if I can.
- A. (Witness examines document.) Okay.
- Q. So if you'll look at the, I think it's the fourth paragraph. Partway through it says that Mr. Sutton said Sledge told him that authorities had found some clothes with blood on them and were trying to say that he had killed two women, that he didn't kill them.

Do you see that there?

- A. (Witness examines document.) It doesn't say authorities told him, it says Sledge told him that authorities had found --
- Q. Okay. Go ahead and if you would read it, that would be great.
- A. It says that after their recapture Sledge told him that he had escaped and had gotten a ride on a truck on Highway 87, that he had hidden his clothes and later got up with some dude and who let him drive his car.

That Sledge told him that the authorities had found some clothes with blood on them and they were trying to say that he had killed two women, but he hadn't killed them.

- Q. Do you know, did you find clothes with blood on them, other than the victims' clothing?
- A. (No audible response.)
 - Q. You can't recall?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Q. Or no, you didn't, or no, you can't recall?
- 11 A. Well, there was, there was some blood spots that were,
 12 that were checked out on the pants and some other items
 13 that, that was retrieved during the investigation, but
 14 I don't recall what he's talking about here.
 - Q. Okay. Now, it said -- would you read the last paragraph on page 5 for us, please?
 - A. Sutton stated that he would try to recall his conversation with Sledge and would -- and should he be able to remember any new information, he would relay same to writer.
 - Q. And then if you'll read the agent's note at the end.
 - A. Sutton will be interviewed at a later date.
- Q. So in this interview Sutton said Sledge said he didn't do it, but he was going to try to recall more.
 - A. Uh-huh (yes).

- Q. And the note says you would interview him at a later date. Why did you, if you recall, think it would be helpful to interview him again?
- A. I don't know. That was Agent Poole that wrote that. I can't answer that.
- Q. So it was Agent Poole that thought he should be reinterviewed and not you, or you just can't recall?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to hand you another report.
- 10 A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

2.4

- 11 Q. If you'll just do the same thing and take a look at
 12 that, and tell me if you recognize it to be an SBI
 13 report from the investigation of this case.
 - A. (Witness examines document.) Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 3. At the bottom or towards the bottom of page 3 appears to be an interview of Donnie Lee Sutton.
- 18 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. And would you just go ahead and read that, that entire part of the report? Just that interview.
 - A. Sutton, interviewed Sutton, an inmate at Sampson County
 Prison Unit was interviewed on February 8, 1978 at
 11:00 a.m. by writer and Detective Sergeant Phillip
 Little of Bladen County Sheriff's Office. This
 interview was conducted at Sampson County Prison Unit.

22

23

24

25

- Q. If I can stop you for just one moment to tell the Commissioners this is on page 58 of their briefs if they want to follow along. And if you could just read as loudly and clearly as possible. Thank you.
- According to Sutton, he and Joseph Sledge have known Α. each other several years, that he talked with Sledge after he was arrested in South Carolina. That his conversation with Sledge took place at the Cumberland County Jail. Sutton stated that Sledge told him that the women, the victims in Bladen County in parentheses, were supposed to die. And he, Sledge, was glad that they were dead, that their deaths meant that there were two more they, blacks in parentheses, would not have to worry about. Sledge talked about hating white women. Further, that Sledge claimed white women are she-devils and should die. Sutton then went on to say that Sledge said something about a lot of blood, but he, Sutton, doesn't recall exactly what was said about this.

According to Sutton, he was heard -- he has heard Sledge say that the white men were supposed to rule the world for a certain period of time, and if the white women were eliminated, the white man's rule would be shortened.

Agent's Note: Sutton stated that since it had been sometime since he had talked with Sledge, he would

need time to think and put their conversation together.

This interview was terminated.

- Q. Did it concern you that what Mr. Sutton was saying now was quite different than what he'd said in an interview four months earlier?
- A. It did.

- Q. Okay. And tell us about that.
- A. It always or should concern an investigator when someone changes their story. You know, we're pretty much obligated to at least tape the conversation and record it, and then do whatever, you know, ends up being done with it in court or during the investigation.
- Q. Okay. So you just characterized it as changes his story. You feel that he did change his story?
- A. Well, obviously, he changed his story. I know that there is some, there's some hesitancy sometimes with, with, we commonly refer to them, jailhouse snitches, to not come forward to begin with because of being labeled a snitch while they're serving time because they fear for their well-being. But yes, to answer your question, it always raises a flag when we have someone to --
- Q. And are you saying -- I want to make sure I'm understanding it properly -- are you saying it's your

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 22

- 23
- 2.4 25

- job to get it down, and the defense attorney and the prosecutor's job to figure out at trial if they want to use it or what they think of it?
- Α. Well, it's our duty and our responsibility to record it as if, as we received it, yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you just give that over and the attorneys decide?
- Right. Α.
- And do you know if it was given to, if this report was Q. given to the defense and the prosecution?
- Α. I have no idea about- - you know, I don't know.
- All right. Now, the agent's note that you read said Q. that Sutton said it had been some time and he was going to think about it and put the conversations together. And that's what he said four months ago. Were you worried that giving him more time was just giving him more time to, as you said, change his story?
- (Witness nods head affirmatively.) Α.
- Okay. But you did give him more time? Q.
- Well, yeah. I mean, when we -- I'm not sure what Α. you're asking me.
- Q. I guess what I'm trying to understand is, what you've expressed is that you're concerned because he's a snitch and he's changed his story and why is he changing it. Why did you keep interviewing him?

2.4

- A. There was some indication that, and there always is, that either a witness or a suspect is giving you the more information or withholding information from you and, you know, you would, one would almost have to see what he had to say, you know, and then try to find out if there's any substance in the statement or not.
- Q. Okay. So if you'll go on the same report that you've got to page 5, it looks like you did interview him again on February 10.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: This is on page 59 of the brief, Commissioners.

- Q. Which is a couple of days later. And if you'll just go ahead and read us that report as well.
- A. Sutton was re-interviewed on February 10 by writer,
 Assistant Supervisor Gene Stewart, and Detective
 Sergeant Little of the Bladen County Sheriff's Office.
 This interview was conducted at the Sampson County
 Prison Unit. Sutton again stated that he had known
 Sledge since 1974 and that they had spent some time
 together in jail. According to Sutton, since he has
 known Sledge he's talked about knocking over whites,
 she-devils, that Sledge thinks white men use white
 women to get over on black men.

Sutton stated that when they were in Cumberland County Jail together, Sledge said that the

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authorities were trying to say that he killed two women. That Sledge said that he wasn't guilty, but after more conversation, Sledge said that they should be dead. Sledge said something about a lot of blood, seemed to be hung up on blood.

According to Sutton, he asked Sledge about his clothes, and Sledge said that everything he had on was thrown away and nobody could ever find it. Sutton stated that Sledge told him that he had cut himself going over a fence and Sutton thought he meant the prison fence. As to Sledge's escape, Sutton stated that Sledge told him that he had hidden after jumping the fence and then walked to Elizabethtown where he caught a ride with someone on Highway 87. That the person who gave him a ride let him drive the car. Sutton stated that Sledge would not tell him what he was doing before he left Bladen County. That Sledge told him that he was arrested in South Carolina and that he was either going to Florida or Georgia. According to Sutton, he asked Sledge why he was trying to leave North Carolina. Sledge said because he was afraid they were going to convict him of killing the two women.

Sutton states that when he and Sledge were in the penitentiary together Sledge had a blade, that at

_ 1

one time he had a pocketknife as well as a blade that he had made from a kitchen knife.

Agent's note, Sutton stated that he needed to think about their conversation and would probably be able to recall more of what he and Sledge talked about. This interview was terminated, and Sutton will be interviewed at a later date.

- Q. Okay. At the very start it says that an SBI supervisor, I believe, is there, Gene Stewart, and I had noted that not in other -- is there a reason that the SBI supervisor was there on this interview but not others?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. So the agent's note, again, said that Sutton needed more time to think about the conversation and he might be able to recall more, and then it says Sutton will be re-interviewed at a later date. Was Sutton indicating to you that he thought he hadn't told you enough already?
- A. (No audible response.)
- Q. Why was he continuing to think about it, if you recall?
- A. I have no idea. Now, sometimes when we re-interview people we will go back to them when we look at what the facts that they've told us, and then go back and re-interview someone based on what they have told us

1 previously.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

18

22

23

24

- Q. But that, is that specific to this case or just in general?
- A. I'm not sure what -- I'm sorry?
- Q. I'm sorry. Is that what you recall happening in this case or just why you would do it in general?
 - A. Well, why we'd do it in general. I'm not sure why we kept going back to Donnie Sutton.
 - Q. Okay. And were you worried at all that he was asking for more time so he could keep gathering more case facts?
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. So at that time you remember worrying about that?
 - A. Yeah. I would always worry about that, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to hand you another interview summary. If you'll just take a look at that one.
 - A. (Witness examines document.)
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this
 one is on page 60 of your brief. It's the February 15,
 1978.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember this interview?
 - A. Sutton was re-interviewed on February 15 by writer and Detective Sergeant Phillip Little, Bladen County Sheriff's Office. Sutton stated while in jail he and

Sledge talked about being arrested. Sledge said the reason he was trying to leave the state after escape was because they would pin murder on him. According to Sutton, he asked Sledge about the murder and Sledge said that he didn't intend to kill them, but was put in a position where he had to do it. Further, that Sledge said that he was glad the bitches were dead.

Sutton went on to say that Sledge talked about all that blood. According to Sutton, he thought Sledge was crazy. That he, Sledge, said something about the women being cut up. Sutton stated that he couldn't recall how or why Sledge said he knew they were cut up, but he definitely said they were cut up.

Sutton stated that that there was no doubt in his mind about Sledge having killed the women. That he, Sledge, convinced him that he had killed two women. Sledge told Sutton that his clothes would never be found. Sutton thinks Sledge is too smart to wear bloody clothes out of Elizabethtown.

Sutton also stated that Sledge told him he caught a ride out of Elizabethtown to Fayetteville.

Further, that Sledge told him he got up with some dude at a service station in Fayetteville and convinced him to go to South Carolina, Georgia, or somewhere to make some money. Sutton stated that he could not recall

anything else at this time, but may be able to remember more about the conversation later.

And then there's a note at the bottom says, Sledge talked to Donnie Lee Sutton on September, I believe it may be 18th or 19th, on a Sunday at Cumberland County Jail.

- Q. Okay. So each time it says he might be able to remember more, and he's still saying that.
- A. Yeah. But he's basically telling the same thing.
- Q. Well, the first time he said that he said he didn't do it.
- 12 A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

- 13 Q. And then he --
- 14 A. He did; the first time he did tell us that.
- Q. So you feel like after the first time he's telling just more of the same thing with more detail?
- A. Well, his statements are more consistent in the last three interviews.
 - Q. Okay. So it says in here that Sledge told Sutton that his clothes would never be found, but you did find some clothes, right?
- 22 A. We did find some clothes, yes.
- Q. And you said, I'm sorry, I think I asked you, you said you just couldn't recall where they were all found?
- 25 A. Right.

- Ο. Okay. And Sutton said that they were all covered in blood, and you said the ones you found were not covered in blood? 3
 - Α. Right.

2

4

- Okay. Did you believe Donald Sutton? Q.
- Well, we were going to polygraph him. I think Sutton, 6 Α. 7 I think the polygraph was not done on Sutton because he 8 was sick the day that we took him to do the polygraph. 9 But --
- 10 Q. Did you try to reschedule it?
- 11 I don't recall. Α.
- Do you recall that you did, the file that I have shows 12 that you did polygraph 11 people in this case? 13
- Right. 14 Α.
- 15 But not Donald Sutton? Q.
- 16 Α. I don't think we did, and I don't recall for sure.
- 17 And not Herman Baker? Q.
- Yeah, we did Herman Baker. 18 Α.
- 19 Okay. So the next time that Donald Sutton spoke is the 0. 20 trial, does that sound accurate to you? There's no more interviews? 21
- 22 Α. I think so, yes.
- 23 All right. Let's talk about Herman Baker now. Q.
- 24 Α. All right.
- 25 Ο. Do you know how many times you interviewed Herman

1 Baker?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. No, not exactly.
- Q. If there's only one reported in the file, do you think that's because you only interviewed him one time?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. How did you get Herman Baker's name to begin with?
 - A. Herman Baker, how Herman Baker's name came up, the superintendent of the White Lake Department of Corrections had contacted me and told me that he had an inmate that was getting ready to be released and the inmate said he had some information about the murder of two women and that he needed to get it off his chest before he was released.
- Q. Okay. And had Mr. Sparkman helped you located other people in this case who might have had information?
- 17 A. (Witness does not respond.)
- Q. Did he help you locate Julian Broadway and Donald Sutton?
- 20 A. I think so, yes.
- 21 Q. And Donald Sutton as well?
- 22 A. I don't recall, but most likely.
- Q. Okay. Was that unusual for Captain Sparkman to help you find witnesses?
 - A. Well, it was not unusual as far as if an inmate was to

2.4

go to him and say he had information, he would pass that on. We didn't have Captain Sparkman out actually, you know, scouting and looking for witnesses. If we needed to find a particular inmate, then we most likely would tap into Captain Sparkman to find out his location because he had direct access to prison records.

- Q. Okay. Now, do you recall what you said to Herman Baker during interviews with Mr. Baker?
- A. I asked him, I do recall we asked him why, you know, he wanted to talk to us and why he didn't, why he didn't come forward earlier. And he said it was because of the being in prison and but he told Agent Poole and I that he was getting ready to be released and that something that Sledge had told him was heavy on his mind and that he, that he had called his uncle who was a police officer in Philadelphia, I believe it was, and that had told him about the information that he had. And his uncle told him that he needed to go ahead and contact the authorities and get, come clean on it. He said, tell them what you know. And that's pretty much how we came to speak with Mr. Baker.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if he had been reading newspaper articles or talking to people about the case before you --

- A. I have no idea.
 - Q. All right. Did he ask for anything in exchange for his testimony?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

23

2.4

- Q. Time? Reward money?
- A. No. Well, he was getting out of prison already.
- Q. Did he say he had any other charges that were pending and asked for help with them?
- A. No. He was getting ready to leave the state.
- Q. And do you recall that he did get \$3,000 reward money after the trial?
- 12 A. He did. And I know the circumstances of that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Go ahead.
 - A. After the trial was over with we were sitting in the district attorney's office and I, I don't recall who it was that brought it up, but someone made the statement that Herman Baker should get the money because he had the most accurate and most helpful statement.
- 19 Q. Is that why he got \$3,000 and Sutton got \$2,000?
- 20 A. Probably.
- Q. So did you agree that Mr. Baker's statement was a bigger part of the case than Mr. Sutton's?
 - A. I think Mr. Baker at the time, I understand that he may be recanting it now, but at the time I think he was sincere. He gave all indications of being sincere with

1 what he was doing.

- Q. Does that mean Donald Sutton wasn't sincere?
- A. Well, I'm not saying that, but I'm saying that

 Mr. Baker coming forward unprompted, I think that --
 - Q. You only had to interview him once and you had to interview Donald Sutton four times to get there, is that what you mean?
- 8 A. No, that's not --
- 9 Q. Okay.

2

5

6

7

10

14

15

16

17

18

22

- A. That's not what I'm saying.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. I'm not really sure what I mean. I'm just specifically talking about Mr. Baker.
 - Q. Okay. Well, I'm trying, I'm just, I'm trying to ask how come they didn't split it down the middle? How come it wasn't \$2,500 to each? Why was Mr. Baker getting more than Mr. Sutton, if you know?
 - A. I have -- I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's look at that interview. I'm going to hand you the report. Just take a look at it first and see if you recall it and what it is.
 - A. (Witness examines document.) It is a copy of an interview that was done with Herman Baker.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is

- on page 64 of your brief if you would like to look at it.
 - Q. Let me just ask you a couple of questions about the report. The report doesn't say, or at least I can't see on there where he was interviewed, but you testified that he was interviewed at a motel; do you recall that?
 - A. I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Can you tell us why was he taken to a motel?
- 10 A. For his safety.
- 11 Q. His safety?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you do that with any other witnesses in this case?
 - A. Some, some of the inmate witnesses, I know that we interviewed at someplace on the Department of Correction premises. A lot of them -- some of them, you know, we may have taken them to another location.
 - Q. I recall seeing reports where you took many people to the sheriff's office.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. But I didn't see any others for a motel. Would you have not taken him to the sheriff's office for safety?

 Was a motel safer?
 - A. Well, the motel was close by. The motel was at White Lake.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

- A. The sheriff's office, you got jail inmates and other inmates that are in and around that area, and I think we discussed that and for his safety we decided to take him to a neutral location.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. And that's not uncommon for investigators to do that.
 - Q. And was Agent Poole also staying at that hotel during the investigation?
- 10 A. I think he did, yes.
- 11 \ Q. Okay. So you knew that --
- 12 A. I'm pretty sure it was the same hotel, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And it shows in this report, I believe, correct me if I'm wrong, that Sparkman was on the interview, too, at the motel room?
 - A. I believe Mr. Sparkman may have been there; yeah, he was.
- 18 Q. Okay. And why would he have been there?
- 19 A. (Witness does not respond.)
- Q. Was it just because he was being taken out of the prison?
- 22 A. Because Mr. Baker was in his custody.
- Q. Okay. So he wouldn't have been there if you were at the sheriff's department necessarily, but because it was a motel --

A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. Okay.
- A. The Department of Corrections still technically had custody of him.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And one other thing, one of the reasons Captain

 Sparkman was there is to keep other employees out of
 the mix on this thing, if you will.
 - Q. Other prison employees?
 - A. Other prison employees just for the fear, you know, somebody may make a statement or say something. So we went to the top to start with and Captain Sparkman was one that came with him.
 - Q. Okay. Now, this one is a little bit longer so I'm not going to ask you to read the whole thing, but if you need to take your time and read through it, because I wanted to ask you about a broken jaw. And I didn't see that listed anywhere in the report, but will you read through and see if you see anything about a broken jaw in the report?
 - A. (Witness examines document.)
 - Q. And you can read it, if you like.
 - A. (Witness continues to examine document.) All right.

 Baker was interviewed on February 16, 1978 at 8:30 a.m.

 by writer; Captain Sparkman, North Carolina Department

2.4

of Corrections; and Detective Phillip Little, Bladen
County Sheriff's Office. According to Baker, he's
known Sledge since 1969, that he was in the Air Force
and met Sledge in a poolroom in Fayetteville. That he
lost contact with Sledge, but met him again in 1975
while serving for forgery at the White Lake Prison
Camp. Baker went on to say that he was paroled in
January 1976, however, in April 1977 he was back in the
prison system after being convicted of breaking and
entering.

That in June 1977 he was at Moore County
Prison Camp and again met with Sledge. That either in
June or July Sledge was lifting weights and he, Baker,
was talking with him. While they were talking another
inmate came over and told Sledge that he was wanted in
the office. Sledge returned 10-15 minutes later and
said that he was wanted in court in Elizabethtown.
According to Baker he asked Sledge why, and Sledge said
he wondered if it was about the murders in
Elizabethtown. Baker went on to say that Sledge asked
him, if I tell you something, can you keep it to
yourself. That Sledge said that he escaped, when he
escaped he was running through the woods and looking
for a place to hide when he came up on an old house.
Sledge told Baker that he didn't think anyone was home

and went in. That after he got in the house a lady came in the room screaming, what are you doing in the house? He pushed her and hit her. Another lady was coming in the room screaming, and one yelled, call the police, or something to that effect.

According to Baker, Sledge said he started stabbing one of them, and the other one was trying to pull him off and he stabbed her. Sledge said he kept stabbing them and stabbing them. Sledge says, damn um, it seemed like I went crazy. Further, that Sledge said that he ran out of the house through the back door and towards the woods. That he ran into a cleared field where there was an old building and buried the knife behind the old building.

Baker stated that Sledge referred to the women as she-devils and said that when he stabbed them he didn't think blood was going to come out, he thought fire would come out. Further, that while inside the house one of the women hit him with something. Baker went on to say that Sledge told him he sprinkled black pepper around the back doorsteps when he left the house to keep the she-devils' spirits from coming after him. That while inside the house he had gone -- had one of the women on the floor and thought the other lady was going to a phone, so he jumped up and got the other

one.

2.4

According to Baker, Sledge kept saying he stabbed them, he stabbed. Baker went on to say that Sledge talked about, a lot about killing white women, and on one occasion told Ralph Quick, Scatter-man, a.k.a. Scatter-man, that he should kill the white girl he was dating, that they were in prison at the time. Baker stated the conversation with Sledge took place at around 12:00 noon on Tuesday, that he knows it was Tuesday because he had a class that night. That Sledge went on to tell him that he stole a car after killing the two women.

- Q. Thank you. So I wanted to ask about in the report I didn't see anything about a broken jaw, one of the victims being hit and having a broken jaw. But at the trial Herman Baker testified that Sledge said he hit one of the women in the jaw. Do you recall if he said that when you interviewed him?
- A. I don't, do not recall that, and we did not know that until the second autopsy.
- Q. Right. Okay. So you didn't know that a victim had a broken jaw until the second autopsy?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And the second autopsy was about a month after the murder, is that right?

- A. I think that's about right, yeah.
- Q. Okay. So you did know it by the time you interviewed Herman Baker?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And you just don't recall whether it didn't make it in the report or whether he didn't say it until trial?
- A. I don't recall him making a statement about that.
- Q. Okay. Do you know then if the prosecutor interviewed him, do you know if the prosecutor interviewed him prior to trial?
- 12 A. I do not.
- Q. Do you know how the prosecutor knew to ask him about the broken jaw if he didn't say it?
- 15 A. (Witness shakes head negatively.)
- Q. Okay. Now, I didn't have any documentation that you polygraphed Herman Baker, but you said you recall that you did polygraph Herman Baker?
- A. Somewhere in the report, I have seen a report that
 Herman Baker was polygraphed.
- Q. Okay. When do you remember seeing that, recently or back in the '70s?
- 23 A. It was back, sometime back.
- 24 Q. Okay. Do you recall the results of the polygraph?
- 25 A. It was he was being truthful.

- Q. All right. I'm going to hand you another report. If you'll just take a look at this report. I don't need you to read it, just see if it refreshes you and if you remember what this is.
- A. (Witness examines document.) It's an interview with Dr. Marvin Thompson, a pathologist.
- Q. Okay. And what is the date of the interview?
- A. Date of interview is November 2, '76.
- 9 Q. Okay. And was this the doctor that did the first autopsy report?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And you just said that you didn't know about the broken jaw until the second autopsy report?
- 14 A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- Q. Can you read -- I'm sorry. Okay. So the second autopsy was after this one?
- 17 A. Yes.

18

- Q. Does November 9 sound right for the second autopsy, shortly after?
- 20 A. It was a few days later, yeah.
- Q. Okay. If you'll read the line that says, it's right in the middle, I did not X-ray the bodies of the two victims. Can you read that, those two sentences right there?
- 25 A. I did not X-ray the bodies of the two victims. I

- cannot say whether or not Josephine Davis had a broken jaw.
 - Q. So were you asking him about a broken jaw then?
 - A. I think the reason that that came up was there was some bruising on her face, and I don't remember why that came up but --
- 7 Q. Okay. So you were suspecting that maybe --
 - A. There was a pretty severe bruising and swelling on one side of the face if -- that I recall.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Mr. Little, I'm going to hand you another document. If you'll take a look at it, tell me if you recognize it.
- 13 A. (Witness examines document.)
- 14 Q. And I don't need you to read it, just to tell me.
- 15 A. (Witness continues to examines document.) Yes, I
 16 recognize it.
- 17 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 18 A. It was a letter that I received from Herman Baker in
 19 October, I think it was, 1980.
- 20 Q. So well after the trial?
- 21 A. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

- 22 Q. And what is he asking for in the letter?
- A. (Witness examines document.) I think he pretty much
 was telling me that he was back in prison again and was
 on a work release program or had been approved for

- that, but was having problems because he had given

 statements about Sledge, and was asking if I knew of

 anyplace that he could get a job. And specifically he

 mentioned a place, a manufacturing plant that was in

 Elizabethtown at the time called Cape Crafts.
 - Q. Okay. So is he asking you to help him get moved for work release?
- 8 A. That's what it appears, yeah.
- 9 Q. Had you told him that you would help him?
- 10 A. No.

- 11 Q. Did you help him?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Okay. And you just saved this and put it in the file?
- 14 A. I did.
- Q. Okay. All right. Let's talk about the pepper can. Do you remember the pepper can?
- 17 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember the first time you saw the pepper can?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us about that?
- 22 A. When I did a walk-through of the house.
- Q. Is this the first time you came to the house, when you were first called in?
- 25 A. I believe that's correct, yes.

Q. Okay. Please go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. And as you go into the, from the living room portion --
- Q. Do you need to use that photograph? I think it's --
- Well, I, I think I can do it. When you leave out of Α. the living room and go into the kitchen, the kitchen was fairly small and cluttered. There was a couple wood stoves, there was a wood stove and I think maybe an electric stove, but the victims used the wood stove to do their cooking. If you immediately -- and it's kind of an open doorway, if you will, that goes, an open area that went in -- but it's a framed up area that goes into the kitchen. And the kitchen was very narrow, probably no more than six or eight feet wide, runs along back side of the house. As you -- if you make a left turn as soon as you enter the kitchen, then there's another door, and then there's like a little breezeway or hallway. And if you do an immediate right, and the, then the hallway is very narrow, probably no more than maybe four-by-four, make an immediate right, you go out the back door and down the steps. If you go straight across the hallway you go into a storage room.
- Q. And do you recall where exactly -- if you don't recall, but if you do, where the pepper can was?
- A. Yes, in that hallway as you were going --

- Q. In the hallway?
- A. Yeah. That's what I'm referring to it as, as a hall.
- 4 Q. The hallway?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

19

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And what was done on the initial part of the investigation the day that the bodies were discovered, what, if anything, was done to the pepper can?
 - A. I don't recall. The pepper can was not significant at that time.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was fingerprinted or collected or anything?
- A. At some point I did attempt to fingerprint it, yes.
- Q. Okay. And if I don't have any report of that, you recall that it was done, though?
 - A. It was.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember, was it sitting all by itself or was the pepper can with other items in the --
 - A. No, the pepper can was lying on the floor.
- 20 Q. Lying on the floor?
- 21 A. Yeah.
 - Q. And I can't locate any reports of the pepper can initially. Is that -- I guess it could be because they're missing, or was it something insignificant to you at the time?

- A. It was totally insignificant at the time.
- Q. And when you first arrived did you do a careful search of the crime scene?
- A. Well, I did do as careful as I could, yes.
- Q. Okay. And at that time did you notice any black pepper scattered around anywhere in the back doorway, the hall, anywhere in the house?
- A. No. There's been, there's been some reports in some of the media that there was an odor of black pepper, and I tell you that, that is absolutely not true. You couldn't smell black pepper.
- Q. Okay. And if you had seen pepper scattered around, would you have written that down or noted that?
- A. I can't answer that.
- 15 Q. But you don't recall seeing any?
- 16 A. Yeah; yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 17 Q. And you don't recall smelling it or --
 - A. Because most of the disarray in the house was in that living room portion and part of the bedroom. Other than just slightly inside the kitchen doorway where there was a bloody track or a partial, there was nothing, other than some food on the table, there was nothing that was out of place or was noteworthy to me in the kitchen or around the back door at that time.
 - Q. Okay. Well, when was the next time you thought about

1 that black pepper can?

- A. After our interview with Herman Baker.
- 3 Q. The one you just read?
- 4 A. Yes.

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. All right. So what did you do then, did you go back?
- A. Agent Poole and I returned to the house and recovered it.
 - Q. And where was it when you returned?
 - A. I don't remember if it was on the floor. I'm sure it's in my testimony, but just, I mean, I can't remember right now where it was, if I had placed it on a table that was sitting nearby or if it was still on the floor.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was empty?
- 15 A. No, it had pepper in it.
- 16 Q. Do you remember if it was full or --
- 17 A. Well, it had, it had quite a bit of pepper in it.
- Q. Okay. And then when you went back and collected it did you look for pepper then on the floor?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. I mean, that would virtually, because of the condition of the house and the floor, it would have been virtually impossible to --
 - Q. All right. So do you still have that photograph up

there, this one (indicating)? I mean not photograph, but the picture from the trial?

A. Like I say, yes, I do.

2.4

Q. And I'm just looking at this.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is in your brief, page 115 of the brief. This is the exhibit of the -- that Detective Little just testified about.

- Q. And Detective Little, when I'm looking at this and I have read your testimony, in the kitchen there's an X that you placed. Is that where the pepper can was located?
- A. It may have been, I'm not sure. I do remember in trial

 I said where the pepper can was, but without referring,

 you know, back to --
- Q. Looking at that now, is that where you recall it being, or you're saying you recall it being in this little area marked hall?
- A. It was -- I don't recall, but it was somewhere in that general neighborhood.
- Q. Okay. Somewhere in this area?
- 22 A. Yeah, right.
 - Q. Okay. Now, I think you've already described this other part of that same picture, but is there anything that you wanted to add about that, the map of the area

showing the victims' residence and the prison?

A. I'm sorry?

- Q. I just, I wanted to make sure, did you have anything you wanted to say about this part, the map of the location? I think you had told us where Turnbull Creek was, and I think this must be the victims' residence, it says Davis Res.
- A. Yes. It shows the two outside lines, the one to the right looking at the photograph is US 701, and then there's another solid line to the left that is NC 242, which is the highway that actually goes by the victims' residence, by the front of the victims' residence.

 There is a solid line that intersects 242 and 701 just up from the Davis, what's represented as the Davis residence, and that's a road called, state road that's Willard Tatum Road. The dirt trail, that's on the North Carolina State Forest Service property, and on the south side of Turnbull is, there's a broken line and that's the dirt trail that I was referring to as Hoover Bridge Road.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall this little square down here at the, in the bottom right corner, is that where the car was stolen from?
- A. It would be somewhere in that general area based on the diagram of Elizabethtown, yes.

- Q. Okay. All right. Is there anything that I haven't asked you about that you think is important for the Commissioners to know?
- A. I think going back to, with all honesty, I think the black, I mean the X is probably where the pepper can was found.
- Q. The X in the kitchen?
- A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

- Q. Okay.
- A. But it was right there at the door. It's not -- I mean, it was right up against the doorjamb on the floor.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
 - A. And that's through the door going into that hallway.
- 15 Q. And then that goes outside, is that right?
 - A. That's right. You make a right turn and immediately you're going down the steps.
- Q. Okay. All right. I'm done asking you questions, but the commissioners may have questions.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners?

JUDGE SUMNER: Any questions? Yes, sir.

22

23

24

25

20

21

EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH

Q. Was anything of value taken from the house that you could tell there was a robbery?

- 1 Α. There was a pocketbook, a lady's pocketbook, which 2 there was some information came up about that later. 3 There was some money that we had information that was stolen, but we also received later on some information 4 5 from a witness in Fayetteville that supposedly saw 6 Mr. Sledge with, in possession of a lady's handbag. 7 And I don't recall that individual's name, but I do know that that individual was polygraphed. 8
 - Q. I think you said that Mr. Sledge was tracked to Hoover Bridge or near Hoover Bridge?
 - A. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And that the tracks were lost. How far -- I'm sorry?
- A. The dog quit trailing at the Hoover Bridge and now and that was right there at Turnbull Creek. But according to the trail, it appeared that the, that the person, well, Mr. Sledge that they were trailing crossed the creek there.
- Q. Okay. Well, he moves across the water and the dog couldn't pick up the scent after that?
- A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- Q. How far would that be as the crow flies from the Davis house?
- 23 A. Probably a mile, mile-and-a-half.
- 24 Q. And --
 - A. And that road, that road comes out about 300 yards from

1 the Davis residence.

- Q. Okay. So you could follow Mr. Sledge's tracks to within about a mile or little more of the house?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

Q. Just one moment and I think I'll be through.

Did Mr. Sutton and Mr. Baker know each other?

- A. Yeah, I don't know.
 - Q. Were there consistencies in their stories? For example, the use of devils.
 - A. There was. Matter of fact, there was kind of broad ranging consistency with the fact -- and we had several inmates that told us this, and several acquaintances -- that Mr. Sledge was a devout Muslim and hated white women. And it was pretty obvious of his hatred towards white people.
 - Q. And you don't have any indication, you don't, that they had ever talked together, that is Mr. Sutton and Mr. Baker?
- A. No, sir. The inmates that really gave us some of the statements were in different prison units.
- 21 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. They may have been in the same unit at some point in time, but they were in different prison units.
 - Q. One last question. Were you able to tell how the killer got into the house?

A. No, sir.

- Q. So you don't know whether he knocked on the door and they let him in or whether he broke in?
- A. Well, according to one of the statements, he, he went in the house thinking it was abandoned. And it could be apparent to one that the house was abandoned just simply the way it looked at the time. And according to a statement that he made, I believe it was Mr. Baker, that he thought the house was vacant and was surprised when he got inside when the women woke up and started screaming and fighting him.
- Q. Do we have any way to know about what time it would have been when the intruder came in?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you, Detective Little.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

Q. I'm curious about Mr. Sledge's comments. I'm noting the number of times he made comments about, well, basically a bunch of black racist comments. Anyone ever consider the fact that he was elaborating and bragging to impress his fellow inmates or trying to recruit them to the black Muslim cause by saying these

various things?

A. He, he, he, he may have. I'm not saying that that was not the case. But it was pretty apparent that on numerous occasions when he did talk to people that — and that, that was, that was, if anything, that was the most consistent thing everybody that we talked to told us about Mr. Sledge. Even the ones that didn't have any information about the murder that we spoke with that were acquaintances conveyed that to us.

Q. Was there any other evidence from any other instance where he had either, if not attacked, at least acted hostilely toward white women, or was this the only indication, only time you have an indication of that?

A. Yeah, I don't, I don't recall that there -- I think there was a case where he had assaulted someone. I'm not sure what the reason behind that was.

Q. Okay.

A. But he did have some assault records and convictions, I think.

Q. But do you know what the race or the gender of those people were?

A. I do not.

Q. Thank you.

EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. If I remember correctly, there's still some question as to whether you took Mr. Sledge on the 10th or the 12th.

 The polygraph we know was conducted on the 11th, but there is -- was it ever able to show by report or remember an absolute --
- A. I'm not sure if that was a, that was a mistake or transposed number or what that was, but you're right, it was, I think the polygraph was done on the 11th.
- Q. Detective, do you remember if any of the SBI agents working the case would have taken the Defendant from the jail and done a drive-through other than the one that you did, whether it was the 10th or the 12th?
- A. It's possible, yes, sir. At one time, initially we were, for lack of a better work, were kind of wolf-packing this thing. There was a lot of investigators running down leads. They were reporting back to the lead investigator, but there was some other things being done. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

Q. You indicated earlier in your testimony that you thought that Joseph was being deceptive when he talked about certain things when you were driving him around.

1 What are those things?

A. Well, one of them was his clothing and the route of travel that he actually traveled. He really, to be quite honest with you, he didn't talk a whole lot at that time and was not very responsive to questions.

The most notable comment that he made during this whole time was when we stopped in front of the house and, you know, whether he knew that for sure or whether he assumed that was the victims' residence, I don't know. You know, I just conveyed to you what the statement that he made.

(THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED.)

- Q. When you got Mr. Sledge to ride him around, you were riding him around for what purpose?
- A. Well, attempting to shed some light on his travel and what his actions were.
- Q. What had you communicated to him is the basis of this travel?
- A. That we were going to ask him if he would go with us and kind of show us the route that he traveled when he left the prison.
- Q. So you had talked to him about the escape?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. Had you had any conversation with him about the

1 murders?

2.4

- A. I do not recall. I, I don't think so at that time.
- Q. You indicated that there had been some threats before you were traveling around with him; threats about what?
- A. Well, whether those threats were credible or not, I don't know, but, you know, we had received some information that possibly --
- Q. We being?
- A. The authorities, the sheriff's office.
- 10 Q. Had received what?
 - A. That some of the family members were upset and may be trying to, may try to do something, so we wanted to make sure that didn't happen.
 - Q. When was the moment that you -- Joseph Sledge became a suspect?
 - A. Well, he was definitely a person of interest just due to the fact that he had escaped and had traveled in the direction of the victims' residence. Now, it wasn't till later, you know, after we got involved in the murder investigation that we found out that an inmate had escaped. And of course, you know, we wouldn't have been doing our job if that hadn't of popped up on our radar at that time, that he could be a person of interest or a potential suspect. But most especially when we started tracking him and we found out he had

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

25

been tracked to within, you know, a short distance from the victims' residence and his direction of travel was heading directly that way.

- Was there physical evidence that connected him to the Q. residence or to the crime?
- No, not at that time; no. Α.
- That's all I have, thank you. 0.

EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- One question, Detective. You said that where the dog Q. lost the scent was about a mile walking from the Davis residence. How far is it from the prison unit to the Davis residence?
- Well, as the crow flies, probably two, three miles Α. maybe, maybe three-and-a-half miles. There's a road -matter of fact, our law enforcement range is back there now, but at the time there was nothing back there. There was a road that accessed across the swampy area and a lot of prisoners that escaped from that unit traveled that road because it's right behind the fence at the prison. And it goes all the way across a wooded area back there across a field and comes out on this Williard Tatum Road, the paved road that he would had to of crossed before he got to Turnbull Creek. And then that's, that's where he picked up Hoover Bridge

Road and then traveled Hoover Bridge Road to Turnbull

Creek. Hoover Bridge Road then from the Turnbull Creek

to the victims' residence may be a mile-and-a-half, but

where it comes out on 242, the dirt road that goes all

the way through the wooded area there, comes out maybe

300 yards from the victims' residence. Matter of fact,

you can almost see the victims' residence where it

comes out to the paved road.

- Q. Did the dog in fact track Mr. Sledge the way that you say most prisoners left the prison? Was that the exact same route that the dog tracked?
- A. He did. A lot of inmates would go that route because it was secluded and --
- Q. Right. So that is the way that the dog tracked --
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. -- Mr. Sledge when he escaped, but he lost him there at Turnbull, at the creek?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And the thinking was possibly he went in the water and the dog lost the scent?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you, sir.

23

24

25

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

Q. When you first got in touch with Mr. Sledge, where was

that, was that at the sheriff's office there or --

- A. No, that was in, I believe it was Dillon, South Carolina.
- Q. Okay. So you --
- A. It was in South Carolina.
 - Q. You went with him to South Carolina to pick him up?
- 7 A. Yes.

2.4

- Q. When the authorities picked him up there was he there very long before you all came down there or --
- A. Not, not a lot. I'll try to tell you what I remember about that. A BOLO or a be on the lookout for Mr. Sledge had been transmitted on the media and at the time -- primarily based on the car larceny. He had gone to Fayetteville, Mr. Sledge. And a Fayetteville police officer, G.D. White -- we knew, we knew what the vehicle was that he had stolen in Elizabethtown.

 Mr. G.D. White, who was a Fayetteville police officer at the time, spotted the vehicle and gave chase.

 Mr. Sledge jumped out and it's my understanding that possibly Mr. White knew Sledge because of his having been around the Fayetteville area and having been arrested up there before.

But after that we received a call from a State Trooper in, I think it was Dillon, South Carolina, that he had run across an individual that met

the description of somebody that he had seen on the news. But he was on the street at that time, he was trying to get a ride to Savannah, Georgia. And the South Carolina authorities went back and picked him up and then we went down there. He, Mr. Sledge admitted early on about the stealing of a car.

- Q. Okay.
 - A. So, and he agreed to come back.
 - Q. So he didn't fight extradition or anything like that?
- 10 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So he was picked up on an auto theft and escape,

 I take it, charges?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did the subject of the murders ever come up when you were bringing him back, I mean, if you recall? Do you --
 - A. I don't think that was ever mentioned to him on the way back.
 - Q. That's what I was wondering. I was just wondering when during the course of the conversation did he ever realize or did he realize there initially that there had been murders, as far as you could tell? Was there talk about the murder?
 - A. At some point in time and what I have in, you know, the notes to look at, I don't recall when that point in

2.4

time was. But I'm, I'm pretty sure that we didn't talk to him initially on the way back.

- Q. Was there some, ever any kind of period of rapport building between you and whoever else was with you, you know, to loosen him up to talk freely, that kind of thing, or was it all strictly business?
- A. Well, initially you take a very non-adversarial approach with someone that you're trying to get information out of. And that's what we were wanting to do was, or that's what my intent was is to maintain a non-adversarial and establish a, establish a rapport with him. And so we didn't, didn't really pounce on him, if you will, initially about the murders. It was mostly as a witness, you know, where did you go after you escaped, and what have you.
- Q. Do you recall if you all drove directly back to, say, the prison and kind of started from that direction, or did you go back to the sheriff's office and sit down --
- A. Just from what I recall, I think at the time we didn't want to, him to even know that we were looking at him on the murders until we had recovered clothing and retraced his route and stuff.
- Q. So when you all -- you already had the information in your mind, I guess, about the dog tracking, so you kind of had an idea what the route was. Did he acknowledge

that was the route he took?

- A. No. He claimed that, pretty much that he traveled along 701, but we, we never did believe that.
- Q. Which was kind of like the main route into the prison?
- A. Yeah. That's the main highway, it's the US highway that goes from Elizabethtown by the White Lake Prison.
- Q. And did he escape during the daytime?
 - A. I'm pretty sure it was at nighttime or late evening.
- 9 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

- A. It was after, I think it was after bed check, or maybe right before.
 - Q. When you all wound up back in front of the, in front of the crime scene, the victims' house, at that point do you recall whether there had ever been any discussion of this double homicide that had occurred at that time?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. So you all just kind of stopped there and --
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Were you and the other officer talking or was he involved in the conversation at all or --
 - A. We, we pretty much, the way that happened, we pulled up there and had some notes and was, I think, pulled out a pad and was writing something down, and that was pretty much a spontaneous statement that he made to us.
 - Q. So at that point you all hadn't referenced to what

- color the people were that were killed or how many there were that were killed?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Or even if there had been a murder?
- 5 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Did the conversation ever come up as to why there was crime scene tape out there or --
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Were you parked, from the direction of that photograph were you parked outside the crime scene tape or was there crime scene tape?
 - A. Our direction of travel, we were traveling 242 back, it would be northeast of the, of Elizabethtown. And we parked on the opposite side of the road on the oncoming traffic lane side, and just pulled over to the shoulder of the road there.
- Q. Was there a police presence over there at the house still?
- A. There wasn't any police presence, but as I said earlier, the crime scene or the keep out signs most likely were there.
- Q. So could you tell whether he was, like, looking over there at that house and --
 - A. He did. He sat there for a minute. And that was one of the things that I wanted to do was to see what his

reaction was going to be.

Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. And he sat there and didn't say anything for a, for a few moments. And then he raised his hands up and pointed over towards the house and then made that comment.
- Q. At that point had there -- I know you all hadn't talked about the manner of killing or even if there had been a killing, but do you recall if the media knew at that point there was, it was a stabbing type murder?
- A. I'm pretty sure they did, yes, sir.
- Q. So that would have been out in the public domain at that point?
- A. Yes, sir.

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- Q. Were you driving, Detective, when you made that stop, were you driving the vehicle?
- A. No, another officer, I think, was driving, and I was sitting in the back --
- 21 Q. With --
- 22 A. -- with Mr. Sledge.
- Q. Had you all discussed previously about, thinking about stopping there to see what his reaction was?
 - A. We did.

Was that your idea or someone else's?

- 1 Q.
 - A. I don't recall, but I know we did discuss that, yes.
 - Q. To see what his reaction might be?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2

3

4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

Q. In the Baker interview -- I'm sorry.

JUDGE SUMNER: Go ahead.

- Q. In the Baker interview there's reference to he said, I believe he said he buried the knife in the woods behind the house.
- A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. In that picture there's some kind of scattered trees around, but it looks like way back in the distance there's some woods. If you recall if that's what he -- did you think that's what they were talking about or Mr. --
- A. I don't know. There was some outbuildings in around there and we did search that area to no avail.
- Q. I was kind of wondering if anybody ever went with a metal detector in that, behind the woods and just walked that area to see if they hit on anything?
- A. There was a fairly extensive search done back there.
- Q. Okay.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. Was that after the Baker interview, went back where he gave that information to your --
- A. We did go back there after we got that information, yes, sir. And that was one of the reasons that we kept revisiting things on this. We'd get some information, then we'd go try to corroborate it or disprove it.
- Q. Yes, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SUMNER

- Q. Were you ever able to verify the fact that there had been a robbery in connection with this matter?
- A. No. And we never, you know, robbery was, robbery or actually sexual assault was never on the table as a motive for what happened. And the, the two victims were very indigent. I mean, one can look at the, how they were living and tell that, that they lived a very primitive or simple life.
- Q. Or a sparse life.
 - A. Yeah, that's, that's correct.
 - Q. I understand, were there other incidents of escapes from this prison about the same time as this?
 - A. I don't recall. You know, there was escapes from that prison kind of on and off over the years, as long as I remember, you know. It was a medium-security prison

and it was not, not hard for someone to leave there.

2.4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- Q. Was it the superintendent's policy or someone in charge there at the unit, was it their policy to immediately notify local law enforcement when there was an escape or would they wait, say, hours before they did that?
- A. I don't know what their policy was, per se. I do know that normally after a short period of time. I don't know that they would immediately do it. We didn't have the technology and the media and the access to communications, you know, then that we had later in my career. I wish we had of. But I'm pretty sure that we were involved in the -- or when I found out about his escape, we were involved in the investigation when I found out about that an inmate had escaped.
- Q. So the homicide or the two homicides had already occurred when you found out, and you found out from someone there at the sheriff's office or from Captain Sparkman?
- A. Somebody from the Department of Corrections, and I don't know if they notified us, per se, for to establish that it was a suspect or was just conveying information about an escapee to law enforcement.

 Because that was, that was pretty common practice if

- they had a runner, that they would notify law enforcement.
 - Q. And they usually did that pretty quick afterward?
 - A. That's right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. When the charges were brought against Mr. Sledge for the escape and for the larceny of the motor vehicle, the escape charges, I'm assuming, were made by the Department of Corrections?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did the sheriff's office work the vehicle larceny or was that done by the police department?
- 12 A. I think that was the Elizabethtown Police Department.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- A. And it was not until probably almost a year later that
 we really, that Mr. Sledge was charged with the murder.
 - Q. Right. So when you went, you originally went to Dillon to return him back to North Carolina --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. -- and at that time he was being returned because of his escape and the larceny?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. But you and the other people investigating this crime,

 I guess, with the sheriff's office had a reasonable

 suspicion that he may have been --
- 25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. -- is that correct?

- A. That's correct. And we didn't, and the reason that we didn't want to pounce on him to start with, you know, if you're in law enforcement, to know a lot of times a suspect or a person of interest will clam up if you do that.
- Q. Right.
 - A. So like I say, our contact with him at that time had been very non-adversarial other than him being in custody.
 - Q. So he was not surprised for people from the sheriff's office to come retrieve him instead of someone from the Department of Corrections?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Thank you.

2.4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

Q. Detective Little, there was a picture, and I don't know how to do this, there's a picture on 122 -- because I don't think you have a copy of the brief -- but the day that you rode with Mr. Sledge back to the house, he made the unsolicited statement to you, would it be fair to say that that is a representation of what he saw that day?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: That is the one that's

right there, the picture of the house.

MR. VICKORY: He's got it?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay.

- A. I couldn't remember, but if that's what you've got there, yeah, that's the way it was.
 - Q. We had mentioned crime scene tape because -- I just want to -- so there was basically a rope or a cable that the sign --
 - A. There was not any crime scene tape that said that.

 Matter of fact, at that time I don't even know if the department even had anything that said crime scene on it, keep out. But there was a rope that had been put up and a keep out sign.
- Q. Back on the 11th do you remember when his polygraph examination was done, were you present or did you review the questions or the results after, close after that examination?
- A. I'm pretty sure I did, yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you remember what the nature of the questions were or how specific they were?
 - A. No, not without -- I know that there's a list of questions, but not without, you know, looking at the report I couldn't --
 - Q. Yes, sir. And I know it's probably not, may not be

fair to ask you to give, but do you feel like when you
went back to the house with Mr. Sledge, that was before
or was that after that polygraph examination?

- A. That would have been before.
- Q. You were at the house before. So you feel like that you were there on the $10^{\rm th}$ as opposed to the 12th?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Thank you.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

25

4

5

6

7

8

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- Q. One last question. You talked about when you first arrived at the crime scene and that you were not the first one in. But after you started processing all the information and the scene as best you could, when you left you padlocked the house?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Front and back?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you have control of that crime scene all the way up through '78?
- 21 A. I did.
 - Q. So the family, anybody that wanted in that residence would have had to contact you?
- 24 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And was there ever an instant when you checked the

premises to make sure no one had gone in or out or --

- A. Well, yeah, I mean, we checked the residence quite frequently.
- Q. Regularly.

- A. But there for, for a number of days there was agents and investigators in and out.
- Q. Were you always there with them?
- A. Well, I had to be initially, you know, if I was there and I happened to leave. But I, you know, the best of my memory, if somebody was there doing something, I was there. I know we went back and did a Luminol at nighttime and did some followup with that on the blood.
- Q. Right.
- A. And went back other times and looked at things, you know. I mean, we were constantly going back to the house over a period of time as we would get information just to see, satisfy our mind, you know, what was going on or what the layout of the house was.
- Q. And you're pretty sure that during all those occasions when there were trips made back to the residence over the years that you were present the entire time that other investigators or technicians were inside the residence?
- A. If I wasn't, then it should be documented somewhere. I mean, if I gave an agent, a crime scene person access

to it, then there should have been an evidence control
handed over to that person.

Q. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I don't know without going back and looking because there was a ton of things that were done.
- Q. About how many trips do you think over the years you made?
- A. I have no idea. Initially there were several, and then, then, you know, it tapered off and we didn't feel the need to go back for a right good while until -- matter of fact, it may have been several weeks or months that we didn't go back until we got the information about the pepper can, and then went back and retrieved that. But Agent Poole and I went immediately back and got the pepper can.
- Q. And I don't remember whether you said or not, but I believe you said the pepper can was fingerprinted?
- A. It was. I don't recall at what point, but I do, I'm certain that I, that I fingerprinted it.
- Q. Was there any print that was identifiable?
- A. No. I think there was a smudge on it.
- 22 Q. But no identifiable print for victims or suspect?
- 23 A. No; no.
- 24 Q. Thank you, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MS. SANDS BELLE

- Q. Detective, you made a comment, you know, when you pulled up to the house that it was kind of like a surprise to hear Sledge refer to, make his comment about what happened in the house. Would you think that perhaps that could have been either -- there's several things kind of pop into my head about that. One, was he listening to the media? And you made an inference about maybe another group of law enforcement had taken him by the house. So he could have learned about it from them or learned it about it from the media.
- A. No, I'm sorry; I'm sorry. Another group of law enforcement did not take him by the house.
- Q. Okay; okay. So that he could have learned about that perhaps through the media to make that kind of comment or because he was there?
- A. Exactly. And that would be mere speculation. You know, the only thing I can do is tell you what he said.

 And why he said that or, that's up to interpretation.

SHERIFF DUNCAN: She may be talking about some clarification on a question I asked you, was there a possibility that the SBI or somebody else who was investigating could have checked him out and taken him out different than your trip that day.

A. I don't think so, but if they did, it should be

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Your Honor, if I can just, I think I've got some information that might clarify.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. You had said, if I may, I'm going to hand you that, you had said that there was media coverage before you drove to the house. I'm just handing out what are a couple of different articles. If you'll look at them and see if you recall those being articles that came out prior to the time that you took Mr. Sledge to the house, and maybe that can help.
- That's correct. Α.

documented.

- I don't want you to go through reading all of them. Q.
 - MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: If the Commissioners want them, we can make copies and provide them.
- But if you would just do for me, read the headlines Q. for, beginning with the September 7 article.
- Bladen Woman, Daughter Found Murdered In Home. Α.
- And in the middle of the page of that first one does it Q. talk about Joseph Sledge?
- Α. (Witness examines document.)

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Can I approach?

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes.

MR. VICKORY: And what's the date of that,

Kendra?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We're looking at an

article dated September 7, and it says --

A. It does.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And right there, can you just read maybe that paragraph right there? I know that --
- A. An escapee from White Lake Prison Unit was being sought late Monday night for questioning in connection with the slayings, a spokesman for Allen said.
- Q. That's fine. And does that article also, just skimming through it, does it describe the throats being sliced, fierce struggle, lots of blood?
- 14 A. It did.
 - Q. Okay. And then if you don't mind, if you'll just read the headline -- so that was September 7, the day the bodies are found, is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. If you'll read the headlines and the date on the 20 next article?
- A. Man Sought In Murders In Bladen. Man Sought in Bladen

 Murders.
- Q. Does it say who the man is?
- 24 A. It does.
- 25 Q. Okay. And does it say Joseph Sledge?

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- 1 A. It does.
 - Q. And again, that's before you ever drove to the house, same day the bodies are found?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. And does that also describe a bloody scene as well?
- 7 A. Yes.

8 MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, I didn't understand 9 about Sledge's, the reference to Sledge. Is there a 10 reference to Sledge in those pieces?

MR. HEARD: By name in the -- I think it's on the first --

- Q. Is that right, that they, they do both name Joseph Sledge?
- A. They do, yes, sir.

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry?

- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- JUDGE SUMNER: What is the date of the second article?
- 20 A. The 7th.
- Q. Okay. And then now the day after, September 8, is there an article there as well?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please just read the headline for that one?

- A. Escapee Search Continues.
 - Q. And then just skimming through that article, does it again describe blood, throats cut, bodies slashed?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

- 5 Q. Okay. Thank you. And then the next one is --
 - A. And that was pretty common knowledge.
- Q. Right. And I know you were trying to answer

 Mr. Vickory's question and you had said this, I thought

 it just might help to have them.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. And then the next one is September 9, is that right?
- 12 A. (Witness examines document.)
- 13 Q. What is the headline for that one?
- 14 A. Escapee Sought In Killings.
- Q. And does that one actually have a photograph of the house?
- 17 A. It does.
- 18 Q. And a photograph of Mr. Sledge?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. And that's the photograph of the house that you were just looking at with the keep out --
- 22 A. It was; it is.
- Q. And do you know if this was -- obviously this is quite
 a bit of newsprint coverage. Was there also radio and
 television coverage as well, if you recall?

- A. I don't recall, but I'm sure there was.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. Yeah.

5

6

7

1

2

3

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SUMNER

- Q. And about that time he was still in the Fayetteville area, is that correct, reportedly?
- A. I think so, yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

8

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- Q. And those newspaper articles, were they from where, Fayetteville?
- 13 A. Local.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Are they Fayetteville

Observer?

- A. (Witness examines document.) Dewey Bruce is the writer of that one, I know that's Bladen Journal, which is a local newspaper. It appears, just based on this, that they were from the local newspaper, the Bladen Journal.
- Q. So somewhere there in Bladen?
- 21 A. Yeah.
 - Q. But the Fayetteville papers and television stations more than likely picked up on this because of the seriousness of the homicide?
 - A. I'm sure, yeah; yeah.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

SHERIFF DUNCAN: You were talking about the 1 2 photos and the one of the house, that one appears on 3 the September 9 as well, 8^{th} , 9^{th} . Yeah, it was the same then as it was. 4 Α. 5 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Would you hold that 6 one up for us, too, so we can see? 7 This was September 9 newspaper. The sign was actually Α. put out there the day that we closed up the house on 8 the initial crime scene search. 9 10 11 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH 12 Is there a photograph of the house in the media Q. 13 coverage? Α. In the Bladen Journal, yes, sir. 14 15 Q. Okay. 16 MR. SMITH: May I ask one additional 17 question? 18 JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir. How did you respond when he said a black man would not 19 Q. 20 have committed those murders? I didn't, I didn't respond. 21 Α. Did either of you, either of the people who picked him 22 Q. 23 up make a response?

No, sir.

Α.

24

25

JUDGE SUMNER: Mr. Vickory has one final

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. VICKORY: Yeah, I've got another one to ask him much more, but --

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- There is an awful lot of conversation about polygraph. Ο. Who did your polygraph work back then?
- The SBI. Most of the polygraphs was done by an agent Α. named Gary Webster.
- And these were real polygraphs, not voice stress or --Q.
- Α. Yeah, they were real polygraphs. He was a certified polygraph operator.
- Okay. Q.
- And he was based in the Fayetteville district. Α.
- Got you. Q.

question.

JUDGE SUMNER: Ms. Surgeon has one final question.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- In the articles that you referenced, do the journalists Q. indicate with whom they spoke to determine that Mr. Sledge was a suspect?
- Α. (Witness examines document.) It, well, yes, it does. It says, Escapee Sought in Killings.
- Q. Right.

	NCII	CC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 117
1	Α.	Right.
2	Q.	But where did they get that information that the
3		escapee was sought for the killings on September 7?
4	Α.	I think Sheriff John B. Adam, you know, released that
5		information.
6	Q.	Okay. And that's who you were working for?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
9		JUDGE SUMNER: Any final question?
10		SHERIFF DUNCAN: It would be, if we could
11		have a copy of those articles
12		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We will provide all of
13		the newspaper articles to you to read tonight.
14		JUDGE SUMNER: Mr. Little, thank you, sir.
15	Α.	Thank you. I'm sorry, it's been so long that a lot of
16		this stuff I just
17		JUDGE SUMNER: That's quite all right. Thank
18		you.
19		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you very much.
20		(THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE
21		RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED AND DETECTIVE LITTLE IS
22		DISMISSED.)
23		JUDGE SUMNER: Let's take about a ten-minute
24		break.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

(THEREUPON, THE LUNCHEON RECESS WAS TAKEN

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge FROM 12:36 P.M. THROUGH 1:30 P.M.) 1 2 JUDGE SUMNER: We are back in session. 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. The Commission is calling retired Special Agent Henry 4 5 Poole. 6 7 Retired Special Agent Henry Poole, having 8 first been duly sworn, testified as follows: 9 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN 10 11 Q. All right. Mr. Poole, will you just state your name 12 for the record? 13 Henry Poole. Α. And where do you live, what city do you live in? 14 Q. 15 Knightdale, North Carolina. Α. 16 Are you retired? Q. 17 Α. I am, yes, ma'am. 18 Where are you retired from? Q. From the State Bureau of Investigation. 19 Α. 20 How many years were you with the State Bureau of Q. 21 Investigation?

- From 1968 till 1993. Α.
- 23 And what was your position with the SBI? Q.
- 24 Α. Several.

22

25

Q. Okay. Go ahead.

- 1 I started out as a field agent in the Piedmont 2 district, and worked the bureau for Randolph Counties, 3 transferred to Goldsboro to the northeastern district, then came to Raleigh and worked in the special 4 operations division, worked in, left there and worked 5 6 in narcotics special interest unit, and then I was 7 assigned to a homicide unit and worked that until I retired. 8
 - Q. From 1976 to 1978 what was your role with the SBI then, during the time --
 - A. I was with special operations division.
 - Q. Okay. And were you assigned to work on or to investigate the homicide of Josephine and Aileen Davis?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, I know you and I talked about this before, but it's been a very long time and I don't want you to stretch your memory beyond capacity. I understand that there are going to be things you don't remember or things that you just don't know. So I'm going to ask you questions. If you don't know the answer, please don't try to think about it or speculate on it, just tell us if you do not know, okay?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. All right. In the past three years who all have you talked with about this case, the homicide of

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

1 Josephine and Aileen Davis?

- A. Phillip Little. When this came out in the paper a while back a reporter called me and I declined to say a lot to her. And I talked to your investigator that came out.
- Q. All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I talked to Chad Barefoot, one of our agents. And that's all I can remember right now.
- Q. All right. So you mentioned a reporter. Have you read the recent media coverage about this case?
- A. I read what was in the paper today.
- Q. Today? Oh, let's not talk about today. Anything else?

 Have you read any other coverage in the past couple

 years?
 - A. Oh, yes, ma'am. When they -- when an article first came out, and then every time I saw something with Mr. Sledge's name or whatever, I read it, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Great. Now, when did you get assigned to investigate that case?
- A. It was about a year after it happened.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. And as I recall, that's 1977.
- Q. And do you know what had already been done on the investigation by the time you became a part of it?
 - A. No, ma'am, I can't recall now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

- Q. Was it fresh? I mean, had -- do you recall if things had been done or had -- were you picking it up almost fresh or had a lot been done already?
- A. No, ma'am, there had been things done. The crime scene work had been done and that type of thing, but I don't recall much investigative activity that I remember, which doesn't mean it wasn't done, but I just don't remember.
- Q. Okay. And who was in charge of the investigation, you or Mr. Little?
- A. I like to think we worked together and nobody was particularly in charge, it was a team effort more than it was.
- Q. All right. How did you document the investigation?
- A. I took notes and dictated reports from my notes.
- Q. Did you write up everything that you did?
- A. Yes, ma'am, as close as I could I wrote up everything I did.
 - Q. Do you recall in this case there being suspects other than Joseph Sledge?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, but I don't recall who right now. But yes, ma'am, we had other people we tried to eliminate from this case.
- Q. Were you able to eliminate them?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am. To my knowledge, yes, ma'am.

- Q. If I go through a list of the names of people that are listed as suspects somewhere in the sheriff's reports or your reports, will you be able to remember to tell me why they were eliminated?
 - A. Probably not, no, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Not worth a try?
 - A. I don't think so, ma'am. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. That's 35 years ago.
 - Q. Fair enough. So you said that those other suspects though were ruled out. How was Joseph Sledge ruled in?
 - A. We interviewed -- when we first started this investigation, when I first started I met with Mr. Little and the sheriff and we discussed it. And Mr. Sledge had been developed as a primary suspect in this case, and our first thought was let's try to eliminate him. And so we knew he had been on escape and recaptured, and we decided to try to talk to the inmates he had been incarcerated with after he was recaptured, perhaps determine if he had talked to them about anything he did. And during the course of these interviews we talked to three people who told us that Mr. Sledge said that he had killed these ladies.
- Q. Who were the three people?
 - A. Mr. Sutton; Baker, I believe -- something wants me to

- say Barber, but Baker, I believe; and I can see his -
 his nickname was Gypsy, I think his last name was

 Broadwell. I'm not certain about that.
 - Q. Broadway, Julian Broadway?
 - A. Probably, yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Tell me about Julian Broadway, if you recall.
 - A. I recall talking to him. I don't recall exactly what he told me.
 - Q. Okay.

5

7

8

9

10

11

- A. The biggest thing I can think about with him is he wore a red bandana on his head.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. He told us that he was in somewhere and Sledge

 approached him and made some comment to him about

 killing these two ladies. And I don't want to say too

 -- if I had a report, I could tell you more precisely.

 But he did tell us that Mr. Sledge talked to him about

 this homicide.
- 19 Q. Do you remember if Julian Broadway testified at trial?
- 20 A. He did not.
- 21 Q. Do you know why?
 - A. No, ma'am, I don't.
- Q. Okay. You said Donald Sutton. What do you remember about Donald Sutton?
- 25 A. He testified.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. Again, I remember him telling us that Mr. Sledge told him that he was responsible for these two ladies' deaths. As I recall, he talked about she-devils. But other than that, without looking at a report, I'd be afraid to try to tell you.
 - Q. All right. Do you remember without looking at reports yet how many times you interviewed Donald Sutton?
 - A. No, ma'am, but I'm sure it's probably more than once, but I don't, I don't recall.
 - Q. And do you remember without looking at reports yet what you or Detective Little said to Donald Sutton when you went into the interviews?
 - A. No, ma'am, not exactly, but I -- no, ma'am, not exactly. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if Donald Sutton had been reading the newspaper or --
 - A. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall if Donald Sutton wanted anything in exchange for the information?
 - A. He did not ask us for anything.
- 22 \ Q. He didn't ask for a reward?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- 24 Q. Or time?
- 25 A. No, ma'am.

- Q. And do you recall him getting a reward?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I think after this was over with, he did.
- Q. Okay. I'm just going to hand you a document. Will you just take a look at it and see if you know what this document is?
- A. (Witness examines document.)
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is a report that is summarized on page 56 of your brief.
 - A. Yes, ma'am, this is some interviews I did during this investigation.
 - Q. Are you the one that wrote this report?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- 13 Q. Or you didn't type it up, but you dictated it?
- A. No, ma'am, we dictated. I dictated my reports from my case file notes and our stenographer pool types them and that's it.
 - Q. Okay. All right. If you will look on page 5 of your report, about halfway through the page I see an interview of Donald Sutton.
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. All right. On that report it says -- I don't want to read it for you. Will you read the part, the fourth paragraph, would you read the fourth paragraph for us, please?
 - A. That after their recapture?

Q. Uh-huh (yes). Please.

- A. That after their recapture Sledge told him that he had escaped and had gotten a ride on a truck on Highway 87.

 That he had hidden his clothes and had later got up with some dude who let him drive his car. That Sledge told him that the authorities had found some clothes with blood on them and were trying to say that he killed two women, but that he did not kill them.
- Q. Now, do you remember this independently or only what you're just reading?
- A. Only what I just read.
- Q. Okay. So you don't remember this being said to you?
- A. No, just what I -- if I wrote it down, he said it to me, but I don't remember him saying it.
 - Q. No independent recollection, okay. Would you read the very bottom paragraph on that page then?
 - A. Sutton stated that he would try to recall his conversation with Sledge and should he be able to remember any new information, he would relay same to writer.
- Q. And are you the writer?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And then would you just read the agent's note on page, the next page?
 - A. (Witness examines document.) Sutton will be

interviewed at a later date.

- Q. So in this interview it appears to say that Sutton is saying Sledge said he didn't do it, but he's going to try to remember more and will be interviewed again.

 Why did you think it would be helpful to interview him again?
- A. He said he could get more information or had more information. I want to hear what he has to say.
- Q. Even if it's more that Sledge didn't do it?

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

10 A. Ma'am?

- 12 Q. Even if it would be more of what that one sentence already says, that Sledge says he didn't do it?
 - A. I want to hear everything this man had to say about this case irrespective of whether it's good or bad. If he had something to say about it, I wanted to hear it.
 - Q. Okay. All right. If you'll turn -- well, is there anything else about this report, just looking at it, that triggers any memories or anything?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Let me hand you another report then. If you'll just take a look at this and tell me what you recognize or if you recognize it, what you recognize it to be.
 - A. (Witness examines document.) This, interviews I did during this investigation.

- Q. Okay. And if you'll turn to page 3, is there, are there notes there from the interview, another interview with Donald Sutton?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what is the date of that interview?
- 6 A. February 8, 1978 at 11:00 a.m.
 - Q. Okay.

2.4

- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is on page 58 of your brief.
- Q. All right. Will you just review that and see if it refreshes your recollection? You can either read it out loud or review it quietly, if you like.
- A. (Witness examines document.) I'll read it out loud just so I --
- Q. Okay, sure.
 - A. According to Sutton, he and Joseph Sledge have known each other for several years. That he talked with Sledge after he was arrested in South Carolina. That his conversation with Sledge took place at the Cumberland County Jail. Sutton stated that Sledge told him that the women, parentheses, victims in Bladen County, close parentheses, were supposed to die and he, Sledge, was glad they were dead. That their deaths meant that there were two more, two more they, blacks, would not have to worry about. That Sledge talked

about hating white women. Further, that Sledge claims the white women are she-devils and should die.

Sutton went on to say that Sledge said something about a lot of blood, but he, Sutton, doesn't recall exactly what was said about that. According to Sutton, he has heard Sledge say that the white men were supposed to rule the world for a certain period of time, and if the white women were eliminated, the white men's rule would be shortened.

And I have an agent's note there, it's stated that since it had been some time since he had talked with Sledge, he would need time to think and put their conversation, conversation together. This interview was terminated.

- Q. Okay. Now do you have any independent recollection?
- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall or does it now concern you that the information in this interview is quite different from the information, in contradiction to the information four months earlier?
- A. I just write them down like they tell me and whatever

 -- you know, I -- not particularly a concern, that I

 can think of, I had at the time. It -- when we

 interview, we just write it down and submit it, and

 that's about all I can say to you.

- Q. Okay. Looking at your agent's note there it said,

 Sutton stated that since it had been some time, he

 would think about it. So that was -- this is already

 four months later from the first interview. So he

 wanted more time, do you recall? You gave him more?
- A. Apparently so. And again, if he's got something he wants to say, I'm going to write it down.
- Q. Okay. Were you worried that giving him more time was just giving him more time to find out facts about the case?
- A. No, ma'am. No, ma'am. If I had, it wasn't enough to not interview him again.
- Q. If you'll look on the same report and just turn to page 5.
- A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Is there another interview with Donald Sutton on page 5?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. And would you like to read that report out loud or to yourself?
- 21 A. Yes, I -- yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. Okay, go ahead. Thank you.
 - A. Sutton was re-interviewed on February 10, 1978 at 10:15 a.m. by writer and Assistant Supervisor Gene Stewart and Detective Sergeant Little of the Bladen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

County Sheriff's Department. This interview was conducted at the Sampson County Prison Unit.

Sutton again stated that he had known Joseph Sledge since 1974 and that they had spent some time together in jail. According to Sutton, since he has known Sledge, he, Sledge, has talked about knocking over whites, white she-devils. That Sledge thinks white men use white women to get over on the black men. Sutton stated that when they were in the Cumberland County Jail together Sledge said that the authorities were trying to say that he killed two women. Sledge said he wasn't guilty. But after more conversation, Sledge said they should be dead. Sledge said something about a lot of blood and seemed to be hung up on blood. According to Sutton, he asked Sledge about his clothes, and Sledge said that everything he had on was thrown away and nobody could ever find it.

Sutton stated that Sledge told him that he had cut himself going over a fence. Sutton thought he meant prison fence. As to Sledge's escape, Sutton stated that Sledge told him that he had hidden after jumping the fence and then walked to Elizabethtown where he caught a ride with someone on Highway 87. That the person who gave him a ride let him drive the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

25

car. Sutton stated that Sledge will not tell him what he was doing before he left Bladen County. That Sledge told him that he was arrested in South Carolina and that he was going either to Florida or Georgia.

According to Sutton, he asked Sledge why he was trying to leave North Carolina, and Sledge said because he was afraid they were going to convict him for killing the

Sutton stated that when he and Sledge were in the penitentiary together Sledge had a blade. That at one time he had a pocketknife as well as a blade that he had made from a kitchen knife. Sutton stated that he needed to think about their conversation and would probably be able to recall more of what he and Sledge talked about. This interview was terminated.

- Q. And it says Sutton will be re-interviewed?
- A. Yes, and Sutton will be interviewed at a later date, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Thank you. Okay. Why was there an SBI supervisor there this time, do you know?
- A. This was his territory.

two women.

- 22 Q. Okay.
 - A. We were in his territory. My -- normally, when an agent goes into another agent's territory, they contact that agent and, you know, ask if they would make

- theirselves available to them since they are in the district they work in and it's their territory.
 - Q. So that's Assistant Supervisor Gene Stewart?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. But he wasn't on other interviews?
- A. No, ma'am. We were in his town, we were in his, where he worked.
 - Q. Okay. But even other interviews at Sampson County
 Prison with Sutton, he wasn't on those as well?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. So the agent's note is again saying that he needs to think some more and will be re-interviewed.

 Did Sutton indicate why he thought he hadn't told you enough already?
 - A. Ma'am, dealing with inmates sometimes it's a different world. And I, again, we write down whatever they tell us, and if they want to tell us something, we're going to write it down and tell it just like we wrote it. I can't embellish or it would change it. What he says is what I'm going to tell you.
 - Q. And do you have any independent recollection of it right now, of the interview?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Just reading the report?
- 25 A. Uh-huh (yes).

2.4

Q. All right, I've got another report. (Ms. Montgomery-Blinn hands document to retired Special Agent Poole.)

Okay, will you take a look at this report, please?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is page 60 of the brief.

- Q. Would you like to read this one as well out loud or would you rather just read it to yourself?
- A. No, ma'am, I'll --
- Q. Okay, go ahead. Thank you.
- A. Sutton was re-interviewed on February 15, 1978 by
 writer and Detective Sergeant Phillip Little of Bladen
 County Sheriff's Department, or Sheriff's Office.
 Sutton stated that while in jail he and Sledge talked
 about being arrested. That Sledge said the reason he
 was trying to leave the state after his escape was
 because they would pin a murder on him. According to
 Sutton, he asked Sledge about the murder, and Sledge
 said that he didn't intend to kill them but was put in
 a position where he had to do it. Further, that Sledge
 said he was glad the bitches were dead, and that's a
 quotation.

Sutton went on to say that Sledge talked about all that blood, that's also in quotation.

According to Sutton, he thought Sledge was crazy. That he, Sledge, said something about the women being cut

2.4

up. Sutton stated he couldn't recall how or why Sledge said he knew they were cut up, but he definitely said they were cut up. Sutton stated that there was no doubt in his mind about Sledge having killed the women, that he, Sledge, convinced him that he had killed the two women.

sledge told Sutton that his clothes would never be found. Note, Sutton thinks Sledge is too smart to wear bloody clothes out of Elizabethtown.

Sutton also stated that Sledge told him he caught a ride out of Elizabethtown to Fayetteville. Further, that Sledge told him that he got up with some dude at a service station in Fayetteville and convinced him to go to South Carolina, Georgia, or somewhere to make some money. Sutton stated he could not recall anything else at this time, but may be able to remember more of their conversation later. And that's -- yes, ma'am.

- Q. Do you have any independent recollection?
- A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. So he's still trying to remember more, according to this report?
 - A. Apparently so, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Now, it says in there that Sledge told Sutton that his clothes would never be found. Do you recall finding some of Sledge's clothes?

2.4

- A. I did not. That was done prior to my involvement in this case.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall that being a part of this case, that some clothing was found?
- A. Yes, ma'am, but I wasn't there and I don't know other than what I was told.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you gave Donald Sutton a polygraph?
- A. As I recall, we did, but without looking at some documentation I'd hate to --
- Q. If you had polygraphed him, would you have documented it?
 - A. We'd have asked him was he willing to take a polygraph, and if he said yes, we'd have given it, if he said no, we would not have.
 - Q. Okay. And if he said yes or no, either way would there be something in the SBI report, would you have written something --
- A. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am, we would have indicated that he was afforded a polygraph exam.
 - Q. So if there's no documentation in the report, does that mean there was no polygraph or it's missing?
 - A. I don't know. It -- I don't recall; I just don't recall. We asked a lot of -- most of these witnesses like this we asked to take a polygraph. That's a

standard operating procedure. And I don't remember if

we asked him to, if he took it or not, or if there was

some reason he couldn't. Maybe if we did, sometimes a

polygraph operator will determine this person has got a

bad cold or something and just doesn't give one.

- Q. And would you have written that up?
- A. Yes, ma'am, definitely.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Let's talk about Herman Baker. Do you remember, you said you did remember Herman Baker or someone named Baker?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Do you remember how many times you interviewed him?
- 13 A. No, ma'am.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

- Q. Do you remember how you got his name, how you first learned about Herman Baker?
- 16 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. And do you remember what you said to Herman Baker during his interviews?
- 19 A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Do you recall whether or not he asked for anything in exchange for information?
- 22 A. He did not.
- 23 Q. And do you recall him getting --
- 24 A. I don't recall him asking for anything.
- 25 Q. Do you recall him getting a reward?

- A. He testified in this case and, as I recall, both of the gentlemen who testified in this case was given monetary after the trial was over with and finished.
- Q. Do you recall that Herman Baker got \$3,000 and Donald Sutton got \$2,000?
- A. I do not recall how much -- who got what amount.
- Q. Okay. Will you just take a look at that report, tell me if you recognize it?
- 9 A. (Witness examines document.) Yes, ma'am. It's an interview with Herman Lee Baker.
- 11 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is on page 64 of your brief.
- 14 Q. Would you like to read this one?
- 15 A. Ma'am?
- 16 Q. Would you like to read it?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Okay.

2.4

25

- 19 A. I will.
- 20 Q. Thank you. Go ahead.
- A. Baker was interviewed on February 16, 1978 at 8:30 a.m.
 by writer, Captain Sparkman, North Carolina Department
 of Corrections, and Detective Sergeant Phillip Little,
 - According to Baker, he has known Joseph

Bladen County Sheriff's Office.

Sledge since 1969. That he was in the Air Force and met Sledge at a poolroom in Fayetteville. That he lost contact with Sledge, but met him again in 1975 while serving time for forgery at the White Lake Prison Camp. Baker went on to say that he was paroled in January 1976, however, in April 1977 he was back in the prison system after being convicted of breaking and entering. That in June of 1977 he was at the Moore County Prison Camp and again met with Sledge. That either in June or July Sledge was lifting weights and he, Baker, was talking with him. While they were talking another inmate came over and told Sledge he was wanted in the office. That Sledge returned 10 or 15 minutes later and said that he was wanted in court in Elizabethtown.

According to Baker, he asked Sledge why, and Sledge said he wondered if it was about the murders in Elizabethtown. Baker went on to say that Sledge asked him, if I tell you something, can you keep it to yourself? That Sledge said when he escaped he was running through the woods and looking for a place to hide when he came upon an old house. Sledge told Baker that he didn't think anyone was home and went in. That after he got in the house a lady came in the room screaming, what are you doing in the house? He pushed her and hit her. Another lady was coming in the room

2.4

screaming and one yelled, call the police, or something to that effect.

According to Baker, Sledge said he started stabbing one of them, and the other one was trying to pull him off and he stabbed her. Sledge said that he kept stabbing them and stabbing them. Sledge says, damn um, it seemed like I went crazy, and that was a quote. Further, that Sledge said he ran out of the house through the back door and through the words. That he ran into a cleared field where there was an old building and buried the knife behind the old building.

Baker stated that Sledge referred to the women as devils and said that when he stabbed them he didn't think blood was going to come out, but thought fire would come out. Further, that while inside the house one of the women hit him with something. Baker went on to say that Sledge told him he sprinkled black pepper around the back doorsteps when he left the house to keep the devils' spirits from coming after him. That while inside the house he had one of the women on the floor and thought the other lady was going to a phone, so he jumped up and got the other one.

According to Baker, Sledge kept saying he stabbed, he stabbed.

Baker went on to say that Sledge talked a lot

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

about killing white women. That on one occasion he told Ralph Quick, black male, also known as Scatterman, he should kill the white girl he was dating. That they were in prison at this time.

Baker stated that the conversation with Sledge took place at around 12 o'clock noon on a Tuesday. That he knows it was Tuesday because he had a class that night. That Sledge went on to tell him that he stole a car after killing the two women.

- Do you have any independent recollection of that --Q.
- Α. No, ma'am.
- Okay. The report doesn't say where Mr. Baker was Q. interviewed, but your testimony at the trial was that it was at a motel. Do you recall that?
- Yes, ma'am. Α.
- Okay. Why did you interview him in a motel? Q.
- Probably -- I don't want to speculate, but it was to get him away from the prison environment to talk to him. Again, this was a long time ago and I just can't recall.
- Q. Okay.
- Α. So I --
- This report doesn't say anything about a broken jaw, Q. but Mr. Baker did testify that Sledge said there was a broken, that one of the victim's jaws was broken.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

2.4

25

you recall --

- A. He, he said he, Sledge hit one of the ladies.
- Q. Uh-huh (yes).
 - A. And the victims' bodies had been exhumed, I think for fingerprint evidence that they were looking for. And the first autopsy did not do an X-ray, I don't think, and the second autopsy showed that one of the lady's jaw was broken. I know prior to, you know, this -
 Mr. Little and I talked about that some, and I thought that the exhumation took place after I got involved with the case, but Mr. Little convinced me that I was in error, that it happened prior to that. So that's what I recall from this.
 - Q. Okay. So if Mr. Baker -- if you didn't write down during this interview with Herman Baker that he said that a victim, that a victim was hit in the jaw, is that because he didn't say it?
 - A. If he said that, I'd have written it down.
- 19 Q. You would have written it down?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. Do you recall whether you polygraphed Herman Baker?
 - A. Without seeing a document about it, I, I don't want to say. I don't remember. I'm sure we asked him and I feel like we probably did, but I don't -- I want to

2

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- tell you what I know.
- Q. If you had tried, would you have documented it?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember the pepper can in this case?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Did you go back with Phillip Little to collect it after this Herman Baker interview?
 - A. As I recall, yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. What do you recall about that?
 - A. After, after I talked to -- and I'm going to have to refer to Mr. Little again. Prior to that, and I may have, when I talked to these ladies here, and I -- talking about the pepper can, I'm -- was -- independent recollection again and I -- we went back to get it, and I was thinking perhaps it was under the steps in the back. But talking to Mr. Little, he recovered the can, and Mr. Little told me that the can came from just inside the back door, inside the back door. But I, again, I don't remember it other than what Mr. Little told me.
 - Q. Okay. If you had gone with Mr. Little and collected the pepper can, would you have written up a report about it?
 - A. I'm sure I would have; probably would have.
 - Q. If there's no report about the pepper can being

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

collected, does that mean you didn't go?

- A. No, ma'am. That just means that I don't -- didn't remember or didn't collect it. I can't, I can't, I can't -- even if I didn't go, I would have written it down if he told me anything. And again, I'm talking a long time ago and I just don't remember, ma'am. I, I just don't remember.
- Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I think I sometimes go and do something and I really didn't, and I just don't remember.
- Q. Do you remember anything else about the pepper can other than what you just said?
- 13 A. No, ma'am.
- 14 Q. Do you remember if it had pepper still in it?
- 15 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall whether the house was secured, the victims' house, during your investigation?
- 18 A. Was it --
 - Q. Whether people could have come in and out of the victims' house during the investigation or was it secured?
 - A. Well, when I was there it was not secured. Prior to my being there, I, I don't know the crime scene. I didn't do the crime scene and I don't know of what the crime scene consists, you know, in that respect.

- Q. Okay. When you became involved how was it secured?
- A. They just walked in.
- Q. You could just walk in?
 - A. I don't remember. I don't think there -- I don't think crime scene tape was around it or anything like that; I just don't recall that.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall anything else that I haven't asked you about about your investigation?
- A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. I'm done with questions for now, but the Commissioners may have questions for you.

MS. SURGEON: I do.

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- Q. In 1976, that's what you said, you were with special operations?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. What does that mean you would do?
 - A. It's, a special operations division is we work special cases. They were assigned out of headquarters and it was like an intelligence unit. And whatever type of special investigation came up, we would normally take a look at it.
 - Q. So in your role with this case did you have any responsibility as to reviewing or trying to ascertain

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

information about physical evidence or forensics examinations?

- Α. Well, yes, ma'am, but I gleaned that through talking to the agents who had been there earlier or that I did not have, I was not there for the initial crime scene investigation and I'm not, I can't testify as to how they did that or what they did with the crime scene investigation.
- What information did you have at the time you were Q. assigned to the case as to the physical evidence that had been collected and examined?
- Α. There was, there was some hair found on one of the victim's bodies, and there was some latent prints that had not been identified, I mean palm prints, I think, at the crime scene.
- What information did you have as to any eyewitness or Q. any physical evidence that connected Mr. Sledge to this crime?
- We didn't have any eyewitness resident people, but we Α. sent the hair sample to -- I say we sent it, it was sent to the FBI. And at that time all they could tell us was it's microscopically consistent or microscopically similar to the suspect's hair. that made us perhaps lean even more toward Mr. Sledge at that time, according to technology we had at that

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

23

2.4

25

time.

- Q. Is that all?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am. As I can recall, that's all.
- 4 Q. How did you get connected to Mr. Sutton?
 - A. Ma'am?
 - Q. How did you get connected to Mr. Donald Sutton?
 - A. As I recall, the prison superintendent let us know he wanted to talk to us. But I'm -- unless I see a document that says that, I'm, I'm just speculating. I do not want to speculate, but that's my recollection.
 - Q. Over the course of time that you interviewed Mr. Sutton four times --
 - A. I think that's what seeing these reports, yes, ma'am.
 - Q. -- was he still housed with Mr. Sledge?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- 16 \parallel Q. But he was going to recall additional conversations?
- A. Yes, ma'am. And that's where they were together, but I don't know if he was housed with him the entire time or what their situation was, ma'am.
 - Q. Okay. You don't know exactly how long he was housed with Mr. Sledge and --
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. -- whether he was still housed with him over this course of time that he was still recalling information and you were continuing to interview him?

- A. No, I don't know how they made contact, ma'am.
- Q. Thank you.
- A. Yes.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

- Q. I'm curious to know if at any time, given the differing information that Mr. Sutton gave you, did you ever have any concern that he might not be telling the entire truth?
- A. You always have those concerns with witnesses like this, sir. It seemed that, you know, some of the things they said, and he was so adamant about that, that we couldn't just discount it and say no. And again, I don't know about the polygraph with him. I just don't remember it, but I'm sure we asked him to take a polygraph. But I do not remember, you know, what the outcome of that request was right now without a document.
- Q. But was it common practice at the time to assume that an interviewee's memory of distant conversations would result in more and useful information? Was that --
- A. Was it a common practice, sir?
- Q. Was it a common practice to assume if you gave them time and they thought about it and came back, they --

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 0. 7 8 Α. 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 14 15 Α. 16 17 Q. 18 19 21 22 Q. 23
 - If somebody told me to give them more time and let them think, yes, sir, I would do it. I don't know if whether it's common practice, but if they talked to me and said I want you to get back with me later, I certainly afforded them the chance to talk to me again.
 - But was the experience normally that such circumstances resulted in more useful information?
 - I really couldn't answer that, sir.
 - Okay. Sutton also made an interesting comment. At one point he said he thought Sledge was crazy. Did anyone ever give consideration to any mental problems with regard to Mr. Sledge at all, that some of the statements that he had made or he was purported to have made may have been a result of some other problems?
 - Not that I recall. I don't, I don't remember. I just don't remember, sir.
 - Okay.

MR. HEARD: Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am.

20

2.4

25

EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

I'd like to ask you a general question. We talked a lot about different people being polygraphed, and I know that you don't have any memory or recollection of exactly what happened with the witnesses. But as a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I'm sure you have a general idea of what was done when polygraphs were administered. If a polygraph would have been administered at this time back in the '70s, the late '70s, the specialist that was administering the polygraph, they would have formulated a set of questions to ask the person that they were going to administer the test with, is that correct?

9 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And normally, how did they formulate that? Did they talk with, say, you or an investigator to formulate their questions?
- A. Yes, ma'am. They would talk to us about the interview and what this individual has said and that what we're trying to determine what he's telling the truth about or not telling the truth about, and the polygrapher would put those questions together.
- Q. And those questions would have been in writing, the polygrapher would have written those down?
- A. Yes, sir, probably so, and the polygrapher would have those; he would.
- Q. Would that, would those questions and the results of the exam have become a permanent part of the SBI's investigative case?
- A. Yes, ma'am, in our Raleigh office it would, a permanent

file. Like every report I dictated went to our permanent case file in Raleigh, and -- but I -- yes, ma'am. To answer your question, polygraph exams would have gone there.

- Q. And the person that administered those examinations was, in fact, an SBI agent?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And those old case files like that, are they still in existence, to the best of your knowledge, or --
- A. Ma'am, I don't know. I understand there was some problems finding the case file on this case, and I have no response to that. I have no idea how to respond to that of why.
- Q. Thank you.

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- Q. In your experience working with the SBI and doing interviews, did you interview other inmates on cases, not necessarily this one, but as part of your investigation?
- A. Oh, yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And would inmates generally request favors or some concessions for their testimony?
 - A. Many, many times, ma'am. They're looking for an easy out or some type of special favor, yes, ma'am.

- Q. Is it the pattern then for the SBI or law enforcement not to make promises prior to the statements or testimony being given?
- A. No, ma'am, we do not make promises prior to a statement or any time. The only person that makes promises is the district attorney. We don't do that.
- Q. And of course this would be explained to an inmate?
- 8 A. Ma'am?

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

- 9 Q. This would be explained to an inmate?
 - A. I don't recall even mentioning a reward or anything.

 And if they ask me about one, I would tell them I

 wasn't authorized to okay that or respond to that, it

 was out of my -- I was there to get information, I

 don't give out rewards.
- 15 \parallel Q. But the two inmates that testified in this case --
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. -- were both given rewards --
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am, and I --
- 19 Q. -- after their testimony?
- A. That decision was made after the trial and after they
 had testified in this case, yes, ma'am; after the trial
 was over with.
- 23 Q. That's the practice with the SBI?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am. I think that -- yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. Agent Poole, the policy back in the '70s, I guess -- it seemed like in the '80s when I came along the northeast district, I believe, had a policy with your notes that you would keep your notes and do your investigation, and then when you dictated the notes onto what we called blue paper or the, what we're reading here, would you all destroy your notes just as a matter of routine; wasn't that the policy back in those days?
- A. I don't know there was a policy to destroy them. I dictated directly from my notes. I have no idea where my case file is on this. It happened over 35 years ago and I was, been transferred around in the Bureau and moved so many times, I have no idea. But I always dictated my blue paper, and our blue paper is this here, our reports (indicating).
- Q. Right.
 - A. And I dictated directly from my notes, my handwritten notes.
 - Q. And would you, when you turned in your or when you turned, I guess, the blue paper was disseminated to the DA's office. We don't -- we didn't we don't get those notes or we didn't get those notes back in --

2.4

- A. No, we didn't give them. We kept them for ourself.

 And many times when you testify, you take a copy of an interview with you to form your notes.
- Q. Right. So the central file, or wherever the files were kept in Raleigh didn't have the notes, either, back in those days?
- A. No, sir, not unless an agent chose to put an interview or something in there on his on volition; no, sir.
- Q. Right. All that's kind of changed now, by the way, I'm sure you've probably heard. But I think everything comes --
- A. It has, a lot different now than it was when I was there, sir.
- Q. Yeah. As far as the polygraphs go, I was trying to remember, I don't ever remember getting the results of the polygraph test. I might have reference to a polygraph test, but they typically, they weren't there in the case files we were given. Was that your recollection or do you say you think maybe --
- A. I don't know. I would, I would think they would be -and again, I don't want to speak, you know, beyond my
 knowledge, but I would think that they would be, the
 results of those tests would be submitted to the case
 file. And but I, I just really don't -- we would
 always be told by the polygrapher what the results of

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

the test were and, as a rule, would incorporate it in our report.

- Q. And typically go back and question the suspect or subject of the examination, I guess, about the inconsistencies in those areas, typically, is that what you would do, or would the polygrapher do most of that?
- A. The polygrapher would. If while he's talking he would, he would see the, where the chart was reading and he would, would normally respond to that initially.
- Q. I guess, you know, we're having more references to polygraphs than I can ever remember in a case later on. I think now we don't, you know, we obviously have never been able to use the results of the test in court under the Rules of Evidence anyway, but typically what we as DAs were looking for were the, whether they changed their stories or what they told, whether it was consistent with other evidence that we knew to be, that we were confident in. And, you know, the scores just didn't mean that much to me back in those days, that's why I was wondering if it was different in the '70s with regard to the actual scoring themselves. I mean --
- A. As I said, I don't --
- 24 Q. Okay.

25 JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you, Mr. Poole; thank

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

25

Yes, sir. Α.

you.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you.

Thank you. Α. Yes, ma'am.

> MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And I'll ask that Mr. Poole be released from sequestration and subpoena. JUDGE SUMNER: Certainly.

(THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED AS MR. POOLE EXITS THE CONFERENCE ROOM.)

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, you had a lot of questions about things from the file and, you know, we do have as much as we can so we'll try to put that together from the questions, we were jotting down the things that you asked, and try to give you what at least is in the file that we are aware of, or isn't in the file, from some of the questions you were asking.

But we're going to turn the investigation or the presentation to Herman Baker. So as you recall, Herman Baker testified at trial that Sledge made admissions to him about the murder while they were in prison together, and the law enforcement report of Baker in that interview is on page 64 and 65 of your brief, and you just heard that read as well. testimony that Herman Baker gave at the first trial

begins on page 105 of your brief, and the testimony he gave at the second trial is on page 203 of your brief.

Before the case was referred to the

Commission it was investigated by the nonprofit North

Carolina Center on Actual Innocence and their executive

director, Christine Mumma. Ms. Mumma represents Joseph

Sledge and she referred this case to the Commission.

Also, Mr. Baker was interviewed by Ms. Mumma and SBI

Agent Barefoot. So what I'm going to do now is call

Ms. Mumma to testify about her interviews, then SBI

Agent Barefoot to testify, and then I'll recall

Ms. Mumma to testify again about an interview that

happened after that. Then Sharon Stellato from the

Commission staff will testify about her interviews, and

then we'll have Mr. Baker come in and testify.

So I'd just like to say that this is a non-adversarial hearing, Ms. Mumma does represent

Mr. Sledge, but I'm calling her only as a fact witness,
not as his attorney. I will keep my questions just to
her investigation and ask that the commissioners also
limit their questions to the facts from her
investigation and steer away from any opinions or
conclusions.

All right, Ms. Mumma.

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 158 Christine Mumma, having first been duly 1 2 sworn, testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN 4 All right. For the record, what is your name? 5 Q. 6 Christine Mumma. Α. 7 And where are you employed? 0. I'm at the North Carolina Center on Actual Innocence, 8 Α. I'm the director there. 9 And do you represent Joseph Sledge? 10 Q. I do. 11 Α. 12 And have you interviewed Herman Baker? Q. 13 I have. Α. Okay. Ms. Mumma, I'm going to hand you a document. If 14 15 you'll take a look at it and tell me if you recognize 16 it, and if you do, what it is? (Witness examines document.) I do recognize it. It's 17 Α. 18 an email from someone typed in the office. I called the office from the road and just talked over the 19 20 phone, and they typed into an email as I was talking to them. 21 And what is the date of this? 22 Q. The date is September 15, 2011. 23 Α. 2.4 And is this, what you called in, is this the first time Ο.

> Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

you ever spoke with Herman Baker?

A. It is.

- Q. Okay. Would you please read us what was typed up?
- A. Herman Baker does live at 429 Gillespie. He was not at home. I found him hanging out at a local barber shop down the street. At first he didn't remember who Joseph Sledge was. I reminded him that he testified at the murder trial in 1978. He said, oh, yeah, the one where he killed those women and spread the black pepper. He said that they were at White Lake when Joseph told him about what had happened. He said that Donald Sutton was with them when Joseph gave them the facts.

I showed him Joseph's letter where he says that he's sure that Herman will tell the truth given the opportunity. I asked Herman if he had been put under pressure to testify. I explained that I could get him protection if he had been put under pressure. He was familiar with the Center and said he believed that we could get him protection, but that the truth is the truth.

- Q. And do you recall this interview with Mr. Baker independently?
- A. I do.
- Q. Was the part about them being at White Lake together when Sledge confessed, do you recall if that was

- - A. No.

- consistent with what Herman Baker had said during the testimony at the original trial?
- A. It was not.
- Q. And the part about him being present with Donald Sutton when Mr. Sledge confessed, was that consistent with what Herman Baker had testified to at trial?
- A. It was not.
- Q. And in this email it says you showed him a letter. Can you tell us what letter you showed him?
- A. I actually went back to show him the letter. I spoke with him first at the barber shop in the parking lot, and was driving down the street and realized I had a letter from Joseph in my pile. And I opened the letter and it happened to say it was a letter from Joseph to me, and it said, I think Mr. Baker will tell the truth if he's given the opportunity. And I took it back to show Herman that Joseph believed that he would tell the truth.
- Q. And did you read it to him, or did he read it?
- A. I handed it to him.
- Q. And did he read it?
- A. He did.
- Q. The email says that you asked if he had been put under pressure to testify. Did he answer that question?
 - Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

2.4

- Q. Did he just refuse or did he say no or what did he say?
- A. Mr. Baker was, seemed to be embarrassed. There was a large group of people in the back of the barber shop.

 Later I learned that it was actually a Narcotics

 Anonymous meeting and they must have been on break.

 And so I think he was embarrassed that a woman he didn't know was coming up asking people if he was there and who he was, and so he was trying to walk away from me as I was talking to him.
 - Q. So he just didn't answer that one, one way or another?
 - A. We didn't, really did not talk very much at all.
 - Q. Okay. And the email says you explained that you could get him protection. Why did you say that?
 - A. Because a lot of times if we're talking to people who have testified at trial, they're very afraid of being charged with perjury if they change their statement.

 Or in Herman's case, I guess I didn't know this at the time, but he's particularly nervous about being labeled a snitch. But at this point it was protection from a perjury charge.
 - Q. Protection. And what kind of protection did you mean?
 - A. An attorney, help understanding. You know, I deal with a lot of innocence cases, not just in North Carolina but nationally, and when there's a recantation there's typically not a follow-up charge of perjury. So I

- wanted him to know that what we were after is the truth 1 2 and what the justice system is after is the truth and 3 not necessarily a perjury charge.
 - And at this time during this conversation were you Q. already counsel for Joseph Sledge?
 - I was. Α.

5

6

7

9

14

15

16

- And how did the interview end? 0.
- Oh, just really him walking away from me. There was 8 Α. not much conversation at all.
- And did you talk to him again after this interview? 10 Q.
- I did. 11 Α.
- 12 Okay. All right. Will you take a look at this and Q. 13 tell me if you recognize it and what it is?
 - (Witness examines document.) I do recognize it. It is Α. the notes of the interview I had with Herman Baker with Staff Attorney Cheryl Sullivan on March 18 of 2013.
- 17 Q. Okay. You referred to this as notes. Is there another 18 memo or is this --
- This is all that, it would have been typed up. 19 Α.
- 20 Okay. Q.
- We, I think we actually went home from the interview 21 Α. 22 and Cheryl actually typed it from her memory when she 23 got home. There were, so there were no handwritten 2.4 notes.
 - And it wasn't recorded? Q.

- A. It was not.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. We did not intend on finding Mr. Baker that day.
- Q. All right. And it says in here that you had attempted to locate him earlier. Were you able -- is this the next time you located him?
- A. This is the next time I located him. Several weeks prior -- can I give a little more background, is that okay?
- Q. Yes.
 - Mr. Baker, we always look to physical evidence in case first. In 2011 we had pretty much exhausted our options with the physical evidence, and so we turned to the informant testimony. So that's why we interviewed —— tried to find him in 2011, and we tried to find Mr. Sutton as well. So we did not attempt to interview him again after that because some physical evidence was actually uncovered, and so we moved on to the, back to the physical evidence at that point in 2012.

This interview occurred, we tried to find him, Mr. Baker again after meeting with the district attorney in February of 2013 when it became clear that the informant testimony was going to be important to the DA's office. So we looked for him in February. We

went to Fayetteville on March 6, I believe, and asked around, and I left business cards. And then we came on March 18, the date of this memo, we met with the district attorney's office and the SBI at the SBI, and ended up looking for Mr. Baker after that meeting.

- Q. Okay. Now, looking at this memo, do you have independent recollection of this meeting?
- A. I do.
- Q. Where did you locate Mr. Baker?
- A. Walking down the street.
- Q. Okay. How did you approach him?
 - A. We had heard through stopping at different places asking about Mr. Baker someone said he wore a very distinctive brown Louis Vuitton hat. So we saw, Cheryl saw someone walking down the street wearing a brown hat and advised me to turn the car around. And we drove up the street and he, he was on my side of the street, the right side of the street. And I got -- I opened my car door and said, hi, Herman, do you remember me? And he said he did and asked me how I was.
- Q. And just to clarify, you've mentioned Cheryl a couple times. Is that someone who works with you?
- A. Cheryl is the staff attorney at the center.
- Q. Okay. Now, could you read the part where it says, starting with it says he was walking up the street,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

until it ends with pepper can?

He was walking up the street to his VA appointment.

Chris asked if he remembered her. He said, yeah, how

right here. So it's in the third paragraph, basically

the second sentence. It says, he was walking up the

street. Will you read all the way down through there

you been? She said she was still working on Joseph's case and that we had some physical evidence that we now know does not match Joseph. He interrupted Chris and immediately said, they fed me everything. They told me some shit about a pepper can. He said he was in the hole for a marijuana possession. In parentheses it says, unclear if this was a new charge or was marijuana inside the prison. He said they told him about the reward. He was never taken to a motel. He was moved by prison personnel from the prison to the county jail to be interviewed. He said there was only one interview of him, and interview is in quotes. He said one person spoke to him first, and then their captain or something, again in quotes, came in. We asked whether he was offered help with his sentence. He said he was not offered help with his sentence, just offered the reward.

Q. Okay. The first part that you read when he said they fed me everything, was that spontaneous?

Α. It was spontaneous.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

- Okay. So you had not asked a question at that point? Q.
- 3 Α. I had not. I was just in the initial hello, how are you.
 - Okay. The rest of it, it's all, as you read it, it's Q. all written together in one paragraph, but the rest of it, is that part of, after the pepper can part, is the rest of it in response to questions that you were asking him?
 - Yes. I asked him a few questions. I asked him, I also Α. asked him, and I'm not sure if it's in the memo, if he would talk to the SBI and if he would give a DNA sample. And he said that he would, and I immediately called the SBI.
 - Okay. Before we get there, I've got a couple more questions. It says in there that Baker said he never knew Sutton. Was this something you asked him?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And this is different than what he had told you two Q. years earlier?
- 21 Α. Yes.
 - Q. And different than the testimony?
- 23 Α. Yes.
 - Okay. And then he said he would give it, he said he Q. would give a DNA sample?

A. Correct.

- Q. Why were you asking for a DNA sample?
- A. Because we knew that the hairs that were collected from the victim's body did not come from Joseph Sledge and that they were African-American hairs based on the forensics report. And I had, I had -- my position has always been that Herman Baker either committed the murders himself, knew who committed them, or was fed the information that he testified to at trial.
- Q. And he did say he would give a DNA sample?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Did he indicate to you that he was drinking alcohol?
 - A. He did. I offered him a ride to the VA and he patted his pocket where I could see a bag, and said that he wanted to finish his drink while he was walking.
 - Q. Did he appear intoxicated to you?
- A. He did not appear intoxicated when I spoke to him.
- Q. At the end of the memo it says that he was pounding beers. Is that --
 - A. He had beer in the bag in his pocket. And when we followed him, Cheryl and I in my car as he was walking to the VA, and he stopped at the Quick Shop and he had a beer at the Quick Shop as well.
 - Q. So after the interview you stayed there in your car as he continued to walk. How long was that for?

- After the -- while we were on the side of the road 1 2 Cheryl continued to talk to him while I called, 3 contacted the SBI and told them where they could find him, because they had said they had a hard time 4 5 locating him. And then he started to walk to the VA 6 and I followed him in my car. I think it took, from 7 the time of my call till the SBI got there was 45 to 50 minutes. 8
 - Q. And during that time you saw him stop in a shop and buy more alcohol?
 - A. Yes, I saw -- actually, we went into the shop after him worried that he was going to disappear on us, and I wanted him to have an opportunity to talk to the SBI.
 - Q. So that's why you followed him?
- 15 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. When the SBI arrived, you said 45 to 50 minutes later, who arrived from the SBI?
- A. Agent Barefoot, and I don't know the other gentleman who was in the car. I actually called them back and asked where they were and directed them down the street to where Herman was walking.
- Q. Okay. And then what did you do once the SBI arrived?
- A. I parked my car. The SBI got out of, the agents got out of their car and walked towards Herman, and then walked towards the VA Hospital with him, turned around

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- and came back to the car. My car was parked next to the SBI agents' car, and when they came back I decided I should leave the parking lot because they had Herman with them, so I pulled into a parking lot a few buildings down.
- Q. Were you with them during the time that they spoke with Mr. Baker?
- A. No, they were in their car.
- Q. Could you see it from your car the entire time?
- A. I could see them, but when they came back to the car I pulled out of the parking lot to pull away from their conversation.
- Q. Did you talk with anybody from the SBI after they spoke with Mr. Baker?
- A. I did.
 - Q. Okay. And did they indicate whether or not they had interviewed Mr. Baker?
 - A. They did. They actually pulled up to my car in the other parking lot with their car and Agent Barefoot came out and came to the window of my car and said that he had talked to Mr. Baker, that Mr. Baker had said that Sledge never talked to him about the murders, that they felt he was too intoxicated to interview at the time, but they were able to obtain a DNA sample.
 - Q. Okay. And did you help Mr. Baker find an attorney?

1 A. I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Can you describe that process and why you did that?
- A. Sure. When the SBI, when Agent Barefoot came up to my car to talk to me, it was a Monday that we talked to Mr. Baker, and Agent Barefoot told me that they weren't going to have an opportunity to talk to Mr. Baker until Friday. I had concerns that Mr. Baker would possibly leave town or be difficult to find again, and I informed Agent Barefoot that I felt it was necessary to interview Mr. Baker more formally before Friday. And Agent Barefoot said that was fine, but I, that I should record it. Cheryl Sullivan and I went back to find Herman Baker the next morning. That night we typed up an affidavit based on our memory of the things he had
 - Q. All right. And if you'll hold off for me for just a second.
- A. Okay.
- Q. I was asking about the attorney, but what I intend to do is call Agent Barefoot and then recall you for the next day.
 - A. Well, I want to explain the context of why --
- 23 Q. Okay, fine.
- 24 A. I kind of have to explain.

said on the street.

Q. Go ahead.

17

18

20

22

23

2.4

25

Α.

- When I went to meet with him the next morning he was talking about being afraid, he was talking about suicide, he was talking about leaving town, he was talking about being labeled a snitch in town. He was very nervous and upset. And he cried during the interview and I felt it was important for him to have someone to help him through this process. So that is, that is what -- it motivated me to find counsel for him. After I interviewed Mr. Baker I went back to the Quick Stop where we had received information on the 18th and what Mr. Baker, where he, where he hung out. And I asked his friend there who Herman would trust, what attorneys he would trust. I got some recommendations. I ran those recommendations by other people, other attorneys, and eventually I was referred to Mr. Billy Richardson.
- Q. Okay. And does Mr. Richardson, as far as you know, represent Mr. Baker?
- 19 A. He does.
 - Q. And do you know if he's doing that pro bono?
- 21 A. I don't know.
 - Q. Is that what you asked him to do?
 - A. I don't think we talked about -- Herman had told me he had money to hire an attorney. I don't think

 Mr. Richardson and I talked about whether it would be

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

- 1 pro bono. I left that to him.
 - Q. Okay. Who got Mr. Richardson and Mr. Baker in contact?

 Did you tell Mr. Baker to call him or did you tell

 Mr. Richardson, call Mr. Baker?
 - A. When I left Mr. Baker, he asked me to help him get an attorney, and so I put the attorney in touch with Mr. Baker.
 - Q. Okay. But did you give Billy Richardson's number to Mr. Baker or vice versa?
 - A. James -- yes, I gave Billy Richardson James' number, is where Herman was staying.
- Q. Okay. Did you talk with the attorney, Billy Richardson, about the case?
- 14 A. Generally, yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you told him about your theories about the case?
- 16 A. I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

2.4

- 17 Q. And your investigation?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. Why did you do that?
 - A. To put context to Herman Baker's statement so he would understand Herman Baker's fears. And, you know, not just fears from a legal perspective, but Herman was very nervous about being in the neighborhood and people knowing that he had been a snitch at trial.
 - Q. Let's go ahead and talk about that March 18 interview

- then. So this is the next day, is that right? The day
 after you talked to Billy Richardson -- I'm sorry,
 talked to Herman Baker, called the SBI. Now we're on
 the next day.
 - A. The 19^{th} .

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. The 19th. How did you locate Mr. Baker on the 19th?
- A. Mr. Baker had given us an address. We went to that address and it was the wrong address. So we started knocking on some doors in that vicinity and hit on an apartment where he was staying.
- Q. Okay. And you said that the SBI had asked if you did it, to record it. Was it recorded?
- 13 A. It was.
- Q. And you said, I think you were starting to say that you had prepared an affidavit and brought that with you?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the document I've just handed you, what do you recognize that to be?
- A. It's the affidavit that was typed before we met with

 Mr. Baker.
- Q. So you typed this after the first interview, brought it with you on the second interview?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And did Mr. Baker look at it and sign it?
- 25 A. He did.

1 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. He read it, asked for some changes, and signed it.
- Q. Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'm going to pass this around to the commissioners now.

(Affidavit of Herman Baker is distributed.)

- Q. Okay. It looks like that's gone around now. When you typed this before you came, before any changes, what did you base the information in here on?
- A. From -- I mean, the beginning of it is pretty standard affidavit language, and the rest of it was based on what he had said on the street.
- Q. Okay. Number 9, could you read us sentence number 9 or item number 9?
- A. I do not have any personal knowledge of any information relating to the murders of the two women in Bladen County. Law enforcement fed me all the details of the crime to which I testified.
- Q. Now, that wasn't in the documentation of the earlier interview. Was that something that you had assumed and planned to change if needed?
- A. Well, he said, they fed me everything.
- 23 Q. Okay, but law enforcement?
- A. The -- actually, when we talked to Mr. Barefoot at the car, Agent Barefoot, he said it was that Sparkman, he

- mentioned the name Sparkman had given Baker the information.
- 3 Q. The prison warden?
- 4 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And so you considered that law enforcement?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 \ Q. All right. And then would you read number 10 as well?
- 8 A. Law enforcement told me about the pepper can at the crime scene.
- 10 Q. Okay. And whose handwriting is that there?
- 11 A. That is my handwriting on his request.
- 12 Q. Okay. So Mr. Baker had you add, and prison guards?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And would you read number 11?
- 15 A. Originally written as, law enforcement told me about
 16 the victim's broken jaw. Again, he requested that I
 17 add, and prison guards.
- 18 Q. Okay. And number 12?
- 19 A. Law enforcement told me about the reward money in this 20 case.
- 21 Q. And was that from an interview?
- A. That was from the statement on the street. He said, he said that they didn't -- they only told him about the reward. He said he was not offered help with this sentence, just offered the reward.

- Q. Okay. And will you read number 13?
- A. Originally written as, I was not offered any help with my prison sentence. I was only promised reward money.
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. He had me correct that. And again, that was written based on what he had said on the street on the day before. He had me correct that to say, they told me they would let me out of prison early, and I was promised reward money.
- Q. So that's different than what he said the day before, but it's corrected on the affidavit to what he was saying at the time?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, what time was this interview at, do you recall?
- 16 A. It was the morning.
- 17 Q. And why was that?
- A. We just went in the morning. Actually, I wanted to try and catch him before he was drinking.
- 20 Q. And do you think you did?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. Did you wake him up?
- A. He was still in his pajamas, and I asked him if he had had anything to drink and he said he did not.
 - Q. And did he appear intoxicated to you?

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

- A. He did not.
- Q. Okay. And you said that that interview was recorded and transcribed?
- A. It was.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Do you recognize that?
- 6 A. I do.
 - Q. And what do you recognize it to be?
 - A. The transcription of the recorded interview with Herman Baker on March 19.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. We're just going to get that passed around to all the commissioners and then we'll talk about it. I'd just like to give the commissioners a little bit of time to read through this.

(Transcription of March 19, 2013 interview with Herman Baker is distributed.)

(THEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WENT OFF THE RECORD FROM 2:48 P.M. THROUGH 3:08 P.M.)

BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

Q. I have just a couple questions about the, for the things you were talking about here in the transcript, and then I'll see if the commissioners have any questions.

When you gave Mr. Baker the affidavit that he then corrected, did he read it himself?

1 A. He did.

4

5

6

- 2 \ Q. So you did not read it to him?
- 3 A. No. He put his glasses on and read it himself.
 - Q. And in here you reference a newspaper article, that you're handing a newspaper article to his friend. What was that newspaper article?
- 7 A. The Fayetteville Observer wrote a story.
- 8 Q. Was a recent article that had just come out or --
- 9 A. A recent one.
- 10 | Q. And was it about the Joseph Sledge case?
- 11 A. It was.
- 12 Q. And did that article reference Baker?
- 13 A. I believe it did, yes.
- Q. Was there a section in it entitled, The Snitch Has
 Emerged, if you recall?
- A. I wouldn't think so because that wouldn't have been an article before we talked to him.
- 18 Q. Okay. I'm handing you an article. Will you tell me,
 19 is that the article?
- 20 A. (Witness examines document.) Yes.
- 21 0. And this article is on March 16?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 Q. And your interview was March 19?
- 24 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And is this the one that you were handing during

5

6

7

8

the interview?

- 2 A. (Witness examines document.) Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. But this is not titled, Snitch Has Emerged, right?
 - Q. Is there a section of it on the third page, and I know that this is a print-off from the Website entitled, The Snitch Has Emerged, toward the bottom of the third page?
- 9 A. It says, The Snitch Has Emerged?
- 10 Q. Uh-huh (yes).
- 11 A. Not -- they haven't emerged, they emerge as part of the 12 trial process?
- 13 Q. Right.
- 14 A. Right; right.
- 15 Q. Is that a part of the article?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then does it name Herman Baker?
- 18 A. It does.
- 19 Q. Okay. Since then have you spoken with Herman Baker?
- 20 A. I don't believe I have talked to Herman, only to Billy.
- 21 Q. To his attorney?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right.
- Commissioners, do you have questions?
- 25 A. I may have talked to him the next morning to tell him

that Billy would be contacting him, but that was it.

2 Q. Okay. Thank you.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Other Commissioner questions?

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

- Q. I'm trying to understand, and perhaps the exchange you just had helps to explain a little bit, why was he more believable at this time than he was earlier at the trial?
- A. Why would it be more believable this time than at the trial?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Well, if what he's saying is that he was motivated at the trial by the reward, which we know he was paid, and by early release, which we know he received, then that would be consistent with what he's saying now. And I'm certainly biased because I believe in Mr. Sledge's innocence so I don't believe there was any black pepper at the crime scene, and so I'm too biased, really, to answer that question.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SUMNER

Q. Did Mr. Baker ever indicate to you why they sought him

indicate that?

framing a guilty person.

out as the person to feed the situation to; did he ever

Because he was in solitary and they knew he wanted to

get out, is what he told me; that Sparkman came to him

and said, we're looking for somebody and if you'll do

this for us, then we'll help you. And they basically

framed it as we know Joseph Sledge is quilty, we just

need help proving it. So he believed he was basically

1

2

3

Α.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

- Q. What was their motivation, I mean, other than they obviously wanted to clear the case, but was there any other indication of motivation on their part?
- I think the motivation, my personal opinion is that the Α. motivation was to clear the case. There was a lot of public pressure, there was a lot of -- there was an election coming up for Sheriff Allen, there was a lot of media coverage on the unsolved murders in the area, there was a lot of media coverage on the escapees from the prison, there was a lot of pressure from the family. This was, you know, listed as one of the cases that law enforcement couldn't solve, so there was pressure to solve it. They had the hair evidence, but I believe they didn't think that was enough and they

11

12

13

14

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

needed the informant testimony to shore it up.

Q. Thank you.

EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. At any time did Mr. Baker say that any of the law enforcement talked with him, or was it all DOC personnel, prison guards, the warden?
- A. Mr. Baker said that Mr. Poole, Agent Poole and -- or

 Detective Poole and Agent -- I'm sorry, Agent Poole and

 Detective Little came to Pennsylvania. There was a

 mistrial the first trial, and they came to Pennsylvania

 to pick him up and drove him back to North Carolina and

 talked to him about the case during the drive, and that

 they also left a note on his door in Pennsylvania,

 someone did, with details about reminding him what he

 needed to testify to.

2.4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

- Q. He didn't have that note, by the way, did he, still?
- A. No. We asked Mr. Baker for it and he said he did not keep that note. Mr. Baker was living with his uncle in Pennsylvania, who was a law enforcement officer.

 Mr. Baker told me that his uncle was actually telling him to come forward with the truth and tell people that Mr. Sledge had never said anything to him. So his

uncle was actually encouraging him to come forward to tell the truth.

- Q. Did anybody else ever see that note?
- A. Not that I know of. And he had a cousin who read it to him, but the cousin is deceased.

2.4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SUMNER

- Q. Is the uncle still living?
- A. I don't know, sir.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And Mr. Baker is going to testify.

- A. I believe he's deceased because we looked down all the avenues we could to try and verify that note, and so

 I'm sure he's deceased or we would have tried to interview him. The -- may I add something?
- Q. Go ahead.
- A. The reason for following up with Mr. Baker again in 2013 was because, again, because the importance of the DNA evidence, the hair evidence was being questioned relative to the informant testimony at trial. I believe the physical evidence is very important, obviously. The affidavit in post-conviction innocence work I'm very well-aware that you can get someone to say something on a recording or in an affidavit, and what really matters is what they're

willing to testify to. And so these were, these were efforts to try and get cooperation in pursuing this case through the district attorney's office to provide additional evidence of innocence for Mr. Sledge. But I know that what's important is what a witness is willing to testify to, so I would be the first to say that what Mr. Baker has to say today is what's important.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- I just want to clarify, and I know we don't need to get Q. into other details, I think that was a clarification earlier, but I do believe that you referenced that you think Mr. Baker is responsible for the murders. Did I hear you say that?
- No, sir. What I said was the options, based on my Α. belief that Joseph Sledge is innocent, the options for Mr. Baker's testimony are he committed the murders, he knew the person who committed the murders, or he was fed the information. I believed that the possibility that he committed the murders was stronger than the fact that he was fed information. I never wanted to believe that. So we know now that he did not commit the murders, but that was -- his being fed information was not my first choice.
- Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify.

was a field agent assigned to our southeastern district.

And how are you involved in the investigation of the Q. Joseph Sledge case?

23

2.4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Α. In late February of 2013 I was tasked with assisting in the investigation of this case at request of District Attorney Jon David. The SBI was specifically asked to look into several facts involving this case. It wasn't necessarily to reopen the entire investigation, but we were requested to attempt to locate any files or documents that still existed in this case either in possession of the SBI or the Bladen County Sheriff's Office, to locate any additional evidence or evidence from the original investigation that was no longer available or that could be located, to interview several witnesses including the original investigators and the original prosecuting district attorney, and also any other information that needed to be developed through the investigation.

I was informed that actually Ms. Mumma had contacted District Attorney Jon David's office and that's kind of how the original request took place.

And the SBI was requested by the district attorney's office to assist in the investigation based on information that Ms. Mumma had developed.

- Q. And was that before the Commission became involved in the case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you had contact with Herman Baker?

1 A. Yes, I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Agent Barefoot, if you'll just take a look at what I'm handing you, and tell me if you recognize it and what it is.
- A. (Witness examines document.) I do. This is a report that I typed involving my interaction with Mr. Herman Lee Baker, Jr., on Monday, March 18, 2013. It includes my handwritten notes and also my documentation of the collection of cheek swabs from Mr. Baker also that took place on March 18, 2013.
- Q. And is there any other documentation about this interview?
- 13 A. This is --
- 14 Q. Was it recorded?
- 15 A. This interview was not recorded.
- Q. And did you hear Ms. Mumma testify just a few minutes ago?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. So this is March 18, in between her, the interviews that she testified about, is that right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Sorry, go ahead.
- A. And if I could just provide a little bit of background on that?
 - Q. Sure.

2.4

25

Α. I was originally given this case in late February. Earlier this morning, on March 18 of 2013, we actually had a meeting at our district office in Fayetteville. Present at the meeting was myself, my special agent in charge of my district, Ms. Mumma, and I believe one of her staff members, and also District Attorney Jon David, and Investigator Scott Pait. Basically, that meeting was to come together to address what new information had come to light in this investigation. Being that I had only been assigned this case for less than a month and I had a lot of catching up to do, Mr. David had been involved for a short period of time, and Ms. Mumma, who had been working on the case for several years, we came together that morning in order to basically meet together, share information, and formulate how to move forward with this investigation.

One of the primary objectives in that meeting or information that was brought up was that these informants who had originally testified in the trials of Joseph Sledge, there was a discussion as whether or not they could have actually been the perpetrator because at this time and prior to this we were informed the hairs collected from the crime scene, that mitochondrial DNA analysis had shown that Joseph Sledge was not the contributor of those hairs. So one of our

2.4

primary concerns was how did these informants who testified to specific knowledge of the crime scene at trial, how did they know this information and were one of them possibly involved in the murder. So at that time one of our primary focuses was, or what was discussed in that meeting was try to get DNA standards from specifically Mr. Sutton and Mr. Baker.

In reviewing the file I was aware, and in doing some preliminary investigation, that of the informants who were interviewed during the initial investigation, there were three who gave information that reasonably implicated Mr. Sledge in the homicides, being Mr. Baker, Mr. Sutton, and Mr. Broadway. And of those three, the only person who was still alive was, in fact, Mr. Baker. So it was stressed during that meeting it was very important that Mr. Baker, one, be located; two, be interviewed; and three, that a DNA standard be collected from him.

So that was earlier this morning on March 18, 2013. At that time I had no idea where Mr. Baker lived. Ms. Mumma represented that she had not been able to locate him. And so we were kind of, at that point, just formulating, okay, this is a person we really need to find, and once we find him, you know, this is how we need to approach him.

- J

Q.

- Q. Had you been trying to locate Herman Baker prior to this day?
- A. Yes. By running basically intelligence reports and also by, you know, just trying to, you know, just running general address information. One thing that's difficult in looking at a case file that's so old is that, you know, address -- people move all the time. A lot of times, looking at the file, at first I didn't even have Mr. Baker's date of birth, and originally I didn't even have his original interview. We only found that later. So trying to find someone, you know, takes time when you don't know where to start.
 - Why did you interview him then on that same day,
 March 18?
- A. I believe it was around 2:30 that day my special agent in charge, Janie Sutton, actually received a call from Ms. Mumma. During that conversation Ms. Mumma basically stated, you know, I found Mr. Baker, you all need to come out here. And so I -- Special Agent in Charge Sutton gave me that information, so I immediately called Ms. Mumma and she told me, look, we found Mr. Baker. And I said, okay, well, where is he at? And she said, well, he's walking away from me now. And at that point she stressed that, you know, you all need to get out here and try to find him, and so I did.

23

24

25

The delay that I believe Ms. Mumma said was around 45 minutes to 50 minutes from the time she contacted us till we got there, one was travel time from our district office to where he was, and two was I had limited information on where he was. At that time I was not aware that Ms. Mumma was actually still following him. She had just given me a physical description, told me what road he was walking down, and that he was going to the VA Hospital. And that's okay, but it's kind of hard to find people in Fayetteville when all you know is a road and he's walking that way. So that was the delay. And I actually got up with another subject who was not Mr. Baker, and so it took some time. But we did eventually find Mr. Baker, he was actually walking down the sidewalk beside Ramsey Street within view of the Veterans Hospital.

- Q. All right. How did you approach Mr. Baker?
- A. Basically, once we were moving down the road and we had identified the person fitting the physical and clothing description of Mr. Baker, I was actually, it was myself and my assistant special agent in charge, John Crawford, who was driving his vehicle, we pulled into a parking lot and we just got out, walked across Ramsey Street, and approached Mr. Baker on foot.
- Q. And what did Mr. Baker say to you?

2.4

- A. Basically, at first I just approached Mr. Baker and identified myself, let him know who I was, that I was an agent with the SBI. And I asked -- and I just, I mean, at that point, you know, starting that interview kind of cold was a little difficult. But basically I approached Mr. Baker and let him know who I was and why I wanted to talk to him, and I wanted to talk to him about the double murder that happened in Bladen County in the 1970s for which Joseph Sledge had been convicted.
- Q. Okay. And what did he say?
- A. I also asked at that point, you know, if he had spoken with an attorney earlier that day about this matter.

 And I actually did recognize Ms. Mumma's vehicle, that she was still in the area. I believe she was in a turn lane or right there within view of where Mr. Baker was.

 And so we continued, I continued to walk with Mr. Baker and I asked him if he remembered testifying in the trial of Sledge. He said he did remember testifying and that he had been told to come forward by a prison supervisor named Sparkman. He advised that Sparkman had told him about a reward in the case. And I asked Baker if he had ever actually talked to Joseph Sledge, you know, because at first when I approach him and I'm talking to him, you know, that's primary, you know, how

2.4

did you get this information? Have you, in fact, ever talked to Joseph Sledge? And he said, yes. He said, I talked to Sledge while we were incarcerated together.

And so I then asked him what, if anything, he and Sledge had talked about. And that's when Baker told me that he remembered Sledge talking to him about white devils and black pepper.

So at that point I asked Mr. Baker if Sledge had ever confessed to him that he had committed the murder of the two women in Bladen County, and Baker said Sledge never told him that he had killed the women in Bladen County. He said Baker talked to him about some things, but did not make a confession to him.

- Q. Okay. What else do you remember from that interview?
- A. Specifically, and if I can describe this interview in a little bit greater detail? I located Mr. Baker at approximately 3:25 p.m. My interaction with him concluded at approximately 3:35 p.m., so it's a matter of ten minutes, and I'll explain why in a minute. But basically, this interaction is taking place as Mr. Baker is walking down the sidewalk to the VA Hospital, and I'm just walking with him. And he's, he's fine with that. He's very cooperative with me, he's talking to me. But one thing, again, that had been stressed in the meeting earlier was whether or not

2.4

Mr. Baker would provide a DNA sample so that we could possibly eliminate him as the contributor of the hairs found at the crime scene.

So after speaking with him just briefly about, one, did you know Joseph Sledge, do you remember testifying in the trial, and also, you know, what, if anything, do you remember Joseph Sledge telling you, I then asked him about the DNA sample. And we continued to walk through the wooded areas toward the VA Hospital and I explained to him that I had been asked to obtain DNA samples from several individuals who had been witnesses in this case. And I explained that those samples would be compared to evidence collected from the crime scene, and I asked him if he would be willing to provide a sample.

And during this time Mr. Baker is still cooperative, but he asked where we were going to get the sample done at. And basically, at that point I believe Mr. Baker thought that we were going to have to actually draw his blood and actually have to go to some type of medical facility to get that done. And so I explained to him that a blood sample was not needed and that collection of the standard could be done quickly by rubbing cotton swabs on the insides of a person's cheek. And so I explained to him, you know, how we

collect a DNA sample using cheek swabs. And I explained that the swabs to take the sample were actually in Assistant Special Agent in Charge Crawford's vehicle, which we could still see from where we were walking. We hadn't really covered very much ground.

And once Mr. Baker realized that his blood did not need to be drawn, he didn't even say anything, he just turned, he did a complete 180 and started walking toward ASAC Crawford's vehicle. And he said he was willing to provide a sample because he had nothing to hide. And while walking to the vehicle he actually told me that he had worked at the VA Hospital in the janitorial section for around ten years and he had seen people give cheek swabs before and understood what they were used for. So he established not only, you know — I had established the procedure of how to collect the swabs, but he also told me, okay, yeah, I know what that is, I've worked at a hospital before.

We actually walked back to ASAC Crawford's patrol vehicle. I sat in the front driver's seat,

Mr. Baker sat in the front passenger's seat right

beside me, and ASAC Crawford sat in the back. And
while seated in the vehicle I just collected general
demographic information from Mr. Baker. He verified

2.4

that his name was Herman Lee Baker, Jr., that his date of birth was May 24, 1950. He told me he was currently homeless, but lived with a deacon of his church named James at 213 Lawrence Avenue in Fayetteville, North Carolina. He provided me with a telephone number to the residence where he was staying, and also I verified his identity because he had a military identification card on him, you know, and I just, I took the extra step to make sure, okay, this person I'm taking this time with and collecting these DNA swabs from is, in fact, Mr. Baker, and it was.

- Q. And did he sign a consent form as well?
- 13 A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. He signed a written consent form authorizing the collection of those cheek swabs, and at approximately 3:35 p.m. I had him utilize two sterile cotton swabs to swab the inside of both his left and right cheek. He then placed those swabs into a clean envelope and I collected those cheek swabs as an item of evidence.
 - Q. Okay. Did Mr. Baker appear intoxicated to you?
 - A. When I first approached Mr. Baker immediately I noticed an odor of an alcoholic beverage. I am familiar with that odor being in law enforcement almost ten years, and I did ask Mr. Baker if he had been drinking that

4

5

6 7

8 9

11

10

13

12

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

day and he said he had drank about a pint of wine. I also noticed that he had what appeared to be a wine bottle in his, I believe, right front pocket of his coat, and he said, yes, I do have another bottle of wine with me.

- Did you feel that he was still able to answer those few 0. questions and provide a DNA swab?
- Yes. And the reason that is this, and the one reason I Α. took the time to go over, okay, I wanted to make sure he could give me his full name, his date of birth, his address, his phone number. He knew where he was. He took the added -- you know, he added information to my conversation being that he knew what DNA swabs were, that he had worked at a hospital before. I felt confident in that he knew why we were collecting the DNA swabs and I felt confident in his ability to provide consent to collect those swabs because he knew how the procedure was going to be handled, also he knew what was going to be done with those swabs. He understood that they were going to be submitted to a lab to be compared to evidence collected from the crime scene from the homicide of Josephine and Aileen Davis.
- And did you indicate to him that you would like to talk Q. with him again at a later date?
- Α. Yes.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. All right. And what did you plan with that?
- There's three main reasons why I didn't interview Α. Mr. Baker at that time. I felt confident in his ability to provide consent to provide those cheek swabs, which is a matter of a procedure that takes ten seconds. Conducting this interview, which at this point in this investigation I felt like was one of the most critical interviews to this case, here I had a witness who testified to very specific facts of the crime scene from the 1970s and he is the only living witness that could be interviewed in this matter. I felt like this interview was very critical. So one, I could not determine his level of intoxication. He had told me he had drunk a pint of wine, but I was unable to determine whether or not he was being truthful. Two, I was not in an environment to conduct that type of interview. We're walking down the sidewalk, there's cars buzzing by, there's lots of distractions. would just be very difficult to ask somebody to recall events from 35 years ago in that environment. And two -- or, and three, Mr. Baker told me, one, he's on the way to the VA Hospital and was speaking to him -- I mean, somebody's on the way to the hospital, is this routine or what's going on? And he's told me he's got chronic pancreatitis and he's in terrible pain.

did not want to hinder his ability to seek help for that condition.

And in my interaction with him I asked him, you know, is it an emergency, you know? Do I need to put you in the car now and drive you up there? And he said, no, but I do need to get to my appointment because they'll work with me to some extent, but if I'm too late, they will cancel my appointment. So that's the reason why I decided not to do a full in-depth interview that I knew could take hours at that point.

And actually, I asked Mr. Baker at this time, because again, he's being cooperative with me -- and typically, the way we handle these types of interviews, I'm not going to say, you need to be at my office tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock, you know. This man is taking his time to talk to me even though he's in pain. I can tell he's uncomfortable, you know. Again, he's been approached by two SBI agents out of the blue. So I asked him, when is a good time to talk to you? You know, it's real important that we conduct an interview, but I want to do it on your terms. And that's why he told me, well, let's do it Friday. And the reason I'd rather do it Friday is the deacon that I live with, he usually goes out of town on Fridays and the weekends, so we'll have the house to ourselves, so I would like

- to schedule the interview for Friday. So that's what I did.
 - Q. Okay. And then what did you do, was that the end of your discussion with Mr. Baker that day?
 - A. Since Mr. Baker was already seated in the car with me

 -- Ramsey Street is very busy in that area, it's four

 lanes with a turn lane -- I asked him if he wanted me

 to take him to the VA Hospital. He said that's fine.

 So I just pulled over and I dropped him off there at

 the front of the VA Hospital where he there went in.

 And that was the end of my interaction with him that

 day.
 - Q. Okay. And then did you talk with Chris Mumma after that?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. All right. And did you tell her that you were going to do another interview on Friday?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did you interview Mr. Baker again?
- 20 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

22

23

2.4

- 21 Q. All right. What happened?
 - A. Throughout that week I continued my investigation, but again, the interview with Mr. Baker was not supposed to take place till Friday. So on that morning as I'm getting ready, which would be Friday, March 22 of 2013,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

at approximately 10:16 a.m. I called Mr. Baker at the phone number he left me and I attempted to make contact with him to verify that he would still be able to meet me for that interview that day. I did not reach Mr. Baker, but I did speak with a female subject at that number. She told me Mr. Baker was not home, but I provided her with my contact information and asked her to have Mr. Baker give me a call.

At approximately 10:45 a.m. that same morning I was contacted by my special agent in charge, which is Janie Sutton, and she informed me that she had received a call from an attorney named Billy Richardson. Richardson told her that he understood that the NC SBI needed help with the interview that day. And at that time SAC Sutton, she comes to me and she says, Chad, you know, why is this attorney calling me? You know, have you requested -- have you spoken with an attorney if you do need assistance with an interview? And I told her no. And she clarified that the interview that Mr. Richardson had contacted her about was an interview I had scheduled with Herman Lee Baker, Jr., and Mr. Richardson informed Special Agent in Charge Sutton that he, in fact, represented Mr. Baker.

Q. Okay. And did he indicate whether or not he would let his client speak with you?

2.4

25

Α. At that point in speaking with Mr. Richardson who was -- he was telling us he was speaking on behalf of Mr. Baker. I took it upon myself to contact Mr. Baker myself, or make another attempt. Anytime we get contacted by an attorney in this situation, I wanted to make sure that this person, in fact, represented Mr. Baker. I didn't know Mr. Richardson at the time, I had never interacted with him. I didn't even know if he was actually a practicing attorney, you know. is somebody who has called us at the SBI office. So I wanted to make sure through Mr. Baker that this person is, in fact, representing him. So I actually tried to contact Mr. Baker again and I was able to actually speak to Mr. Baker over the phone.

Something I thought was unusual about this case is in speaking with Mr. Baker, he did, in fact, tell me, yeah, when I tried to talk to him about the interview and I said, Mr. Baker, you know, are we still going to have this interview today? He said, well, yeah, hadn't you spoke to my attorney? And at that point I had not spoken to Mr. Richardson, Ms. Sutton had. And so I said, Mr. Baker, no, I've not spoken to your attorney. You know, can you give me some additional information? You know, who is this attorney? And he told me that it was Attorney

2.4

Richardson. And I asked Mr. Baker, I said, okay, well, what's Mr. Richardson's first name? Mr. Baker said he did not know. So I asked Mr. Baker if he knew whether Mr. Richardson was an attorney from Fayetteville or not. He told me he did not know where Mr. Richardson was from. And so at that point I asked Mr. Baker if he had any contact information from Richardson so that I could contact him. And he said he had Richardson's phone number somewhere, but he could not find it and would look the phone number up in a phone book.

And so I was curious, you know, I asked
Mr. Baker, I said, okay, how did you get up with
Mr. Richardson to represent you in this case since you
don't know who he is, you don't know where he practices
law? You know, I'm trying to figure out what's going
on. I'm trying to figure out what happened from Monday
when he was very cooperative with me and said, okay,
you know, why don't you come by my house Friday when
Deacon James isn't there to now when he's saying you
need to talk to my attorney. And I was really trying
to convey to him that Mr. Baker, we're not looking to
you as the only suspect in this case, we're
interviewing lots of witnesses in this case that
testified at this trial and you're not the only person.
I wanted to try to ensure that he was not being focused

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25 Α. No.

on in this investigation. And all he could tell me, he said, well, a friend got me in -- him, a friend got him in contact with Richardson.

- Q. Okay.
- And to answer your earlier question, I did, did make Α. contact with Mr. Richardson, but he would not allow his client to be interviewed without a promise against, some type of quarantee that he would not be charged or prosecuted for perjury. I informed Mr. Richardson that's not a guarantee I could make because I didn't even know what Mr. Baker was going to tell me since I'd not interviewed him, and that's something that the district attorney's office would have to make a decision on. And that was kind of my, the end of my interaction with both Mr. Richardson and Mr. Baker.
- So after Mr. Baker told you that he had an attorney, Q. did you ask him any questions about the case?
- Α. No.
- And when Mr. Richardson, the attorney, told you that he Q. wanted a guarantee about perjury, did you know that Mr. Baker had already signed an affidavit?
- Α. No, I did not.
- Have you had any other contact with Mr. Baker or his Q. attorney?

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner questions?

2.4

EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. What was that date on Friday that you had scheduled the interview?
- A. It would have been on March 22 of 2013.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And that was the same week of my initial interaction with him when I walked down the side of the road with him on March 18. It would have been that same week.
- Q. Did you talk with Ms. Mumma about your plans to interview him later?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you tell her what the date was that you had planned on interviewing him?
 - A. Yes. And she did voice to me that she felt like that was too long a time frame, and that she felt like she might have to interview him sooner than that. And I have no way to restrict her, you know, her decisions as far as what she's going to do. So at that time I just told her, you know, this is when my interview is scheduled, you know. I can't tell you don't go interview him. I mean, that's not something I have the ability to do. I don't have authority to do that.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. So somewhere in the conversation you became aware that she had talked with him on the $19^{\rm th}$?
- Α. Yes. Later on I was provided documentation of his affidavit that he had provided. Also, she mentioned briefly during my telephone conversation on the 18th what he had represented to her. She did tell me that supposedly he had said that, you know, law enforcement fed me all this information and that I was promised reward money. And during my interview with him I did not bring that up in that, again, it was a very brief interaction with him. I just asked very general questions. And he did tell me that -- he never mentioned law enforcement, and I think there may be some semantical -- the description of whether or not DOC is law enforcement, that may be one of the issues in this case. But he only mentioned Sparkman specifically, and he said Sparkman told me about the reward money. But when I questioned him further and said, did Joseph Sledge tell you anything? He said, yeah, I remember him talking to me about white devils and black pepper, but he did not actually tell me he killed those women.
- Q. I imagine there is a report that reflects your interview with him?
- A. Yes, sir.

if you'd like.

MR SI

MR. SMITH: May I ask one question?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: We can hand that out,

JUDGE SUMNER: Sure.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

4

3

EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH

- Q. Did he talk to you about the trip back from Pennsylvania?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you know that at some point he was in Pennsylvania and was brought back to North Carolina by --
- A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. -- Agent Poole, I believe, and other people?
 - A. At this point in the investigation I had been provided with the SBI case file that we had been able to locate up to that point. I believe we had also found the initial transcript of the trial at that point. So I had a large amount of documents as far as the case file and I was aware that he was, in fact, brought back.
 - Q. Is it your understanding, based on everything you know, that the only people who fed him information were Department of Corrections people, or do you believe it was SBI agents and sheriffs, deputy sheriffs?
 - A. The only person who Mr. Baker mentioned to me in my brief interaction with him was Sparkman. And he

actually gave me that name. He at no point mentioned any other the investigators, the SBI, or any other, anybody else involved in this case. I would have liked to have done a much more detailed interview with him, but I'm limited to the access to him I had on that day.

- Q. Do you know whether Mr. Sparkman is still living?
- A. He is deceased.
- Q. Thank you, sir.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir, Mr. Vickory.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. Do you know if, anything about this uncle of Herman Baker's, is he alive or dead; do you have any idea?
- A. I do not, and I was never able to get that information from Mr. Baker. I do not know who that uncle is.

 There is some representation in the case file and what was brought up in testimony earlier today that he had an uncle who was a law enforcement officer in Philadelphia. I've never been able to get that information.
- Q. Have you ever verified that they were actually in prison together? I mean, is that -- I'm not sure if that's a disputed issue or not, but it seems to be.
- A. Some of the DOC records, in looking at what I had access to at the time, there were periods of time when

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

their days of incarceration appear to overlap. But specifically as far as what institutions they were at at the time, I was unable to obtain that information. One thing I'd also bring out is my investigation was kind of halted before I was able to complete all those investigative activities and was turned over to the Innocence Commission. So at the point that they took over the investigation, all my documentation and everything I had done in my investigation was provided to them, and since that point I've kind of been on standby.

- Q. So I take it you were doing an investigation on behalf of the DA's office?
- A. Yes. Based on the specific request from the district attorney, I had -- I was asked to conduct this type of interviews, you know, locate these witnesses, attempt to obtain DNA standards from these people, see if you can locate any additional evidence that may be stored in any other Bladen County facilities or other facilities. And so we had a very specific set of guidelines that we had been requested to take on in this case.
- Q. So that's an investigation pursuant to a Motion for Appropriate Relief, I take it, is that what you're talking about or --

- A. It was prior to the Motion for Appropriate Relief, but that's where it was moving toward.
- Q. I got you.

2.4

- A. It was basically we were asked to help the district attorney's office gather this information so they could make an informed decision on how to move forward with Ms. Mumma.
- Q. And there's been a whole lot of discussion back from the '70s about polygraphs in this case. Has anybody ever tried to polygraph Herman Baker?
- A. I do not --
- Q. Lately? I mean recently?
- A. The SBI has not. And again, from that, from that date in March 22 of 2013, I have not been allowed to have access to him. As soon as he brought up the issue of, you know, I've got an attorney, the attorney brought up you've got to grant my client immunity or he's not talking to you, my hands became tied in the case. I've not been able to have any additional contact with him to offer him a polygraph or undertake any other investigative activity as far as Mr. Baker is concerned.
- Q. So your entire, the entire world of your communication with Mr. Baker took place while he's walking down a street in Fayetteville on his way to the VA to get some

2

_

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

pain medication for his current chronic pancreatitis,
is that --

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And I take it this was also the same, within the same time frame that Ms. Mumma had approached him on the street and asked him questions. And I guess the only way he got away from her was when she was told what she wanted to hear, is that what seems to be?
- Well, I can tell you that the interaction between Α. Ms. Mumma and Mr. Baker occurred. I don't know when it I know we left the meeting that morning around lunchtime, I received a phone call around 2:30. So again, from that time I don't know what conversations happened. I know Ms. Mumma spoke to Mr. Baker, Ms. Mumma told me where Mr. Baker was. I was able to find Mr. Baker and I spoke to him. And at that point he was being, he was being very cooperative with me. I didn't see any reason why I needed to press him that day and I needed to, you know, say, no, you're not going to the hospital, you know, I don't care you've been drinking. I felt the best action to take was go ahead and establish a time frame to set up a more formal interview and go ahead and let this man get to the hospital where he was trying to go.
- Q. But it was all during the course of the same walk to

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

the hospital, I guess is what I'm getting at.

Ms. Mumma's conversation as well as your conversation, it was one process that he was going on the way to the VA Hospital?

- A. I would assume so in that Ms. Mumma said, well, you know, this is where he's at, he's walking away from me now.
- Q. When Ms. Mumma asked you about your conversation with him, that was also that day, I take it, at the --
- A. Yes, immediately -- Ms. Mumma had pulled over. Again,

 I mean, it's kind of hard to describe. This, this is

 just kind of fluid and in motion. This is not the way

 we would typically do this interview under the best

 case scenario.
- Q. I understand.

- A. But Ms. Mumma was parked in a parking lot. I mean, after my interaction with Mr. Baker, the collection of the cheek swabs, and taking him to the hospital, I didn't just want to leave. I mean, we were sharing information at that point and that's why I parked beside Ms. Mumma and talked to her, told him what limited information Mr. Baker had provided me, and that an interview had been scheduled with him on Friday.
- Q. Did you tell Ms. Mumma that Baker told you that Sledge did not confess to him?

-		
1	7\	Yes.
	Α.	162.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Did you indicate to her that he did acknowledge knowing who Mr. Sledge was and having a conversation with Sledge in prison?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you mention that part about the devils and the pepper to Ms. Mumma --
- A. I believe so.
- Q. -- to Ms. Mumma?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE SUMNER: Any other questions?

13 (No response.)

JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you, sir.

(Agent Barefoot is dismissed from the witness

stand.)

JUDGE SUMNER: In the interest of commissioner health, we'll take about a ten-minute break.

(THEREUPON, A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN FROM 3:51 P.M. THROUGH 4:07 P.M.)

JUDGE SUMNER: And just for the information of the Commissioners, I do have kind of a forecast of what the testimony of the day will be. So we are somewhat behind, so I'm going to exercise the privilege

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- today.
 - Α. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Have you reviewed the report, the law enforcement Q. report as we have it from the Bladen County Sheriff's Office and the State Bureau of Investigation?

1 A. I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. And are there polygraph reports contained in that file?
- A. There are.
- Q. And how did you obtain polygraph reports in this case?
- A. We obtained them from the State Bureau of Investigation file, also the Bladen County Sheriff's file had copies of them as well.
- Q. And were they in a separate file at the State Bureau of Investigation or were they mixed in with the investigative reports?
- A. They were mixed in, and then they also, the State

 Bureau of Investigation also checked a different

 location to confirm that there were no polygraph

 reports on file in another location which is sometimes

 where they're kept.
- Q. Okay. And from the time that Agent Poole became involved in the investigation, are all the polygraph reports that you saw documented as well in the investigative reports?
- A. They are.
- Q. And if a polygraph was attempted but not administered for various reasons, is that also documented in the investigation reports?
- 25 A. It is documented.

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge

- Q. And that is consistent with Agent Poole's testimony that you heard today, that it would be documented?
- A. That is consistent, yes.
- Q. Okay. How many completed polygraph reports are contained in the file?
- A. There are 11 polygraph reports in the total investigation.
- Q. Okay. And are there any polygraph reports or notes or documentation indicating that Donald Sutton was given a polygraph?
- A. No.

2.4

- Q. And are there any reports, notes, documentation that Herman Baker was given or attempted to be given a polygraph?
- A. No. And I should say that we actually have more polygraph reports, the report itself, than we do have investigation reports. So we're not missing polygraph reports, we're missing investigation reports of interviews and things of that nature and not the polygraph reports.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. A lot of times an investigation report will refer to a polygraph report having been attempted or they're talking about giving one or maybe they did give one, and then you'll find a polygraph report elsewhere.

That's not the case. We have more polygraph reports than investigative reports.

- Q. Okay. Now, there were, there was another question as well about the dog search. Is there documentation about the dogs searching for Joseph Sledge?
- A. Somewhat. There is an SBI report. Captain Sparkman, the warden at the time of White Lake, was interviewed on October 19, 1977 by Bladen County Detective Phillip Little. He was interviewed about the escape. It states that when Sledge escaped, dogs were brought in from McCain, according to Captain Sparkman, but he doesn't recall what the dogs did as he was not present, that Lieutenant Ransom Hayes of the prison department was in charge of that search. You heard him testify about that. As he told you, Ransom Hayes has now passed away. There are no other reports related to the dogs, what location they actually tracked, where they left off, you know, where they ran.

Mr. Little testified that the dogs stopped at Hoover Bridge, which is about, as he testified, a mile-and-a-half from the Davis home. Either direction that the dogs would have tracked, whether -- either direction, Sledge has always told officers that he walked along 701. So whether he walked that way or the dogs tracked him another way, both of those directions

lead toward Elizabethtown; they're parallel to each other. In other words, he was still near the victims' home. So if you look at the map, the diagram -- can you tell them what page it's on? I'm sorry.

Q. It's on page 115.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

A. Okay. Lindsey, I need it.

7 (Ms. Lindsey Guice Smith hands document to 8 Ms. Stellato.)

- Q. Is this the diagram from page 115 of the brief used at trial?
- A. It is; it is. You'll see 701 on the right, which is the route that Sledge is telling you. And then on the inside there you'll see 242. If you go back toward the prison, that's going to be where the dogs were tracking, but they're still parallel in the direction of the victims' home.
- Q. Is there any question -- we're going to get to your interviews of Mr. Sledge later, but is there any question that he walked very close to the victims' home?
- A. No, there's no question that he was very, very close to the victims' house.
 - Q. According to him, according to --
- 24 A. According to him.
- 25 Q. According to everybody, he walked --

- A. Correct. He puts himself closer to the victims' home
 than where the dogs actually would have, where

 Mr. Little was testifying to where the dogs would have
 actually lost a track.
 - Q. And you said, I think you said that Ransom Hayes has passed away?
 - A. He did pass away. There's no reports about an interview where the dogs, if they interviewed him about where the dogs would have lost the scent. There are interviews with Mr. Sledge where he states that he had not -- he states that when he escaped from prison he actually stayed at the prison camp for some time. Even though he states that he escaped after lunchtime, that he did not actually leave the grounds of the prison until much, much later because he knew that they were going to release the dogs to search for him. He states that he knew that the dogs were going to be trying to track him so that he wasn't -- that he was still at the prison when the dogs went out.
 - Q. Now, we've heard, has Captain Sparkman passed away?
 - A. He passed away in 2008.

2.4

- Q. Now, is there any documentation anywhere that you've located or seen about how many other people had escaped from White Lake Prison around the time of the murders?
- A. That year after the murders security became a very big

issue at White Lake because of the double homicide.

And Captain Sparkman was quoted in the newspaper

between -- in June, July, and August that year there

were 11 escapes from White Lake Prison, and in four

there were -- I'm sorry, in September there were four,

so a total of 15 escapes in that four-month period.

- Q. Okay. All right. Let's talk about Herman Baker. Did you interview Herman Baker?
- A. I'm sorry, can I just follow up on one more question that I think Mr. Vickory asked, I was just looking over them, regarding the handwritten notes of the SBI agents? There are no notes that we can locate. We've checked with legal, we've interviewed all the agents that we've been able to, and we have not been able to recover any of those notes. As you said, they used to keep them with them, that's not policy any longer. The SBI legal counsel has checked with who they can, and we do have an entire case file, but there are no handwritten notes.
- Q. How about Herman Baker, did you interview him?
- 21 A. Yes, we did.

- Q. And when did you first interview him?
- 23 A. On July 23, 2013.
- Q. Okay. And did he have an attorney?
- 25 A. Yes, he did.

Q. Who is that?

1

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 A. Billy Richardson.
 - Q. And where was the interview?
 - A. At Mr. Richardson's office in Fayetteville.
 - Q. And who was present for the interview?
- A. Myself, Lindsey Smith; Mr. Baker; Mr. Richardson; his private investigator, his name is Chuck Mims; and a law student that worked for Mr. Richardson.
- 9 Q. Did you ask Mr. Baker if he was under the influence of any substance during the interview?
 - A. Yes. He stated that he had a prescription he was taking at the time, Vicodin, and that he had not had anything to drink on that day.
 - Q. Did Mr. Baker say how he knew Joseph Sledge?
 - A. He stated that they were associates, that they had been at the same work camp, which he later stated was White Lake.
 - Q. I'm sorry, if I didn't ask it, was the interview recorded and transcribed?
 - A. It was.
- Q. And do you have the transcription of the interview up there to refresh your recollection?
 - A. I do.
- Q. All right. Now, what Mr. Baker said to you about knowing Mr. Sledge from work camp in White Lake, was

that consistent with his prior statements?

A. No. In a --

2.4

- Q. What had he said before?
- A. In the police interview and at trial it was Moore

 Correctional. They were at Moore Correctional at the
 same time, according to DPS records, for a three-month
 period in 1977. Both Sledge and Baker had been
 incarcerated a lot at the same time, but the only time
 that they have overlapped is at Moore Correctional for
 a three-month period in 1977.
- Q. Okay. And that's consistent with the trial testimony?
- A. That is consistent with the trial testimony.
 - Q. And you confirmed that by pulling the DPS records?
- A. By court order, yes.
- Q. Okay. All right. What did you ask Mr. Baker during this interview?
 - A. We asked when he first learned that Sledge had been charged with the murder. He stated that he learned that through a prison guard. And I asked him what the guard told him. I'll read his response. He stated, what he wanted me to do, because I know I just, I just got busted from bringing in marijuana and cocaine and I was in the hole a while. And one day a guard came to me and he said, since you know Joe Sledge, we want you to do something for us. Testify against him about

spreading black pepper and some broken glass on the floor of the place to keep the she-devils away or something like that.

- Q. When he said broken glass, was this the first time you'd heard him mention or heard the prior interviews of him mentioning broken glass?
- A. It was the first time.
 - Q. Did he say what he was going to get in exchange for doing this?
 - A. He stated that the guards told him that they would drop his charges if he would testify against Sledge.
- Q. Did he describe who the guards were?
- 13 A. He was unable to name them. He stated that he believed they were white.
- Q. Did you ask him what it was that the guards told him to tell?
- 17 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

- 18 Q. Can you read that?
- A. I can. He stated, just what I said about putting black
 pepper down in the house and spread broken glass around
 the place.

I then asked him, spread broken glass? And he stated, yeah, broken. Yeah, there was some broken glass or something.

Q. And you said this was the first time you've heard glass

- mentioned. Was it in the law enforcement reports or the trial testimony?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

- Q. What did you ask next?
 - A. I asked him what he told -- what the guards told him that they would do for him. He stated that the guards told him they would help him get out earlier and that they took him to the jailhouse to interview him.
 - Q. Is that consistent with the prior reports of his prior interviews?
 - A. The law enforcement reports does not say where they took him to be interviewed. The trial testimony says that the officers took him to a hotel. His affidavit and interview with Chris Mumma states that they took him to a jail.
 - Q. Okay. What did Herman Baker say next?
 - A. He stated that the prison guards came to get him, that they told him what to say, and took him to the warden he named as Sparkman. And he stated that they did not tell him about a reward at the time.
 - Q. At that time?
- A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
- Q. What else did he say?
 - A. He stated after that that he was released. He went to Philadelphia to stay with his sister. That two

- officers came up and got him, that they transported him back to North Carolina for the trial. He could not recall their names but they came to his house looking for him and left him a note on the door.
 - Q. And what did he say that that note said?
- A. Don't forget to remember to testify about the black pepper and the broken glass around the house.
- Q. Okay. And did you ask him about, during this interview did you ask him if that note still exists?
- 10 A. We did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what did he say about that note?
- 12 A. He stated that he had thrown it away.
- 13 \ Q. And who else had read it?
- A. His sister, at different times he stated that his sister, his cousin, and his uncle, all of whom are deceased.
- Q. Okay. What did you ask him after he talked about the note?
 - A. I asked him if he ever knew Sledge to call any white women by names. He stated he did not. I said --
 - Q. Can you read on page 14 starting at line 21?
 - A. Sure. Did you ever know him to call white women by names? Him, no.
 - Me, so where did you get she-devils from?

 His response, from him, from the guards.

Me, the guards told you to say that Sledge called the women she-devils? His response, yes.

- Q. Did you ask him about testifying at trial, do you remember?
- A. Yeah, I asked -- I did. I asked him if he remembered testifying. He vaguely remembered that, but he only remembered one trial. He testified at two trials, but he did not remember that there were two trials.
- Q. Okay. Did he remember talking to Joseph Sledge in prison?
- A. I asked him, yes, I asked him if he remembered talking to him at a weight pile, because law enforcement reports stated that the confession happened at a weight pile. He did remember talking to Sledge at a weight pile, but he couldn't remember what conversations they'd had. So he did remember talking to Sledge in prison, but he couldn't remember that, you know, the confession.
- Q. Okay. What did you ask him next? I'm on page 17.
- A. Let me clarify that. He -- it's not that he couldn't remember the confession, he stated Sledge did not confess.

I'm sorry, can you repeat that?

Q. I'm on page 17, looking at line 17. What did you ask him next? If you'll just read that or tell us what you

asked and then read his answer.

2.4

A. I asked him why he testified against Sledge. He stated, I just told you that I didn't know it then, I thought it was the truth, the way they were putting it to me. Then I find out about a year ago that it wasn't; about six months ago.

And I asked, so you thought what the guards were telling you was true? And he said yes.

- Q. Okay. Did you ask him if Sledge ever did confess?
- A. I did. I asked him if Sledge ever confessed. He said that Sledge never said he did do it or that he didn't do it. And I clarified that he never talked with him about the murders.
- Q. Did you ask about the reward?
- A. He said it was not for a reward, that he testified in order to get out of prison sooner.
- Q. And what else did you ask him?
- A. I asked him who the first person to contact him about the case had been. He stated that it was Chris Mumma. He said he didn't want to have anything to do with it, but that she had found him and told him that she needed to talk to him before the SBI came. I asked him why he had changed his statements between his interviews with her, and he stated it was because she showed him a letter where Sledge had written and said please help

- me. He also stated that he didn't change his statements, but he told the truth.
 - Q. So that what he told her in the final interviews was the truth?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. And did you ask about when the SBI interviewed him?
- A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. And what did he say?
 - A. He stated that the SBI came later, but that they didn't ask him anything. They took a DNA swab. That he had been drinking and had a bottle of wine in his pocket at that time.
- Q. Okay. What did you ask next?
 - A. I asked him if at any time any of the people he had spoken to had promised him anything or coerced him to change his story. He said they had not. He then said that his friends had called him a snitch because they had found out in a newspaper article they had read about him.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you ask him next?
 - A. I asked him after all the years that he, since he had testified at trial if he had ever told anyone his testimony wasn't true. And he said no, he never had. I asked him if that bothered him. He stated, not really because I didn't know it wasn't true. If I

1 would have known, yes, it would have bothered me bad.

- Q. Did you read him or did you show him the affidavit that has been passed around here already that Ms. Mumma brought him?
- A. Yes, I read it to him line by line. He confirmed the statements in the affidavit as true until I got to the line about the broken jaw.
- Q. Okay. So the affidavit says broken jaw?
- A. Correct.

- Q. All right. And will you read what he said was you were reading -- will you read from the transcript what he said?
- A. Sure. I read the line from the affidavit that states, law enforcement and prison guards told me about the victim's jaw, and then asked, do you remember that?

 His response, yeah, I thought it said broken jar, but it must have said jaw.

I say, so you thought when we were talking earlier about broken glass, you thought it was a broken jar? Yes.

I say, okay. Do you remember your testimony?

I mean, do you remember? You really don't, do you? He states, no.

I say, okay, the affidavit, it states, they told me they would let me out of prison early, I was

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 230 1 promised reward money; is that correct? He answers, 2 uh-huh (yes). 3 I state, you really don't know whether Mr. Sledge killed the victims, right? He answers, no, 4 5 I don't; I really don't. 6 I say, would it be accurate to say you didn't 7 mind testifying against him because you thought he did kill the victims? He answers, hmm. 8 9 I say, would it be true, would it be true for you to say that? Do you understand my question? If 10 11 you don't, I'll repeat it a different way. He answers 12 no. 13 I say, okay, would it be true for you to say that you testified against Mr. Sledge because you 14 15 believed he was the murderer? He answers yes. 16 I say, that would be true? He answers yes. 17 And I say, and you never thought he didn't do 18 it? He answers no. And I say, until when? He answers, until I 19 20 started seeing that lady. I ask, until Chris Mumma? And he answers 21 22 yes. 23 Q. Okay. So before in the interview Mr. Baker had been

- talking about broken glass?
- Α. Correct.

2.4

- Q. And was there broken glass at the crime scene?
- A. No.

- Q. So when Mr. Baker expressed that he believed the affidavit read broken jar as opposed to broken jaw, can you tell us what, what he explained or how you understood that to be?
- A. He assumed that he must have testified about broken glass, and now he realized when I was reading him the affidavit that the broken jaw of the victim was actually, that when I was reading it to him, that the broken jar was actually the broken jaw of the victim. So he stopped at that point talking about broken glass and a broken, broken jar because he realized --
- Q. So he didn't say broken glass again after that correction?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, what happened in the interview next?
- A. At this point his attorney, Mr. Richardson, interjected and said that Mr. Baker had been really influenced by the DNA in the case. He said that both of them had been told about the DNA evidence by Ms. Mumma. And I asked Mr. Baker if that was correct.
- Q. And he said yes?
- A. He did. He stated that it had a, it had a really -- it

- had affected him. I asked him if when he had heard about the DNA, that influenced him. And he stated, yes, threw me off real bad. It made me think real hard.
- Q. Okay. And what else did Mr. Richardson say at this point, the attorney?
- A. He started talking about his belief in Mr. Baker's recantation.
- Q. Did he say whether he'd given Mr. Baker a polygraph?
- A. He stated that he had one of the best examiners in the world examine him and that he's of the -- when I say he, the examiner, is of the strong opinion that he's being truthful.
- Q. Okay. And did the Commission later attempt to confirm whether or not he was talking about a polygraph exam?
- A. Yes, we did.

2.4

- Q. And what did Mr. Richardson say?
 - A. He stated that he had had a polygraph examiner scheduled and at least meet with him, but because of either illness or medication that Mr. Baker was taking, he didn't believe that the exam was completed all of the way, that the polygraph examiner had since passed away. We're still not clear on if the polygraph did happen, but he was unable to find a report and he did not provide us notes as of yet. I believe he was going

to email them, but we haven't received them.

- Q. Okay. And is it your understanding now that the polygraph was either halted or didn't happen because of medication?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 7 MR. SMITH: So there is no polygraph, is that correct?
 - A. Well, I mean, we can't, to be honest with you,

 Mr. Smith, we can't really confirm that, but it sounds

 like from what Mr. Richardson is saying that the

 polygraph was either halted or not completed all the

 way, the way that we understand it. But we haven't

 been able to get any documentation confirming that, but

 I believe so.
 - Q. And has Mr. Richardson indicated that he just, he's having trouble remembering and he can't find any notes?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. All right. Did Mr. Baker talk about Donald Sutton?
- 21 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Okay. And did he say that he had known -- that whether or not he knew Donald Sutton?
- 24 A. He stated that he knew him from prison camp.
- 25 Q. Okay. And what did he say about Sutton?

- A. He stated that he knew that Donald Sutton had testified against Joseph Sledge because Chris Mumma had told him that, but that he, when he was at the trial he didn't see Donald Sutton there.
 - Q. Okay. And is that consistent with other interviews with Mr. Baker?
 - A. Mr. Baker has said various things about Donald Sutton and whether or not he knew him.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- 10 A. It is not consistent.
- 11 Q. And what came up next in the interview?
- A. We asked him about a man named William Danner who goes by the nickname of Gator.
 - Q. And why did you ask him about this?
 - A. Sledge had been incarcerated in prison with William

 Danner in 1997 and Sledge had obtained an affidavit

 from William Danner and we wanted to talk to him about

 that. That affidavit references Herman Baker.
- 19 Q. Okay. And do you recognize this to be that affidavit?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And this was obtained way before the Commission was ever a part of the investigation?
- 23 A. It was. It was obtained by Mr. Sledge.
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And I'm going to pass this around.

(The Affidavit of Wallace R. Danner is 1 2 distributed.) 3 Q. Okay. And did you ask Mr. Baker if he remembered William Danner? 4 5 Α. I did. 6 What did he say? 0. 7 He did remember him. He remembered talking to him. Α. They used to hang out together prior to prison. 8 9 Did you ask Mr. Baker about any of the things in this Q. affidavit? 10 11 We did. He did remember talking to him, but Baker 12 stated that he never, contrary to the affidavit, that 13 Baker stated he never believed that he was a suspect in the case, the murder case. 14 15 Okay. And what else did you ask Mr. Baker? Q. I asked him if he remembered Sledge being Muslim, and 16 Α. 17 he confirmed that he did remember that, that he 18 recalled Sledge wearing a hat and that he had a beard. All right. And what did you ask him next? 19 Q. 20 Mr. Baker stated that he was telling the truth and that Α. no one had tried to get him to change his testimony. 21 He stated that Chris Mumma had told him to tell the 22 23 truth and that she had showed him a letter, that that 2.4 letter had made him cry, that the letter had said

Sledge was innocent. And Mr. Baker stated he was

1 willing to be put under oath.

- Q. And how did the interview end?
- A. I asked him if he had any questions for me, and his response was, help him get free, he deserves it, he didn't do it.
 - Q. All right. Did you interview Mr. Baker a second time?
- 7 A. I did.

2

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And when was that?
- 9 A. September 23, 2013.
- Q. And was that also at his attorney, Billy Richardson's office?
- 12 A. It was.
 - Q. Okay. And what happened in your investigation that made you want to go back and interview Herman Baker a second time?
 - A. The Bladen County law enforcement file, which had been missing, was located. And in that file was the Herman Baker interview, the only interview which had not been located. And so after reviewing that we determined that we needed to interview Mr. Baker again.
 - Q. Okay. And who was present for that interview?
 - A. Myself; a Commission intern; Billy Richardson; his private investigator, Chuck Mims; and Mr. Baker.
- 25 A. It was.

- Q. And are you looking at the transcription of that to refresh your recollection?
- A. I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. And how was Mr. Baker during this interview, how was his state?
 - A. He was extremely agitated. He was cursing. His girlfriend had recently passed away, his health was poor, and he was angry. He stated that he was sick of this shit.
- Q. Did you offer to reschedule the interview?
- 11 A. We did. We offered to reschedule. His attorney stated 12 that he wanted to go forward.
- 13 Q. Okay. And did you obtain a DNA swab at this time?
- A. We did. We needed an additional DNA swab to send to a second private lab for testing.
- 16 Q. Okay. And was his attorney present during that?
- 17 A. He was.
 - Q. Okay. And did Mr. Baker continue to consent to doing this interview?
 - A. He did. Throughout the interview at various times he would, Mr. Baker would indicate that he wanted to leave the interview. We never tried to stop that from happening, but his attorney did, did stop Mr. Baker from leaving. At one point Mr. Baker and Mr. Richardson left the room, they had a conversation,

and they returned, they came back and continued with the interview.

- Q. Okay. And what did you ask Mr. Baker in this interview?
- A. I asked him if he had conversations with Sledge.
- Q. Okay. And will you read his response?
- A. Sure. He stated, yes. I asked, but he never confessed to a murder? He replied, a murder, no, he didn't.

And I asked, okay, he never denied a murder?

And he replied no. He stated, he didn't have no reason to deny it or to confess to it. Why would he tell, you know, tell it to me, come tell me that?

I asked, so did he ever talk to you about a murder? He replied, he just, all he ever said, mentioned something about putting black pepper for shedevils. I guess that's one of his beliefs or something. Whatever, I don't know. That's all.

And then I asked, so he told you that he put down black pepper? And he says, uh-huh (yes). And he states, that's what -- he didn't say he put it down, he said they put down black pepper for she-devil -- kill -- keep the she-devil out. I don't know. That's vaguely all I can remember. I remember the black pepper specifically.

And I asked, do you know that that came from

2.4

- Mr. Sledge? And he says, Mr. Sledge's mouth. I remember that.
 - Q. All right. What else did Mr. Baker say?

2.4

- A. He stated that he told his uncle, who was a police officer, about testifying, and his uncle told him to come forward. He showed his uncle a piece of paper that the officers had left at his home and what they wanted him to say in court.
- Q. Okay. And then what did he say?
- A. That he was in the hole for drugs and the prison guards came to him, that they offered him a deal, that it was five for ten year -- five to ten years for a possession charge, and they told him what they wanted him to do and what they wanted him to say. He said it was a prison guard and that they took him to Sparkman's office, and that Sparkman also provided him with information.
- Q. And was he ever in this interview able to name or describe the guards?
- A. He could never describe them other than to say that they were white.
- Q. Okay. And what did he say next?
- A. He said after that he spent a couple more weeks in jail, and then the people from the SBI came and they interviewed him at a jailhouse, not a motel. That he

- and two agents, and that it was him and two agents and that Sparkman was not there for the interview.
 - Q. Okay. And was that consistent with the report that you had just located and were asking him about?
 - A. No. The report says that Sparkman was there. It does not say where the interview took place, but the testimony at trial says it was at a motel.
 - Q. All right. And what else did you ask him?
 - A. I asked him if he remembered telling police that Sledge had referred to women and devils and thought fire would come out of them, and he said, yeah, that's just what I told you.
 - Q. Okay. What did you ask him next?
 - A. I asked him about a statement that we had located, the Baker statement. It references an inmate named Ralph Quick. Baker said that he overheard Sledge telling Ralph Quick that Ralph Quick should kill the white girlfriend he was dating.
 - Q. And when you say that part that you just said, that's from the original law enforcement interview that you had just located --
 - A. Of Herman Baker.
 - Q. -- not what Baker said in your interview just now?
- 24 A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. Okay. And did you ask him about that?

A. We did.

2.4

- Q. What did he say?
- A. And he stated that he doesn't remember that, that he doesn't know Ralph Quick or anyone by the nickname Scatterman.
- Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- A. We asked him about the reward. He stated he didn't know anything about the reward until the two law enforcement officers came up to Philadelphia, and that he was going, told him that he was going to split the reward with Donald Sutton.
- Q. Is that consistent with what he said the first time you interviewed him?
- A. No.
 - Q. Okay. What did you talk to him about next?
 - A. So I asked him about the deal he was talking about, facing five or ten years and testifying against Sledge, if he felt that was a good deal. And he said he thought it was a really good deal because he only had four to five months left in prison, and that nothing ever came of the drug charges he was facing, that he made parole and got to go back to Philadelphia.
 - Q. Okay. And did he say where this had happened?
 - A. He stated it was in White Lake Prison.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you'll look on page 23, read your

question and then his response in the middle of that page. And this is, we're back at White Lake now, what he's saying happened at White Lake Prison.

A. I asked him what Sledge told him. He stated, nothing.

He just told me, was talking about she-devils and how

to bring the fire out of them and all that stuff,

stupid stuff. I don't know if he was high on marijuana

or what; I don't know.

And I say, and did you believe at that moment when he told you that information that he had committed the murders? His reply, I didn't pay no attention to it.

I say, you didn't pay any attention to it? He says, no.

I say, until when? Baker says, until the prison guard came to me.

And I say, and then you told them the information that Sledge had told you? And he says, yes.

- Q. Okay. And what did you ask him next then?
- A. I asked him if he understood that the statements he was giving to me differed. He replies, it's just, it's so long ago, I don't know. I don't know.

And I say, and that's fine, I'm not trying to -- he says, I don't know. I don't know.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

And I say, I'm not trying to make you say something that's not true or something that you don't know. What I'm trying to figure out is -- and he says, I done told you all I knew, you know, that's the best I can do. I can't do no better.

- Q. All right. And then did you go through -- did you ask him anything again about that affidavit that he had signed earlier?
- A. I did.
- Q. And what did he say?
- A. I asked him, I say, do you remember Ms. Mumma gave you an affidavit to sign, and I don't expect you to read it, I'm just showing it to you to see if it looks familiar to you. Does it look familiar? He replies, yes, it was early in the morning, I guess I was half asleep.

And I say, sure. Did you read this at that time? And he says, no, I couldn't.

And I say, you couldn't read it? Is that because you didn't have your glasses? And he replies yes.

And I ask, okay, did she read it to you? And he replies no.

And I say, okay. Do you know what this affidavit says? And he replies, huh-uh (no).

141516

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

And I say, why did you sign it? And he says, because she told me that if I didn't sign it, the SBI agents were going to be on my case.

- Q. Did you ask him about the broken jaw, broken jar, broken glass anymore?
- A. I ask, did anybody ever tell you anything about broken glass? And he responds, yes, a broken jar.

And I say, and who was it -- a jar? And he says, yes.

And I say, and who was it? And he says, I think it was one of the SBI agents.

And I say, okay. And do you recall what they told you? And he says, it was just something about a broken jar was found when the black pepper was spread on the floor, or something like that.

And I say, okay, what about one of the victim's having a broken jaw? And he says yes.

And I say, did somebody tell you that? And he says, yes. I don't remember who had it, but you know what I'm saying, a broken jaw and a broken jar.

And I say, okay. And then I ask, so

Mr. Baker, this affidavit that you signed by Chris

Mumma with Chris Mumma, do you stand by it? And he
says, I don't know.

And I ask, you don't know? And he says no.

Q. All right. Then what happened?

2.4

- A. Mr. Baker became agitated and he left the room. His --
- Q. Did he come back? Oh, go ahead.
- A. His attorney followed him. He did come back in the room and at that time his attorney stated that he would like to ask some questions. And Mr. Richardson, and Mr. Richardson explained what he felt that Mr. Baker meant. At that time Mr. Richardson then read Mr. Baker the affidavit. Baker stated that he had not read it when Chris Mumma gave it to him, but that the affidavit was true with a few corrections.
 - Q. Okay. And that was Mr. Richardson reading it out line by line then?
 - A. He read it out loud line by line to him and then Mr. Baker made a few corrections.
 - Q. Okay. What were the corrections?
 - A. He corrected that he did not know about the reward until after he testified. He corrected that he had never read the affidavit before he signed it. And he corrected that he was not given a copy of it.
 - Q. Okay. Did you ask any other questions?
 - A. After Mr. Richardson was done I stated, I want you to just listen to my question really close. When I read this affidavit and I heard Mr. Richardson read it back to you and he said, Joseph Sledge never told me he

murdered anyone, Baker said, right, never, never, never, never. I said, did Joseph Sledge tell you about black pepper? Mr. Baker, he -- no -- talked, he was just talked about it once, once, one time. That's the truth now.

And I say, okay, and he talked about it in relation to the murders? Baker, no, not in relation to the murders, just talked about it.

And then I ask, and he talked about shedevils or white devils? And Baker says, yes.

And I ask, and did he talk about those in relation to the murders? And Baker says, one time. No, not in relation to the murders.

And I ask, and did he tell you -- excuse me -- and did he ever tell you what we were talking about a little bit ago that he believed fire would come out when he cut women? And Baker says, I don't remember that.

And I ask, you don't remember that? And he says no.

Q. Okay. All right.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, do you have any questions for Ms. Stellato?

MS. SURGEON: I do.

JUDGE SUMNER: Ladies first.

2.4

MR. HEARD: Please.

2

3

4

1

EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- You indicated that Mr. Baker corrected that he did not Q. know about the reward. What was the correction?
- It was the correction to the affidavit that we passed Α. out. He stated -- can you hand me up the affidavit, please?

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Uh-huh (yes).

Α. Just one moment. (Ms. Stellato is given a copy of the affidavit.) Okay. I'm going to read you Mr. Richardson and Mr. Baker's portion of that, okay, so that it's clear. Mr. Richardson is reading him the affidavit and he's reading him number 7 of the affidavit that has been handed out. Mr. Richardson, although Joseph Sledge did not admit to committing any such crimes, I testified he did because of promises of financial reward made to me by law enforcement officers. Mr. Baker responds, no, huh-uh, no, because I didn't know nothing about no reward at that time.

Mr. Richardson, you were scared of them? Mr. Baker, uh-huh; yes.

Mr. Richardson, okay, and you just wanted to get along? Mr. Baker, yes.

Mr. Richardson, but you also thought you'd

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

get favorable treatment? Mr. Baker, yes.

2

Mr. Richardson, okay, so that's not correct?

3

Huh-uh (no); no.

4 5

Mr. Richardson, okay. What is correct is you were afraid and you were hoping to get favorable

6

treatment? Yes.

7

So looking on item 13 on the affidavit --Q.

8

Yes, ma'am. Α.

9

-- did Mr. Baker say anything about that? Q.

10

Mr. Richardson, okay, number 13, they told me they Α.

11

would let me out of prison early, I was promised reward

12

money, and I was promised reward money. Mr. Baker, Uh-

13

huh; yes.

Thank you.

14

Q.

JUDGE SUMNER: Mr. Heard.

16

17

15

EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

18 19

Given the amount of time you had a chance to spend with Q. him and ask him various questions, I'm curious -- this

20

would be an opinion on your part, obviously, as opposed

21

to a solid fact -- how reliable do you consider him to

22

be given the issues which would be the drugs, alcohol,

23

2.4

Α.

Because I work for a neutral fact-finding agency, I

memory, and health, as well as changing attitude?

25

don't give my opinion.

2.4

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Mr. Heard, you're going to get to spend some time with him in just a second.

Q. All right. Let me ask a question of just fact. I don't know if that should be asked to you or to Judge here.

JUDGE SUMNER: We'll overrule it if it's out of line.

MR. HEARD: Actually, that gives me two questions. One is in regard to the ability of prison officials to influence or change the length of prison stays. I'm wondering, can they -- do they offer something that they can't offer, they don't have the ability to deal with?

JUDGE SUMNER: I wouldn't think they had, they'd have the authority to do that.

MR. HEARD: They would or would not?

JUDGE SUMNER: Would not.

MR. HEARD: I didn't think so.

JUDGE SUMNER: Okay.

MR. HEARD: And the other was with regard to something which is the number of times the defense attorney was, had objections and was overruled and never spoke to what the objections were and why that was the case. And I'm wondering, did that have

something to do with the complexity here?

JUDGE SUMNER: Come in my office, we'll spend

about an afternoon discussing that.

MR. HEARD: Okay.

JUDGE SUMNER: Do you have anything else?

MR. HEARD: No, that's fine. Thank you.

JUDGE SUMNER: Mr. Smith.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH

- Q. I just want to ask you a question about the note, the note that was in Pennsylvania. And was it placed on the door, is that what you're to understand?
- A. That is what Mr. Baker has stated in his interviews, uh-huh (yes), that it was placed on the door by the law enforcement officers who came to transfer him back to North Carolina. Now, we do know, not from Mr. Baker who couldn't recall that, but we do know that that was Henry Poole and Phillip Little who did the transport.

 As I stated, at various times in the two interviews we know that Mr. Baker stayed with his sister, we do know that, and his cousin, his sister, or his uncle have read the letter, but all three, or according to Mr. Baker all three of them are deceased.
- Q. No one else has seen the note, I take it, other than Mr. Baker?

- A. Or the three people that are deceased.
- 2 Q. Yes.

3

8

9

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Do we know that they saw it?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. No?
- 7 A. No, sir.
 - Q. So there really -- the only evidence in existence that there ever was a note comes from Mr. Baker?
- 10 A. Uh-huh (yes). Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know whether Deputy Little and Agent Poole ever said they left a note on his door?
 - A. We did ask them. I believe we did not ask Mr. Poole because we didn't know about it at that time.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 \blacksquare A. They have not confirmed that they ever left a note.
 - Q. So if that is true and we don't have any evidence that they on the way back from Pennsylvania talked any to Mr. Baker about the facts that they would need him to testify to, then the only people who have talked to him about what to say on the witness stand would be the prison guards, isn't that true?
 - A. Correct. The information that -- I mean, the original information that Herman Baker gives is in the report, the interview.

Q. Yes.

2

3

And then Mr. Poole and Mr. Baker deny providing any Α. information, of course, on the way back and there is no notes, so --

4

5

Do we have any insight that you gained anywhere as to Q. why Mr. Sparkman, the prison quards would have wanted one of their prisoners to have committed this murder?

6 7

8

We do not have any insight into that. Α. Do we have any insight as to what motive the prison

9 10

Q.

Α.

guards and Mr. Sparkman would have had to offer a deal

11

to one of the inmates at their prison if he would tell

12

a lie about an escapee from their prison?

13 14

thing that I can say related to your questions of both

We do not have any insight into that, either. The only

15

Mr. Little and Mr. Poole regarding a reward or a

16

promise of the reward, the reward was public knowledge.

17

- The reward comes from the governor. I mean, the reward
- 18

19

Right; yeah. Q.

20

But as they testified to, they don't have Α.

21

22

Q. Right. Okay, thank you.

authorization.

information was out there.

23

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, sir.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. Just to clear my mind, the note we're talking about was something that was left on the door between the first trial and the second trial, is that right?
- A. Well, no, because Mr. Baker only remembers one trial, so no, sir.
- Q. But did Mr. Poole testify that he, the only time he went up there and picked him up was before the second trial?
- A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So if they took him his transcript of his testimony in the first trial, from the first trial, that wouldn't be particularly unusual for a re-trial, would it?
 - A. (Witness does not respond.)
- Q. I mean, your experience of -- don't witnesses generally get to review their testimony?
- 17 A. Correct. But Mr. Baker only remembers the first trial.
- 18 Q. Right.
 - A. So they don't recall leaving anything so, you know, it's hard. I mean, you'll just have to draw your own opinions about that, and it seems like you may be.

MS. SURGEON: I have a question.

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am.

24

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- Q. Mr. Baker recalled testifying at one trial?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Did he testify at both trials?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am; yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. And he only remembers -- well, are you able to determine which one he is remembering?
 - A. Well, he's remembering the second trial. He was in jail during the first trial, so he's remembering the second trial.
 - Q. Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

EXAMINATION BY RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS

- Q. Sharon, did you say that Baker actually did get out of prison earlier than anticipated? I want to get clear on that. And was there, to follow that question, was there a pending charge against him that did not go to court?
- A. So that's hard to say. And the reason that's hard to say is the age of the records.
- 21 Q. Right.
 - A. While we do have all of the DPS records and they do go back that far and we can confirm Baker and Sledge being incarcerated at that time, we cannot confirm -- I will say this, there's no record of a pending drug charge at

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- all. However, if he wasn't charged with it, that would not be unusual. And as far as whether or not he got out early, we also can't confirm that because the records are so old that you can't -- how they did it back then, all you know is what they were sentenced to. Whether or not they got -- I mean, you can't say. According to Baker, he got out early in this interview, however, according to Mr. Little and some other interviews, he was leaving prison anyway and that that is why he came forward. So we can't tell and we can't, I couldn't say for sure.
- Q. That's what I was afraid of. And back during that time period there were all sorts of ways for inmates to get early release.
- A. Exactly, early release. And actually, a warden could do it on their own discretion. It wasn't --
- Q. Right. Absolutely.
- A. There wasn't paperwork followed in the same way that it is today.
- Q. That's exactly right.
- A. So we can't say.
 - Q. It's a whole different ball game.

23

24

25

22

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

Q. So they didn't have to go through parole in the '70s?

A. I mean, they did, but not, not in the way that we know it today. The records -- first of all, all of those records are destroyed because they only had to keep them, by statute, for ten years. So even though we have their incarceration records, we do not have the parole and probation. We don't -- they're gone for Baker and Sutton. So we don't have those records, all we have are their incarceration records.

MR. HEARD: But apparently they did have the flexibility to influence --

JUDGE SUMNER: It does appear that

Mr. Sparkman had his own set of regulations in terms

of, literally, the keys to the jail. But that's not

for you to comment on.

A. I won't, Judge Sumner.

2.4

EXAMINATION BY MS. SANDS BELLE

Q. Did someone say earlier that there was some speculation about political, political ambitions for somebody that was running for --

MR. HEARD: The sheriff was running for reelection.

- Q. -- something, and they need to clear this case up because it had been pending too long?
- A. I believe Chris Mumma testified to that. I mean,

	NCII	ic Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge
1		Sheriff Allen ran and remained sheriff, I believe.
2		RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS: The election would
3		have been in '78.
4	Α.	Right.
5		
6		FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY
7	Q.	Is there anything about this case that makes that any
8		more different than any of the other sheriffs in North
9		Carolina that have ever run for
10	Α.	There were actually other unsolved murders at the time
11		in that, in Bladen County. I know there were four,
12		there may have been five now. I don't want to say on
13		the record so, you know, there was unsolved murders.
14	Q.	All right.
15		MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Are we ready for
16		Mr. Baker?
17		JUDGE SUMNER: Any one have any questions?
18		(No response.)
19		JUDGE SUMNER: Thank you, ma'am.
20		(Ms. Stellato is dismissed from the witness
21		stand.)
22		
23		Herman Lee Baker, having first been duly
24		sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Baker.
- 3 A. Hi.

1

2

4

5

- Q. I know you've been here all day so I appreciate you giving us your time. Can you tell us for the record what is your name?
- 7 A. Herman Baker.
- 8 Q. And what town do you live in, Mr. Baker?
- 9 A. Fayetteville, North Carolina.
- 10 Q. Okay. Are you all right, Mr. Baker?
- 11 A. Just hurting.
- 12 Q. You're having some pain right now?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Are you able to do the testimony?
- 15 A. Yeah; yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. If you need to stop, you tell me.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. And your attorney is back there, he'll stop me as well.
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Mr. Richardson, will
- 20 you stop me if needed if he's in too much pain?
- 21 MR. RICHARDSON: (Nods head affirmatively.)
- Q. All right. Mr. Baker, I'm going to ask you some
 questions and you must tell us the truth. If you do
 not remember, please don't try to tell us what you
- 25 think, what you think we might want to hear, what you

- 1 think is most likely, okay?
- 2 A. All right.
- Q. And I know these are questions that you've been asked before.
- 5 A. Uh-huh (yes).
 - Q. All right. How do you know Joseph Sledge?
- $7 \parallel A$. I knew him from the streets and then, and in prison.
- 8 Q. From the streets and in prison?
- 9 A. Yes; uh-huh (yes).
- 10 Q. Do you remember what prison you were in together?
- 11 A. White Lake.
- 12 Q. White Lake?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 Q. And you knew him from before prison, too?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. When you were in prison with Mr. Sledge, do you
- 17 remember roughly when that was, the 1970s?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. A long time ago?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you talk with Mr. Sledge while you were in prison?
- 22 A. Yeah, some.
- 23 Q. What did you talk to him about?
- 24 A. Just general talk, conversation.
- 25 Q. General talk and conversation?

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. Did you ever hear him confess to any murders?
- 3 A. No, I didn't.
- 4 Q. No?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Did he ever confess to attacking anybody?
- 7 A. Huh? No.
- 8 Q. Attacking, no?
- 9 A. Huh-uh (no).
- 10 Q. Did he ever say anything to you about she-devils or
- 11 white devils?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. He didn't use those words?
- 14 \blacksquare A. Huh-uh (no). Uh-huh (yes).
- 15 Q. No, he did not?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Did he ever talk with you about black pepper and using
- 18 black pepper?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. He did not?
- 21 A. Huh-uh (no).
- 22 Q. What about as just part of his religion?
- 23 A. What?
- Q. Did he ever say anything about black pepper being a
- 25 part of his religion?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Do you personally know whether or not Mr. Sledge is guilty?
- 4 A. Personally, no; no.
- 5 Q. Do you know either way?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you testify at Mr. Sledge's trial in 1978?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And do you remember testifying?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you remember testifying at one trial or two trials?
- 12 A. Oh, I think it was one.
- 13 Q. One?
- 14 A. (No audible response.)
- 15 Q. Do you remember what you testified about?
- A. What I, what, what I was told to tell about a murder -- I mean about escape and a murder or some --
- 18 Q. Escape and a murder, is that what you said?
- 19 A. About a murder, I don't know about escape.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I'm a little nervous.
- 22 Q. Okay. Take a deep breath. It's all right.
- 23 A. All right.
- Q. Okay. Let's try it again. You said you testified
- about a murder?

- 1 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- Q. What did you say about the murder?
- 3 A. What I was told to say.
- 4 Q. What you were told to say?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Who told you to say it?
- 7 A. One of the guards, then the warden at the prison camp.
- 8 Q. Do you remember who the guard was?
- 9 A. No, I sure don't.
- 10 Q. Do you remember what the guard looked like?
- 11 A. I only know he's a white guy.
- 12 Q. Okay. Only that he was white?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And you said you told what -- you testified what he told you to say?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 \ Q. What did he tell you to say?
- 18 A. To say Joe Sledge was -- or talked to me about doing a murder, doing a murder.
- 20 Q. Joe Sledge talked to you about doing the murder?
- 21 A. Yeah. That he --
- 22 Q. Go ahead.
- 23 A. I'm twisted up.
- 24 Q. It's okay. Take a second.
- 25 A. Yeah.

- Q. Take a second and take a drink of water, okay?
- A. They said he told him about doing, doing a murder, and that's about it, that what he wanted me to say that Joe Sledge told me to say, that I heard Joe Sledge say something about he committed, did a murder. But --
- Q. He told you to say that you heard Joe Sledge say that he admitted he did a murder?
- 8 A. Uh-huh (yes).
 - Q. But you didn't hear that?
- 10 A. No. Heard it from the guard.
 - Q. And is that what you said at trial?
- 12 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

- 13 Q. Why did you do that?
 - A. Because I was in the hole for possession of marijuana and heroin, and they came and talked to me, took me to the warden's office and talked to me. And they told me they'd give me a deal if I say, you know, say that Joe Sledge told it, told me that.
- 19 Q. You said you were in the hole for marijuana and heroin?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And they came to you and took you to the warden's office?
- 23 A. They said --
- 24 Q. They said they would give you a deal?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did they --
- A. Drop the charges on it.
- 3 Q. They would drop the charges?
- 4 A. Uh-huh (yes).
 - Q. Is that on the marijuana and the heroin?
- 6 A. Yes.

2

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. They took you to the warden's office. Do you remember the warden?
- 9 A. Sparkman.
- 10 Q. But it was the guard that told you what to say?
- 11 A. No, both of them did; the guard told me and the warden.
- Q. Okay. And did you get anything in exchange for your testimony?
 - A. Well, right, right, not right then. As we went to trial there's took, took me out of the prison camp, put me in the downtown jail in Whiteville, I think. As I went to trial they let me go. I talked to some detectives about the case and told them, and they told me about the black pepper and stuff, what else they want to say about Joe Sledge.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Then I went to Pennsylvania, they took me. And about, about a couple months later they came and left a note on the door with everything written on it. The next day they came, picked me up, brought me back down here

- for trial. And they were telling me what to say on the
 way back. I stayed in a motel and they came to the
 motel and told me exactly what to say again.
 - Q. Okay. Now this time who is they? Who is it that --
 - A. Two detectives, I don't remember their names.
 - Q. Do you remember what they looked like?
- 7 A. White.

5

6

- Q. Okay. Two white detectives?
- 9 A. Yes. Been so long.
- 10 Q. That's okay. Now, I'm going to repeat back a couple of
 11 the things you just said because I want to make sure I
 12 understood them.
- 13 A. Oh.
- Q. And just tell me if I got it right. They took you out of prison?
- 16 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 17 Q. And you testified, is that what you said?
- 18 A. Yeah, they took me to the jail, put me in jail.
- 19 Q. Put you in jail and you testified?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. And then they let you go?
- 22 A. No, they let me go before the trial.
- 23 O. Before the trial?
- 24 A. Uh-huh (yes). Then they came up there and got me.
- 25 Then they told me there going to be -- for doing that

- they're going to give me a \$3,000 reward.
 - Q. They told you that before the trial?
- 3 A. Yes.

2

4

11

12

13

14

22

23

2.4

- Q. And did you get the \$3,000 reward?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And you had also said earlier that they would drop the charges for the marijuana and heroin. Did they drop the --
- 9 A. Yeah, they did, they did that. Yes, ma'am, move me to the jail house.
 - Q. Okay. And I think that you said that the detectives, when they were driving you, talked to you about black pepper, is that --
 - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you say that?
- 16 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 17 Q. Can you tell me what you mean by that?
- 18 A. They say that something about the black pepper, put
 19 down to keep the devils away, something -- that's --
- 20 Q. And this was before the trial?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. Tell me about the note or what they left on your door.
 - A. Just to repeat the same thing, you know, what to say about the -- how to say it, what Joe said doing the murder, all that.

- Q. Do you remember if it was typed or handwritten?
- A. Handwritten on a yellow, yellow legal pad.
- 3 Q. Handwritten on a yellow legal pad?
- 4 A. Uh-huh (yes).
- 5 Q. Did you read the note?
- 6 A. Some of it. And they picked me up the next morning.
- Q. And did you still have the note when they picked you up?
- 9 A. No.

- 10 Q. What did you do with the note?
- 11 A. Threw it away.
- 12 Q. Did anybody else read the note?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. And who were you living with in Pennsylvania?
- 15 A. My sister.
- 16 Q. And is she still living?
- 17 A. No, she deceased.
- 18 Q. And did you have an uncle there as well?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is he still living?
- 21 A. No, he deceased.
- 22 \parallel Q. What did you think about your testimony at the time?
- 23 A. I was scared. Don't know what to think. I was scared.
- I know what, what would happen to me and what, you
- know, what they may do to me. I just scared, just like

2

4

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. What do you think about your testimony now?
- 3 A. I wish I'd never did it.
 - Q. Why not?

this.

- A. Because it was wrong. If I knew better then, I wouldn't of did it. White Lake was a bad place to be.
 - Q. Can you tell us -- name the people for me that you have told that you have changed your testimony, the people that you have told that Joseph Sledge never confessed; who have you told that to?
- 11 A. Just the lawyer.
- 12 Q. Your lawyer.
- 13 A. And -- (indecipherable.)
- 14 Q. Okay. Is that Mr. Richardson in the back?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And how about these two ladies next to me, have you ever --
- 18 A. I think I remember.
- 19 Q. You talked to them?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember telling it to Chris Mumma in the purple shirt?
- 23 A. Who?
- 24 Q. Christine Mumma in the purple shirt.
- 25 A. Oh, yes.

- Q. And do you remember telling it to an SBI agent as well, the man that just stood up back there?
- 3 A. SBI agent, I don't remember.
- 4 Q. Okay. Have you talked to any of your friends about it?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. You haven't?
- 7 A. Huh-uh (no). Nobody but my uncle, but he deceased.
- 8 Q. You talked with your uncle about it?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. Was that back in the '70s or recently?
- 11 A. '70s.
- Q. Okay. So you haven't talked to your uncle or a family
- member about it in the last couple of years?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And did you say you had or had not talked to your
- friends about it in the last couple of years?
- 17 A. Haven't.
- 18 Q. Now, were some of your friends there when Chris Mumma
 19 came and talked to you --
- 20 A. Oh, yeah, they were there.
- 21 Q. -- at the deacon's house?
- 22 A. Yeah, two of them.
- 23 Q. They were there?
- 24 A. That's right, uh-huh (yes).
- 25 Q. So have you told them about it?

- 1 A. They heard it from that day when I would talk to her.
- Q. Okay. Did your friends make you feel badly?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. They didn't?
- $5 \parallel A$. They told me to do the right thing.
- Q. Okay. Did you take a polygraph test last year at your attorney's office?
 - A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Okay. Tell me about that.
- 10 A. Well, they said it wouldn't work because I take a lot
 11 of medicine, depression medicine, PTSD medicine, that
 12 it too much medicine in my system.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you never finished it?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Mr. Baker, why are you testifying here today?
- 16 A. I feel like it's just right.
- 17 Q. You feel like it's right?
- 18 A. Yes, get it over with.
- Q. Is there anything else that I have not asked you that you think is important for the Commission to know?
- 21 A. Nothing I can think of.
- Q. Okay. The commissioners may have questions for you now.
- 24 A. Yeah.
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners.

Ira Anderson P.O. Box 6348 Concord, NC 28027

- Q. PTSD from what, sir? Do you mind me asking?
- 2 A. During service time, military.
- 3 Q. What branch of service were you in?
- 4 A. Air Force.

- 5 Q. And where did you serve?
- A. About everywhere, Texas, New Orleans, McGuire in Jersey.
- 8 Q. What was your rank?
- 9 A. When I got out?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Airman, first class.
- 12 Q. How long were you in?
- 13 A. Four years.
- 14 Q. Did you ever go overseas?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. This trip you took back from Pennsylvania, how many
 17 trips did the SBI or law enforcement officers, I guess,
 18 bring you back?
- 19 A. One; one.
- Q. One time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And that was before the trial?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Are they the ones that left this note for you?
- 25 A. Yes, they did.

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

EXAMINATION BY MR. SMITH

- The people who rode back from Pennsylvania with you, the two officers, did they describe for you in detail what they wanted you to say?
- Did they describe for me in detail? Α.

- Q. Did they say, did they tell you the words they wanted you to speak at the trial?
 - A. Yes. Don't, and -- that don't forget to mention the black pepper and all like that there.
 - Q. So they reminded you don't forget to say this and don't forget to say that?
- 7 A. Uh-huh (yes). Yes.

4

5

6

- Q. Did both of them do that?
- 9 A. I can't remember all that there.
- Q. And did the things they said to you, the words they wanted you to speak, were they the same words that appeared on the note that was on the door?
- 13 A. I can't remember, but I think so.
- 14 Q. It was pretty much the same thing?
- 15 A. The same, yes.
- Q. And did you, did you think when they were doing that, that that was wrong?
- A. Yes. I didn't think about that then, to be honest.

 All right. I just saw them --
- 20 Q. But now you know that what they did was wrong?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Did they say to you they wanted you to tell a lie?
- 23 A. No, they didn't say that.
- 24 Q. Do you think they --
- 25 A. Not to my knowledge. Huh?

- Q. Do you think they knew that what they were telling you to do, it wasn't the truth?
 - A. I can't say, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- A. Uh-huh (yes).

JUDGE SUMNER: Yes, ma'am.

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- Q. Mr. Baker, when you testified at the trial did you know for yourself that Mr. Sledge had not said to you that he committed the murders?
- 12 A. Did I know for my -- to my -- yes.
- 13 Q. You knew that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Why did you testify that he told you that he did --
- 16 A. Because I was told to.
- 17 Q. Do you have any idea why you were the one chosen?
 - A. I suppose -- I don't know. I was in the hole in a bind. They seen me talking to him around the camp.

 That's about it. That's the only reason I know.

20

22

23

2.4

25

18

19

EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. Mr. Baker, so it was the prison guards that told you to testify --
- A. Uh-huh (yes), in the beginning.

- Q. -- in the beginning --
- 2 A. Uh-huh (yes).
 - Q. -- that you had heard that confession?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Was any part of that -- in the trip coming back from

 Pennsylvania with the law enforcement officers, what

 exactly did they ask you to do that day? And I know

 you've kind of said, but was it different? Was it just

 about the facts or was it about hearing the confession?
- A. Whole thing dealing with the black pepper and what they told me.
- Q. To your recollection, was there any other law enforcement officers other than the guards and the warden that talked to you initially?
- 15 A. No. Initially before the trial?
- 16 Q. Yes, sir.
- 17 A. No. I can't remember. I don't think so.

18

19

20

21

22

EXAMINATION BY JUDGE SUMNER

- Q. Mr. Baker, you're saying that the law enforcement officers and the warden told you about the she-devil stuff, too?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did they tell you about that?
- 25 A. They said that, uh-huh. Huh-uh (no).

- Q. So that's true?
- A. Huh?

2

6

9

10

11

12

- Q. So you're saying Joseph Sledge made those statements
 about white women being she-devils, is that what you're
 saying?
 - A. No. Huh-uh (no). I said guard -- the police said it.
- 7 Q. The police told you that?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did they tell you about a conversation that supposedly you heard him, Joseph Sledge, have with another inmate about him killing his girlfriend because she was a shedevil?
 - A. Huh-uh (no).
- 14 Q. Huh-uh (no), that you didn't hear that?
- 15 A. No, I didn't hear that.
- Q. Did you make that up yourself then or did they tell you that also?
- 18 A. Did I kill his girlfriend?
- Q. Another inmate. You testified at the trial, if I recall, that you overheard Joseph Sledge tell another inmate he needed to kill his girlfriend because she was a she-devil.
- 23 A. I don't remember.
- 24 Q. You don't remember that?
- 25 A. No.

Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. I'd like to ask one more thing. Are you on any medication today, sir?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you mind telling us what it is?
- A. It's some Vicodin, blood pressure, and Haldol.
- 9 Q. Is that Haldol?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Thank you.

JUDGE SUMNER: Any other questions?

13 (No response.)

14 JUDGE SUMNER: Mr. Baker, thank you, sir.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Thank you. You're released from your subpoena.

(Mr. Herman Baker is excused from the witness stand.)

(THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HAD OFF THE RECORD WHICH WAS NOT REPORTED.)

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. We're going to turn the focus of the investigation now to talk about, or the focus of the hearing to talk about the other field investigation that the Commission staff did. And I'm going to recall Ms. Stellato. And while

she's coming up I'm just going to pass around, Sheriff
Duncan had asked for a copy of that SBI report that
Agent Barefoot was looking at, so we made copies of
that and I'll pass that around.

(Copies of SBI report are distributed.)

6

7

Sharon Stellato, having first been reminded that she was still under oath, testified as follows:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

8

EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. Ms. Stellato, you're still under oath. You heard some testimony about an inmate named Ralph Quick, also known as Scatterman, who is in the law enforcement statement that Mr. Baker had overheard Mr. Sledge saying should kill his white girlfriend. Were you able to interview him?
- A. We were by telephone on November 19.
- Q. Okay. And what did he say?
 - A. He stated that he did recall being incarcerated with Joseph Sledge, that he recalled being friends with him. He did not, however, recall that he was dating or involved with any white female at that time. And he did not remember Sledge ever telling him that he should kill his girlfriend or any white female.
 - Q. Okay. Let's talk about the original law enforcement

investigation. Were you able to interview or did you
attempt to interview law enforcement officers that had
worked on this case?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Okay. And when you were interviewing them, what were the things that you were asking specifically about?
- A. We were asking them about their investigation and also asking them to locate reports, missing evidence, any notes that they may have in their possession.

 Ultimately we were able to locate reports as well as some physical evidence. Much of the physical items of physical evidence are still missing.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And some, I should say some reports may still be missing as well.
 - Q. And did you interview retired Detective Phillip Little
 who testified here?
- A. Yes, we did. We interviewed him twice on August 14 of 2013 and September 18.
- Q. And did you provide him with his file to review during one of those interviews?
- 22 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Okay. And how about Agent Poole who testified, retired
 Agent Poole, were you able to interview him as well?
 - A. We interviewed him on July 25, 2013.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. And both Agent Poole and Detective Little have testified that other people had spoken with them or some other people had spoken with them before. Did you have those reports or memos from other agencies to review prior to you --
- A. We did.
- Q. All right. How about Agent Marshall Evans, were you able to interview him or had he been interviewed?
- Marshal Evans is a former SBI agent. He had -- Agent Α. Chad Barefoot had interviewed his sister prior to the Commission receiving the case. On April 22 of 2014 we had reviewed that memo as well as interviewed Agent Barefoot. Marshall Evans is in poor health and so Agent Barefoot had spoken with his sister. Marshall Evans had remembered the case, but he did not have any file or notes. Later on in our investigation some of the evidence logs indicated that Marshall Evans was the last person in the chain of custody on some physical evidence that's still missing in the case, so Commission staff attorney, Catherine Matoian, spoke with Marshall Evans by phone on November 25, 2014. remembered the case, but he does not know what happened to the evidence, and we've never been able to locate it.
- Q. And just one quick clarifying question. Just a minute

- ago you said Agent Barefoot had interviewed him prior to the Commission receiving the case, and you said April 22, 2014. Is that 2013?
- A. That is 2013, yes.

2.4

- Q. Okay. How about Earl Storms and were you able to interview him?
- A. Earl Storms was a deputy with the Bladen County

 Sheriff's Department at the time, he later became

 sheriff, and we were able to interview him. He

 actually went to the scene with Phillip Little and did

 some investigation on the case.
- Q. And had he been interviewed by other people prior to -- post conviction, but prior to your interview?
- A. He had. He'd been interviewed by the Center on Actual Innocence prior to us becoming involved on March 13, 2013. At that time he indicated that he had remembered the case, but did not remember any statements that Sledge made in front of the victim's home or at trial, and that he did not have any files related to the case.
- Q. Okay. And when did you interview him?
- A. July 22, 2013. We asked him about files and evidence and he did not have either one.
 - Q. Okay. And Special Agent Chad Barefoot who testified today, were you able to interview him as well?
 - A. We did. He'd been assigned to investigate the case

2.4

post conviction. Later on the DA's office, I believe, had him hold off on his investigation. He provided his entire file, we also obtained supplemental discovery. We interviewed him about his searches for evidence and locations that he'd been to, both law enforcement and the clerk's office. And he also accompanied us on one interview before his investigation was put on hold.

- Q. All right. Now, how about the lawyers from the trial, were you able to interview the lawyers in this case, or were they interviewed?
- A. Lee Greer, who was the assistant district attorney at the first trial and then also involved in the second trial, he passed away in 1986. Lester Chalmers, who was the assistant attorney general for both trials, passed away in 1998. Former Governor Mike Easley was the assistant district attorney at the second trial. He was interviewed by both the Center on Actual Innocence and the SBI prior to the Commission's investigation.
- Q. And did they have memos or documentation of their interviews?
- A. They both had memos in their files that we obtained.
- Q. And have you been able to review their memos of that interview?
- A. Yes, we have.

- _

- Q. Okay. And what do they show?
- A. The Center's interview, which took place on February 6, 2013, stated that it was his first murder trial, that he did not know that Baker and Sutton were paid a reward for their testimony, but he remembered the bodies being exhumed and he thought that it was because Baker had said that the victim's jaw was broken. This memo also stated that Easley recalled Greer not feeling there was enough evidence to try the case, and Easley wasn't sure there was enough evidence either.
- Q. Okay. And how about the SBI interview, when was that?
- A. The SBI interview was dated March 7, 2013. In that memo Easley stated he was not consulted during the initial investigation, he was only brought in before the trial to try the case. He believed that the testimony of the inmates against Sledge was the most critical part of the prosecution. He did not have any files, photographs, or documents pertaining to the prosecution in his own possession. He felt it would be important to determine whether the hair evidence belonged to one of the inmates who testified against Sledge at trial.
- Q. All right. And what about the attorney that represented Mr. Sledge at trial, Reuben Moore?
- A. He is still alive. He had been interviewed prior to

2.4

Innocence had interviewed him on February 4, 2013. He stated that in his representation Sledge had denied guilt the entire time. They also, the Center on Actual Innocence also provided him with the MAR that they filed on March 28, 2013. He had memories, according to their interviews and their documentation, he had memories that were not accurate based on the trial transcripts. He also recalled it was his first solo murder trial. On October 24, 2013 he signed an affidavit for the Center on Actual Innocence.

- Q. All right. And have you reviewed that affidavit?
- A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: While that's being pulled, commissioners, I'm just going to let you know, you asked about the media coverage. So we do have it ready if you still do want it as a handout for tonight. And I'll let you just decide if that's what you want, we got it. It's being brought in, we don't have all of them here yet.

- Q. Do you recognize that?
- A. I do.
 - Q. And do you recognize it to be that affidavit provided by Reuben Moore?

- A. It's the affidavit that the Center on Actual Innocence obtained from Mr. Moore.
- Q. Okay.

2.4

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'm going to send that around, let the commissioners take a look at it.

(Reuben Moore's Affidavit is distributed.)

- Q. Okay. Did you interview Mr. Moore?
- A. Yes, on October 29, 2014.
- Q. All right. And did Mr. Moore say whether or not his client had ever admitted guilt?
- A. He indicated that Mr. Sledge never admitted guilt or stated that he knew who was involved in the crime. He also confirmed that he did not have a file due to time and also a fire that had occurred at his office.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, I'd like to talk about all of the different files that you reviewed as a part of your investigation. Can you list for us all the different agency files that you obtained and reviewed from the Commission's investigation?
- A. Okay. The North Carolina Center on Actual Innocence file; the State Bureau of Investigation crime lab files, that includes original lab testing from the 1970s as well as the 2008 North Carolina Center on Actual Innocence testing; the State Bureau of Investigation investigative file, there again, the

4

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- original investigative file and post conviction investigation; the Columbus County clerk file; the Bladen County Sheriff's Department file; the LabCorp testing file.
- 5 Q. Is that a private DNA lab?
 - A. Yes. The North Carolina Supreme Court file; the district attorney's file, that is the current district attorney's file, not the original district attorney's file which has never been located.
- 10 Q. Not the trial file?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Okay.
 - A. The attorney general's file. Again, that is also the current file. There is a trial transcript in the attorney general's file which is from the original part of the file, but not all of it. The Department of Public Safety records, those are prison records for Baker, Sutton, and Joseph Sledge; Prisoner Legal Services file for Sledge; all supplemental discovery from the State Bureau of Investigation, the Center on Actual Innocence and the district attorney's office; Cellmark Forensic Testing file; Mitotyping testing file.
 - Q. And is that another DNA lab?
- 25 A. It is.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. And the trial transcripts from both the first and second trial.
- Q. Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Now, before we move into testimony about civilian witness interviews, do you have any questions at this point, Commissioners, for Ms. Stellato?

(No response.)

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Okay. I'm going to ask that Ms. Stellato step down and I'm going to call Ms. Smith.

13

14

15

Lindsey Guice Smith, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

16

17

19

EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- 18 Q. What is your full name?
 - A. Lindsey Guice Smith.
- 20 Q. And where are you employed?
- 21 A. The North Carolina Innocence Inquiry Commission.
- 22 \ Q. And what is your position with the Commission?
- 23 A. Associate counsel.
- 24 Q. And what is your role in this case?
- 25 A. I am the co-lead investigator on the case.

- Q. And as part of your investigation did you interview civilian witnesses?
- A. We did.

Q. Okay. Let's start with Donald Sutton, and we're going to send around his record.

(Donald Sutton's record is distributed.)

- Q. And just remind the commissioners very briefly, who is Mr. Sutton?
- A. Sure. Mr. Sutton was one of the informants who testified at both of Mr. Sledge's trials.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. He also received a reward of \$2,000 for that testimony.
- 13 Q. And were you able to interview him?
 - A. We were not. He actually passed away in 1991.
 - Q. Okay. And how about any family member contacts?
 - A. We actually were able to review the North Carolina

 Center on Actual Innocence file as it relates to family

 members of Mr. Sutton. They had contacted a half
 sister of Mr. Sutton who lives in California, and she

 had replied to them stating she did not wish to talk

 about the case. They had also tried contacting a half
 brother that lives in Washington, DC on two occasions

 and had not received any response back.
 - Q. All right. And tell us about any other, if you looked for other living relatives, why you did.

- A. Sure. We were looking to see if Mr. Sutton had any living maternal relatives and were unable to locate any. It appears that he's the only child of his mother and father, and that all of his other siblings were half-siblings through his father, so they wouldn't be maternally related.
- Q. And why was it that you were looking for maternally related siblings?
- A. Because we had mitochondrial DNA from hairs found on the victim's body and we wanted to try to compare that to his DNA.
- Q. Okay. So that could not be done?
- A. That could not be done.
- 14 Q. All right.

2.4

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And commissioners, just for the record, I had forgotten to pass around the criminal record for Herman Baker, and I'm just going to send that around now so that you will have it.

(Herman Baker's criminal record is distributed.)

- Q. All right. Who is William Thomas Haire?
- A. William Thomas Haire is also known as Tommy Haire. He was an initial suspect during the original investigation. That's on page 47 of your brief, he's described there.

- Q. And do you know if during the investigation law enforcement obtained his fingerprints?
- Q. They did obtain his fingerprints. They were compared and there were no matches.
- Q. Okay. Were you able to interview him?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- A. No. We learned that he had recently passed away.
- Q. Did you do any interviews related to him?
 - A. We did. There was a individual by the name of Chris

 Vann. He had actually contacted a member of the Bladen

 County Sheriff's Office sometime last year stating that

 he had read a newspaper article in 2013, earlier in the

 year, about Joseph Sledge's innocence and said that he

 knew something about the case. The Bladen County

 Sheriff's Office passed that information along to the

 State Bureau of Investigation who, in turn, passed it

 along to us, and we were able to interview him.
 - Q. Okay. When did you interview him?
- A. That interview occurred on September 18, 2013.
- 19 Q. And who was present for that interview?
 - A. It was myself, Ms. Stellato, and Scott Pait, who is an investigator with the Bladen County District Attorney's office.
- 23 Q. Was that interview recorded?
- 24 A. It was recorded.
 - Q. And transcribed?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And are you using that transcript to refresh your recollection?
- A. I am.
- Q. Okay. And what did he say?
 - A. He indicated to us that he had read a recent newspaper article about the case, and that caused him to recall a conversation he had had when he was 18 years old with Tommy Haire's wife, Connie Todd Haire.
- Q. Is Connie Todd Haire still living?
- 11 A. She is not.
 - Q. Okay. And what did he say about that conversation?
 - A. He said that they were at a party, he believed that that party was the day after the murders, and that Connie had come up to him with fear in her eyes and told him that Tommy Haire came home on the night of the murders and he had blood on his clothes and an axe or a knife or something in his hand. Connie, he said that Connie told him that she buried the clothes and whatever that item was in their back yard.
 - Q. And did she say anything else? Or did he say that she said anything else?
 - A. He said Connie said, quote, he come home with blood all over him, said he killed two old women, and took Social Security check.

- Q. Okay. And what else did he say?
- A. We asked him if he recalled where they lived at the time, and he did not recall that and was not able to provide any information about that.
- Q. And by where they lived, you mean where Connie Todd

 Haire lived and would have buried whatever it was?
- A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. And did he say he ever spoke to Connie Haire or Tommy about it again?
- A. He said that he never spoke with Connie about it again and that he never talked to Tommy about it.
- Q. Okay. And did he say whether he said anything about this originally to law enforcement?
- A. He said he did not. He said a few days after the murders he recalled seeing the news that stated they caught two people who had broken out of prison and did the murders, and so he never thought anything else about it, he thought they had gotten them.
- Q. Okay. So the person that he says had, according to

 Connie Haire, had come home bloody who had passed away,

 were you able to do anything else to investigate that

 man, William Thomas Haire?
- A. Yes. Even though he had passed away, we were able to obtain his blood standard from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and have that sent to a private lab

1 for DNA testing.

- Q. And did you have that DNA compared to the DNA at the crime scene?
- A. We did.

2.4

- Q. And what were the results, very briefly? The experts will be here tomorrow.
- A. Yes. Briefly, he is excluded as the contributor of the mitochondrial DNA on the hairs from the victim's body.

 There were no matches to other DNA found at the crime scene, but there were some comparisons that could not be determined, and the experts will talk more in-depth about what that means tomorrow.
- Q. Okay. Great. All right. How about a man named Danny Haire?
- A. Yes, Daniel Slater Haire, III, also goes by Danny
 Haire, he was a suspect also in the original
 investigation. William Thomas Haire, who I just
 testified about, told investigators that he suspected
 his cousin, Danny Haire, in the murders.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, if you'll remember, the interview is on page 47 and 48 of your brief, if you need to look it back up.

Q. Okay. And did law enforcement ever obtain Daniel Slater Haire, III's fingerprints to compare to the crime scene?

- A. They did obtain them. They were compared and there were no matches.
- Q. Okay. And did you interview Daniel Slater Haire?
- A. We did. We interviewed him on October 14 of this year.
 - Q. And who was present for that interview?
- A. It was myself, Ms. Stellato, and Mr. Haire.
- Q. And was it recorded and transcribed?
- 8 A. It was.
 - Q. And do you have that transcript to refresh yourself?
- 10 A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. All right. And what did Daniel Slater Haire say?
- A. He indicated that he did know the victims by sight, but that he had never been in their home. He denied any involvement at all in the murder. He stated he did not remember being interviewed by law enforcement during the original investigation, however, we read to him the law enforcement summary of the interview conducted with him by law enforcement. And after hearing that he said he did recall it and that what he recalled about the weekend was that he was in the mountains for labor day.
- Q. Okay. Did he say anything else?
 - A. He provided a lot of information about other people in town and some rumors about the murders, and he indicated that a lot of people thought Larry Haire, who is the brother of William Thomas Haire, may have been

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 296 involved in the murders. Were you ever able to locate Larry Haire? Q. We were not, but it's important to note that his DNA, Α. because he's brothers with Tommy Haire, would be the same as William Thomas Haire. For the mitochondrial DNA? 0. Both for mitochondrial and the Y-STR DNA as well. Α. Okay. And again, that was compared already? Q. It was. Α. William Thomas Haire's was? Q. Α. Yes. How about Daniel Slater Haire then, did he provide a Q. DNA swab to you? He did. He voluntarily agreed to provide a DNA Α. standard, and we were able to submit that to a private lab for testing. Okay. And what were the results, very briefly? Q. He was excluded as the contributor of the hairs that Α. were found on the victim's body. There were also no matches to other DNA found at the crime scene, however, again, some DNA comparisons could not be determined,

- and that's something the expert will explain tomorrow.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Before we move on, are there any commission questions at this point?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. That was that Larry Haire you were just talking about?
- 3 A. That was Danny Haire.
 - Q. Okay. And you say Danny and Larry had the same -- are they identical twins?
 - A. No. Larry and Tommy Haire were brothers. The DNA testing that we conducted in this case was mitochondrial DNA and Y-STR, no STR.
 - Q. Right. Okay.
- 10 A. STR is --
- 11 Q. I got you, okay.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. Mitochondrial --
- A. Mitochondrial is maternal line and Y-STR is paternal line, therefore, brothers who have the same mother and father would have the same maternal and paternal DNA.
- 17 Q. Right.

18

19

20

21

25

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. Okay. Let's move on to Johnny Ray Benton. Remind us who Johnny Ray Benton is.
- MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: And this is on page 43 in the brief, commissioners, if you're trying to follow along.
 - A. Johnny Ray Benton was another suspect during the

original law enforcement investigation. He -- several people that were interviewed during that investigation named him as someone who may have been involved in the murders or who may have witnessed them occurring. He was interviewed by law enforcement and when he was interviewed, indicated that he had seen Elisha Robinson leaving the victims' home on the night of the crime. He later recanted that statement.

8 9

10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4 25

All right. And do you know whether or not in the Q. original investigation his fingerprints were ever obtained or compared?

- Α. They were obtained and compared and there were no matches. I'm sorry, let me restate that. They were not -- we don't have any reports that indicate that his fingerprints were obtained or compared to the latents at the crime scene.
- Q. All right. Were you able to interview Johnny Ray Benton?
- We were. Α.
- When was that? Q.
- October 14, 2014. Α.
- Q. And who was present for the interview?
- Α. Myself and Ms. Stellato and, of course, Mr. Benton.
 - And it was recorded and transcribed? Q.
 - It was. Α.

Q. Okay. And what did Mr. Benton say?

2.4

- A. He recalls the murders and he recalled being a suspect at the time. He indicated that he was in jail when Detective Little came and took him to the crime scene, and that he told him when they got there to get out and run. He said he thought that he would have been shot if he ran and that he had sense enough not to run, so that he, so he didn't. He further denied any involvement in the murders. We read him some of the details of the police reports of his interviews, and he indicated that the information in the reports just was not true.
 - Q. Okay. And so he said the stuff was not true. Did he indicate the part about running past the house, seeing Elisha Robinson, did he say it was not true or he just didn't remember it?
 - A. He specifically stated that he never ran past the victims' home on the night of the crime, and that he never saw Elisha Robinson at the house, the victims' house. He also denied ever telling any of that to law enforcement.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Did he recall taking a polygraph?
 - A. He did recall taking a polygraph and he recalled that he passed that polygraph.
- Q. Did he remember the questions?

- A. He could not recall any of the questions.
- Q. Okay. What else did he say?
- A. He stated that he did not know who committed the crime.

 He always thought that it was someone in the victims'

 family and that the motive was over property.
- Q. Did he provide a DNA sample?
- A. He did, he voluntarily provided a DNA sample.
- O. And what were the brief results of that?
- A. He was excluded from all of the DNA obtained at the crime scene, this includes the hairs on the victim's body as well as other DNA.
- Q. Okay.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Before we move on to the next interview, any questions about the Johnny Ray Benton interview?

MR. HEARD: Just one question.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD

- Q. You made note of and it's also included here about the polygraph with a score of six. I saw some note about a score of up to 11. How good, how reliable is a score of six? Does that mean anything?
- A. I couldn't speak to that; I don't know.
- Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

EXAMINATION BY SHERIFF DUNCAN

- Q. Just real quick, you had mentioned Mr. Vann that you went and interviewed that --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that the same, the person he heard the unsolicited information from, Connie Todd Haire, is that who he got that from?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And that is the same -- she was interviewed by law enforcement in '77 as well as her husband that we just discussed?
- A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- Q. All right. So Elisha Robinson, remind us who that is.
- A. During the original law enforcement investigation

 Elisha Robinson was considered a suspect. This is the individual who Johnny Ray Benton had told law enforcement he saw leaving the victims' house on the night of the murder. Johnny Ray Benton had also indicated to law enforcement that he was later threatened by Elisha Robinson to keep quiet.
 - MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is on page 45 of your brief if you're wanting to look back

at the original report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

2.4

- Q. All right. Do you know if Elisha Robinson's fingerprints were compared with anything at the crime scene?
- A. They were not compared. They were -- it was requested that they be compared, but we have no indication that they were ever compared.
- Q. All right. Were you able to interview or was

 Commission staff able to interview Elisha Robinson?
- A. Yes. Commission staff was able to travel to Maryland where Mr. Robinson lives, and then on up to Delaware where he works and interview him at his work in Delaware.
- Q. And who from the Commission staff did that interview?
- A. It was Staff Attorney Catherine Matoian and Investigator Ed Brooks.
- 18 A. It was.
- Q. And have you reviewed that transcription and spoken with Ms. Matoian and Mr. Brooks?
- 21 A. I have.
 - Q. Okay. And what did Elisha Robinson say?
 - A. He indicated that he knew the victims' house was near his church, but that he had never been inside the victims' home. He also believed that the victims'

- family had killed them, and he had just heard that from rumors in the community. He also indicated that he heard one of the victims was sexually assaulted with a broom.
 - Q. And he didn't know, just heard this from the community?
 - A. That's correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

- Q. Okay. What else did he say?
 - A. He said that on the night of the murders he recalled seeing a man come out of the woods with straw on his feet to hide footprints. He later said that he -- he said he later saw Sledge on the, his picture on the news and recognized him.
 - Q. Okay. And the man that he saw coming out of the woods, did he say that man was going into the victims' house or in any way tied to the murder as far as he knew?
- A. As far as he knew, no.
- 17 Q. Okay. And what else did he say?
 - A. He stated that he felt Sledge was railroaded, and that he had gone and watched one of the trials.
 - Q. Did he describe what he saw at the trial?
- 21 A. He did describe some of the facts, but they were inaccurate.
- 23 Q. Inaccurate according to the trial transcript?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Did he recall being a suspect?

He did recall being a suspect, he also recalled being 1 Α. 2 polygraphed. 3 Q. Okay. And did he recall Johnny Ray Benton having, whether he knew whether Johnny Ray Benton had told 4 5 police that Elisha Robinson had been coming out of the 6 house that night? 7 He said he didn't know who Johnny Ray Benton was and he Α. did not remember Benton saying that he was involved in 8 the murders. 9 Did Elisha Robinson provide a voluntary DNA sample? 10 Q. He did. 11 Α. 12 And were you able to have that DNA compared to the DNA Q. 13 from the crime scene? We were. 14 Α. 15 And briefly, what were the results? Q. He is excluded as a contributor of the hairs found on 16 Α. 17 the victim's body, and there were no matches to other 18 DNA at the crime scene, but again, some comparisons could not be determined. 19 20 Okay. Q. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner questions 21

25

22

23

2.4

MS. SURGEON: I have a question.

about Elisha Robinson before we move on?

EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

- Q. You indicated that he said that he saw a man leaving the victims' home with straw on their feet?
- A. No. He saw a man coming through the woods.
 - Q. Uh-huh (yes).
 - A. It's unclear exactly what that was.
 - Q. Okay. Did he indicate whether or not he knew who that person was?
 - A. He just said that he later saw Sledge on, Sledge's picture on the TV and recognized him then.
 - Q. Recognized him from being the person that he saw or just recognized him from the community?
 - A. If you'll give me a moment, I'm going to look at the interview and transcript just because I wasn't present and I don't want to misstate. (Ms. Smith examines document.)

Okay. So this is a quote from

Mr. Robinson. He says, yes, and they were saying you

did it. I'm like, I'm, I'm -- indecipherable -
because the guy was not even in the area where the

crime happened. He came -- he escaped from prison,

first of all. He came through the neighborhood, right,

you know, walking. He, he had straw on his feet where

he couldn't track his footprints or something. And the

guy was saying, no, I didn't do it, no, I didn't do it.

2.4

And they were saying, yes, you did. And I'm like, the guy said he didn't do it and he wasn't even in the area according to the reports and stuff, right? But he was. I guess he came through. They wanted to pin it on somebody and they pinned it on him.

And Ms. Matoian said, so when you're saying these things about Mr. Sledge, that about the straw on his feet and that he wasn't in the area, where are you getting that information from? Mr. Robinson, I -- he came, where we used to live, he came through the woods.

Ms. Matoian, uh-huh (yes). Mr. Robinson, and I saw this guy and he was on the news shortly after he had -- I saw him myself with the straw on his feet walking through that area going -- Ms. Matoian, and then you saw on the news later that he was an escaped convict? Mr. Robinson, yeah.

Ms. Matoian, but you didn't know who he was when he was walking through? Mr. Robinson, no, I didn't. I didn't know him. I saw him coming through with straw on his feet, and after I heard the news he was an escaped convict.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Anybody else have a question?

RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS: It's still not clear.

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge MR. HEARD: Clear as the straw, right? RETIRED SHERIFF PICKENS: There you go. 3 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN Q. All right. How about the Smith family, tell us who is 6 the Smith family?

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sure. The Smith family is a family that lived behind Α. the victims' home. They lived off of a dirt road that ran alongside the Hales' house. And the Hales were members of the victims' family that lived next door to the victims' home. Four of the Smith brothers and one nephew were considered suspects by law enforcement during the original investigation.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, this is described on page 51 or beginning on page 51 of your brief, if you need to look back at it.

- Q. Do you know if the fingerprints of the brothers in the Smith family were compared to the crime scene?
- Yes. All of the four brothers' prints were compared to Α. the latent prints from the crime scene and there were no matches. And the nephew who was also considered a suspect, his prints, as far as we can tell, were never compared.
- Were you able to locate any members of the Smith Q. family?

- A. Three of the four Smith brothers are deceased, and so
 we were not able to talk to those three. We were able
 to locate a sister of the Smith brothers, Marie Andrus.

 She had already been interviewed by the North Carolina
 Center on Actual Innocence and declined to voluntarily
 speak with the Commission.
 - Q. Did you depose her?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

- A. We did. We were -- we subpoenaed her and conducted a deposition of her.
- Q. And what did you learn?
 - A. We learned that she did have a living brother, one of the four who was a suspect, Samuel Smith. The information that she gave us about him was simply that he was still living in Elizabethtown, North Carolina.
 - Q. And did you learn whether or not the brothers all shared the same mother and father?
- A. According to Ms. Andrus, all of her siblings shared the same mother and father.
 - Q. Okay. And were you, was the Commission able to obtain a Nontestimonial Identification Order for Samuel Smith's DNA?
- 22 A. We were.
- Q. And tell us about how his DNA, about that maternal and fraternal line.
 - A. Sure. Because Mr. Smith, Samuel Smith shares a mother

and father with all of his siblings, their maternal and paternal DNA is the same for all four of the Smith brothers. Also, the maternal DNA for the nephew is the same, but the paternal DNA is not.

4

5

Q.

6

Okay. All right. And you said you did obtain his DNA then?

7

We did. We obtained it pursuant to the NTIO on Α. October 8, 2014.

8

9

10

Okay. And before I have you go into that, tell us Q. about, you just mentioned again that nephew, Jimmy Smith. Tell us quickly about him and how he was a part

11

12

of your investigation. Sure. If I may back up, I did want to say we were not Α.

13 14

15

16

able to interview Samuel Smith during that process just because the NTIO doesn't allow for that. We did leave a card for him, though, and asked him to contact us if he had anything to share with us, and he did not ever do that.

17

18

And have you sent the DNA reports to him? Q.

19 20

We did. Α.

21

Okay. Go ahead. Q.

22

The Smith nephew was Jimmy Smith. He actually attended the deposition of Marie Andrus with her, and we were

23 2.4

able to speak with him briefly after that deposition.

25

We again contacted him on November 19 of this year on

- the telephone and just to confirm some information from him.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you confirm from him?
 - A. We confirmed that he did live with the Smith family during that time, during the time of the murder. He denied any involvement in the murders.
 - Q. Okay. And did you obtain a separate DNA sample from him?
- 9 A. We did not.

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Okay. So his DNA would be the same mitochondrial DNA?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And so that's the maternal line?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that would be the same, the mitochondrial DNA is the testing you did from the hairs at the crime scene?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And was the DNA sample you obtained compared to the DNA from the crime scene?
- 19 A. It was.

21

22

23

- 20 Q. Okay. And tell us about the results, briefly, on that.
 - A. Yes. All of the individuals in the Smith maternal line are excluded as contributors of the hairs found on the victim's body. This includes all four Smith brothers and the nephew, Jimmy Smith.
- 25 Q. Okay. And what about the other DNA, the Y-STR?

- A. There were no matches to the other DNA from the crime scene, but again, some DNA comparisons could not be determined. This only, of course, includes the four Smith brothers, it does not include Jimmy Smith, the nephew.
 - Q. And did you attempt to locate anybody else that had ties to the Smith family during the time of the original crime?
 - A. We did. We tried to interview a man by the name of Willie McLaughlin.
 - Q. And who is that?

- A. He was an individual during the original law enforcement investigation who indicated that he was with Joseph Smith on the night of the crimes, that he drove Joseph Smith to his home. And we wanted to speak with him because we knew that he was someone who had been in the vicinity of the victims' home on the night of the crime.
- Q. Do you know if his fingerprints were compared to the latent lifts from the crime scene during the original investigation?
- A. They were compared and there were no matches.
- 23 Q. Okay. And were you able to interview him?
 - A. We were able to locate him, and a Commission staff

 member did leave a card at his home. He later called

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge but refused to answer questions or meet with the 1 2 Commission staff and indicated this had nothing to do 3 with him. We made another phone call and left a message, but he never returned our calls. 4 5 Q. Okay. 6 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Any questions, 7 commissioners, before we move on? 8 9 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. HEARD Is Joseph McLaughlin the same as Willie Clarence 10 Q. 11 McLaughlin, or is that a different person entirely? 12 Α. I'm sorry, if I said Joseph, I meant to say Willie 13 McLaughlin.

- 14 Q. Okay. All right.
 - And it is the same Willie Clarence McLaughlin. Α.
- Okay, good. 16 Q.

17 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Any other questions? 18 (No response.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN

- All right. Now, were you able to speak with the Q. victims' family?
- Α. We were.
- Okay. And first, if you'll just tell us briefly who Q. Billy Ray Hales is?

Sure. Billy Ray Hales is the grandson of Josephine 1 Α. 2 Davis and the nephew of Aileen Davis. 3 MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioners, his interview from law enforcement is on page 50 of the 4 5 brief. 6 Was he considered a suspect during the law enforcement Ο. 7 investigation? He was considered a suspect during the original law 8 Α. 9 enforcement investigation. And were his prints compared? 10 Q. 11 Α. They were compared to the latent prints from the crime 12 scene with no identifications. 13 Okay. And were you able to speak with Mr. Hales? Q. 14 Α. We were. 15 And when you met with him, was that with the family as Q. 16 a group? 17 Α. It was. We met with the victims' family as a group on 18 several occasions, we also spoke with Mr. Hales by telephone. 19 20 Okay. And did he recall being questioned by police? Q. He did recall that. He said that he was questioned by 21 Α. 22 police for about two hours. He also recalled being

fingerprinted. He stated that he did feel like a

why he was a suspect.

suspect back then, but he did not understand or know

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. And what did his family say about that?
- A. His family indicated to us that they had never thought that Billy Ray had anything to do with the crime.
- Q. Okay. Please tell us briefly about the conversations with the victims' family and who was present for those conversations.
- A. Sure. There were approximately 12 grandchildren of Josephine and nieces or nephews of Aileen Davis and their spouses, who we met with on various occasions, various ones on the different occasions that we met with.
- Q. And did the family designate one person as our point of contact?
 - A. Yes. The family designated Ms. Catherine Brown as the point of contact.
 - Q. Did the family give you permission to go back to the crime scene, to the victims' house?
 - A. They did. They allowed us to go back on three separate occasions and take photographs as well.
 - Q. What was the purpose of your meetings with the family?
 - A. The purpose primarily was just to let them know about the Commission, explain the Commission process, our hearing procedures, but we also were able to ask them about the crime as well.
- Q. Okay. And had they been spoken to already by anybody

post conviction?

- 2 A.
- A. Yes. They indicated, several of them indicated they had been approached by Chris Mumma of the Center on Actual Innocence as well as the media.

2.4

- Q. And have they maintained contact with the district attorney's office?
- 7 A. They had.
 - Q. Okay. And had they also spoken with Detective Phillip Little?
 - A. Yes, they indicated that they had spoken with Detective

 Little both before our investigation and then during it

 as well.
 - Q. Okay. And what did the family say to you about the case or about the crime?
 - A. One of the things that they stated was that their aunt, Aileen, had been raped, and they didn't understand why Mr. Sledge had never been charged with that. They also told us that the victims had lived together all of Aileen's life, and that Josephine's husband had passed away in 1967 or 1968, and that it was just Josephine and Aileen living together at that time of the murders. They indicated that the victims had a friendly reputation in the community, that they were kind, and that no one would ever have any reason to hurt them.
 - Q. Did they describe what the home was like, whether you

could see the home from the road at the time?

A. They did. They said that it could be seen from the main road, Highway 242.

Q. Okay. And what else did they -- what did they say about Sledge?

A. Specifically they said that they didn't think that anyone from town or anyone in the family could have been involved. They stated that no one in the family had any doubt about Sledge's guilt.

Q. Did you ask them about people that lived around the victim's home?

A. We did. They indicated that there was a community of African-Americans who lived behind the victims' family, that the victims were very friendly with them, and often donated to a black church near their home.

Q. Okay. Did they indicate whether or not anybody might have been in the victims' house?

A. Specifically they indicated that they didn't believe that African-Americans would have ever been in the victims' home.

Q. All right. And I know we're going to do DNA tomorrow, but why specifically were you asking or were they saying about African-Americans being in the victims' home?

A. We were asking because what we learned from the DNA

2.4

testing on the hairs found on the victim's body, that they were of African or African-American lineage. And so we wanted to establish whether or not the hairs could have been there just from someone who had been in the home.

- Q. Okay. All right. What did they say about the security of the home or whether the home was secure during the investigation?
- A. They indicated that it was not secure. This is contrary to what Detective Little testified to earlier today. They indicated that the home was broken into several times, both after the murders, but -- after the murders but before the trial it was broken into several times and was not secure because several people came and looked at it.
- Q. Okay. All right. And then did you ask them anything about the victims' clothing?
- A. We did. One of the family members indicated that she believed that the victims were wearing the same dresses that they had been wearing the day before when she last saw them when their bodies were found.
- Q. Okay.
- A. They also told us that it was not uncommon for the victims to wear the same clothing for multiple days, and that they would not change at bedtime. They also

- indicated that they often would not wear any underwear.
 - Q. So you said that they let you come to the house and look at the house?
 - A. Yes, we did that on three separate occasions.
 - Q. Okay. Tell us what does the house look like today?
 - A. It is still there, it's still standing. Parts of it have fallen down over time, and the inside is filled with debris just strewn about.
 - Q. And did you take photographs?
- 10 A. We did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. And would the photographs help illustrate your testimony?
- 13 A. They would.
- Q. All right. Would you like to do the clicker or do you want me to?
- 16 A. It doesn't matter. Go ahead.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, the photographs of the inside of the house, would those be helpful?
 - A. They're not particularly helpful simply because many of the areas are boarded up, the wall is fallen in, and just the condition of the contents of the home.
 - Q. Okay. Now, tell me about this photograph.
 - A. This is taken from outside of the home. We're standing several feet away from the home, but not all the way back at the road.

- Q. Okay. And is this the front of the house?
- A. It is the front of the house.

2.4

Q. Okay. I guess it does say that.

Okay. Tell me about this photograph.

- A. This is the back of the house. The side, if you look to the left of kind of the green, there is the back door. That area, that would be the door that during the original trial the State's theory was that Sledge had spread pepper around that back door.
- Q. Okay. Tell me about this picture.
- A. This is a picture of the side of the house. That window there in the center is the window that had tennis shoe prints underneath. It's also, the room directly inside of that window is the room where the original investigators did Luminol and noted footprints leading kind of to or from the body to that window in blood, or the Luminol illuminated those footprints.
- Q. Okay. The white part underneath that window, is that covering the window or just more siding underneath?
- A. No, it's just covering siding. The window is actually just that small window up top. It's approximately seven feet off the ground.
- O. Okay. And what is this?
- A. This is the road that runs directly in front of the victims' home. It's Highway 242.

- Q. Okay. And from here what can you see, aside from just that highway? Are you standing, whoever took this photo, are they standing literally right in front of the victims' house?
- A. Yeah. If I may, I'll stand up and try to point, because I know that won't work on the --
- Q. It's not working very well.
- A. It won't shine on the --
- Q. Go ahead.

2.4

- A. So yes, this is standing right in front of the victims' home, but looking down Highway 242. Near these two trees here is the dirt road that leads to the victims' family home, the Hales' home. It's also the dirt road that leads back to the Smith family homes. And then if you can see, in the far distance is a car, not the one closer up, but the far distance there's a car. That's actually close to Highway 701 where it intersects. And so you can see that from the victims' home.
- Q. Okay. And that Highway 701, is that the one that we've heard already a little bit that Mr. Sledge says he walked down from White Lake?
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right. Now, what could you see, going in the inside of the house, is there anything that you saw that can help the commissioners or that was helpful to

NCIIC Hearing, Day One - State v. Joseph Sledge 321 you? It just really helped us understand the layout and size Α. of the home. That was the most important thing for us to be able to see. Okay. And was there anything that you saw in the Q. inside of the home that you made note of? We made not of several spice cans strewn throughout the Α. kitchen floor. They were on the floor, we can't say when they were put on the floor, if they were there at the time of the crime or not, but what we can say is that some of them still, you could still see the dates on some of them, and they were from 1975. And you can't say whether or not they were with the Q. pepper can or where the pepper can was? No, absolutely not. Α. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Commissioner questions? (No response.) JUDGE SUMNER: None. MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: All right. Shall we take the evening --

MS. SURGEON: I have a question.

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MS. SURGEON

Q. You said those spice cans were on the floor?

- A. Yes, ma'am. They, they were just kind of throughout the kitchen area. It's a small kitchen, but there were several of them throughout the kitchen on the floor.
- Q. But was the --

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: No, I just want to -- you're going to clarify that, okay, go ahead.

- Q. Yeah. Was the testimony from Detective Phillips that

 -- not Poole, Little, yes, Phillip Little, that the

 pepper can was the only item that caused him to think

 that there was anything in disarray?
- A. Sure. I think that it needs to be clarified that the entire home in its current state is covered with debris across the floor, all over the home. There is no, almost no area of the floor that's not covered with something. So this certainly is probably not how the crime scene looked in 1976.

2.4

FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VICKORY

- Q. Did you ever make a determination of whether anybody in that victims' family was formerly, or if at any time worked at the prison, or whether they worked in law, local law enforcement in any capacity?
- A. No, we did not make, make that.

MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: Are there any other questions?

1	(No response.)
2	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: I'll ask that
3	Ms. Smith step down. If you would like to break for
4	evening recess?
5	JUDGE SUMNER: If you insist, we will stop.
6	MS. MONTGOMERY-BLINN: But I have records to
7	hand out to you before you leave.
8	(Newspaper Articles regarding the Murder is
9	handed out.)
10	(THEREUPON, THE EVENING RECESS WAS TAKEN AT
11	6:21 P.M.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I, Ira Anderson, do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing was taken and transcribed by me; and the foregoing 323 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of the said hearing.

I do further certify that the parties were present as stated in the caption.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for or in the employment of either of the parties to this action, nor am I interested in the results of said action.

This the 11th day of February 2015.

Ira Anderson

Electronic Signature Ira Anderson Notary Public No. 19973380004